

Vectors & Matrices

Solutions to Problem Sheet 1

1. By definition, $\mathbf{i} = \overrightarrow{OP_1}$, $\mathbf{j} = \overrightarrow{OP_2}$, $\mathbf{k} = \overrightarrow{OP_3}$, where $P_1 = (1, 0, 0)$, $P_2 = (0, 1, 0)$, $P_3 = (0, 0, 1)$.

If we let $Q = (1, 1, 0)$, then by the definition of vector addition, we have:

$$\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} = \overrightarrow{OP_1} + \overrightarrow{OP_2} = \overrightarrow{OQ}.$$

We can sum this derived vector with the remaining \mathbf{k} to get:

$$\overrightarrow{OQ} + \mathbf{k} = \overrightarrow{OQ} + \overrightarrow{OP_3} = \overrightarrow{OR},$$

where $R = (1, 1, 1)$. But it's clear that the points A and R are identical, and so:

$$\overrightarrow{OA} = \overrightarrow{OR} = \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}.$$

Per the lecture notes, the column vector

$$\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \\ c \end{pmatrix}$$

is simply an alternative representation of the vector $a\mathbf{i} + b\mathbf{j} + c\mathbf{k}$, and so

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}.$$

By Corollary 3.1.5 in the lecture notes, $\overrightarrow{OB} + \overrightarrow{BA} = \overrightarrow{OA}$, and so these two vectors are equivalent.

By Proposition 3.1.6 in the notes, we find:

$$\overrightarrow{AB} = (2-1)\mathbf{i} + (2-1)\mathbf{j} + (2-1)\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k} = \overrightarrow{OA},$$

hence \overrightarrow{AB} is equivalent to \overrightarrow{OA} .

The vector \overrightarrow{OB} is not equivalent to \overrightarrow{OA} . This can be determined by computing the lengths of each vector:

$$|\vec{OA}| = |\mathbf{i} + \mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}| = (1^2 + 1^2 + 1^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \sqrt{3},$$

$$|\vec{OB}| = |2\mathbf{i} + 2\mathbf{j} + 2\mathbf{k}| = (2^2 + 2^2 + 2^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} = 2\sqrt{3},$$

Clearly, \vec{OB} has twice the length of \vec{OA} , and so the two vectors cannot be equivalent.

2. By two applications of Corollary 3.1.5, we have:

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{AB} + \vec{BC} + \vec{CD} &= (\vec{AB} + \vec{BC}) + \vec{CD} \\ &= \vec{AC} + \vec{CD} \\ &= \vec{AD}.\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, taking the sums of the components of each of the three vectors on the left-hand side of the above equality:

$$\vec{AD} = (1 + 3 - 1)\mathbf{i} + (-2 + 1 + 5)\mathbf{j} + (-5 + 4 + 2)\mathbf{k} = 3\mathbf{i} + 4\mathbf{j} + \mathbf{k}.$$

Since the components of a vector in the standard basis \mathbf{i} , \mathbf{j} , \mathbf{k} are equal to the end point of its position vector, we see that $E = (3, 4, 1)$.

3. (i) Let $A = (x_A, y_A, z_A)$. We also define the point $B = (x_B, y_B, z_B)$ to be the point such that $\vec{AO} = \vec{OB}$. (This point can be obtained by simply translating the vector \vec{AO} so that its starting point becomes the origin, and then finding the new end point of the vector).

By Corollary 3.1.5, we know that $\vec{OA} + \vec{AO} = \vec{OA} + \vec{OB} = \vec{OO}$.

The end point of the vector on the right-hand side of the above equation is the origin, $O = (0, 0, 0)$. Since \vec{OA} and \vec{OB} are both position vectors, we know that their sum must give a position vector with end point equal the sums of A and B .

Hence, $x_A + x_B = 0$, $y_A + y_B = 0$ and $z_A + z_B = 0$, and so $B = (-x_A, -y_A, -z_A) = -1 \cdot (x_A, y_A, z_A)$.

Since \vec{OB} is a position vector, by the definition of scalar multiplication of vectors, $\vec{OB} = -1 \cdot \vec{OA} = -\vec{OA}$.

(ii) By Corollary 3.1.5, $\vec{AB} = \vec{AO} + \vec{OB}$.

We know from part (i) that $\vec{AO} = -\vec{OA}$, and so $\vec{AB} = -\vec{OA} + \vec{OB}$.

Negation is simply multiplication by a factor of -1 , and so we can use the distributivity of scalar multiples over vector sums (property (vii) in Proposition 3.2.1) to get:

$$\begin{aligned}
 -\overrightarrow{AB} &= -1 \cdot \overrightarrow{AB} \\
 &= -1 \cdot (-\overrightarrow{OA} + \overrightarrow{OB}) \\
 &= -1 \cdot (-1 \cdot \overrightarrow{OA} + \overrightarrow{OB}) \\
 &= (-1)(-1)\overrightarrow{OA} + (-1)\overrightarrow{OB} \\
 &= \overrightarrow{OA} - \overrightarrow{OB}
 \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 3.2.1 also gives us the commutativity of vector addition in property (i), we can use this to show $-\overrightarrow{AB} = \overrightarrow{OA} - \overrightarrow{OB} = -\overrightarrow{OB} + \overrightarrow{OA}$.

We again use the fact that $-\overrightarrow{OB} = \overrightarrow{BO}$, combined with Corollary 3.1.5, to get:

$$-\overrightarrow{AB} = -\overrightarrow{OB} + \overrightarrow{OA} = \overrightarrow{BO} + \overrightarrow{OA} = \overrightarrow{BA}.$$

4. (i) Per the previous question, $\overrightarrow{QO} = -\overrightarrow{OQ} = -\mathbf{q}$.

(ii) Let $\mathbf{r} = \overrightarrow{OR}$ be the position vector of the point R . By definition Corollary 3.1.5, we have:

$$\mathbf{q} = \overrightarrow{OQ} = \overrightarrow{OR} + \overrightarrow{RQ},$$

but since $\overrightarrow{RQ} = \overrightarrow{OP}$:

$$\mathbf{q} = \overrightarrow{OR} + \overrightarrow{OP} = \mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}.$$

Hence $\overrightarrow{OR} = \mathbf{r} = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}$.

(iii) Again, by Corollary 3.1.5,

$$\overrightarrow{PQ} = \overrightarrow{PO} + \overrightarrow{OQ} = -\overrightarrow{OP} + \overrightarrow{OQ} = -\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{q} = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}.$$

(iv) Since $OPQR$ is a parallelogram, we know that $\overrightarrow{RQ} = \overrightarrow{OP} = \mathbf{p}$, hence $\overrightarrow{QR} = -\overrightarrow{RQ} = -\mathbf{p}$.

(v) By Corollary 3.15:

$$\overrightarrow{RP} = \overrightarrow{RO} + \overrightarrow{OP} = -\overrightarrow{OR} + \overrightarrow{OP}.$$

Again, letting $\mathbf{r} = \overrightarrow{OR}$ gives us $\overrightarrow{RP} = -\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{p}$.

But we know from part (ii) that $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}$, and so:

$$\overrightarrow{RP} = -(\mathbf{q} - \mathbf{p}) + \mathbf{p} = -\mathbf{q} + \mathbf{p} + \mathbf{p} = 2\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{q}.$$

5. Suppose we write

$$\mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n v_i \mathbf{e}_i,$$

where e_i are the standard basis vectors over \mathbf{R}^n .

By the distributivity of scalar multiples over vector sums (property (vii) of Proposition 3.2.1), we have:

$$\lambda \mathbf{v} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda v_i \mathbf{e}_i.$$

Hence, by the definition of the length operator:

$$|\lambda \mathbf{v}| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n (\lambda v_i)^2} = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda^2 \cdot v_i^2} = \sqrt{\lambda^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2},$$

where the last equality was obtained by factoring out the common factor of λ^2 present in every term of the sum.

We can now split the square-root between these factors to obtain:

$$|\lambda \mathbf{v}| = \sqrt{\lambda^2 \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2} = \sqrt{\lambda^2} \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n v_i^2} = |\lambda| |\mathbf{v}|.$$

Geometrically, this tells us that the effect of multiplying a vector by a scalar λ is to extend the length of the vector by that same proportion.