

MTH6112 Actuarial Financial Engineering  
Coursework Week 9

1. Assume that the risk-free interest rate is governed by the Vasicek model. Historical data of short time risk-free interest rate is given in the table for a period January-May 2019

Date	01/01	01/02	01/03	01/04	01/05
$r_t$	3.56%	4.02%	3.84%	4.00%	4.18%

There are three zero-coupon bonds (see the table for their parameters) available at the market paying £1 on a corresponding maturity day.

	Issue date	Maturity date	Price on issue date
Bond 1	01/01	01/03	£0.92
Bond 2	01/02	01/04	£0.86
Bond 3	01/03	01/05	?

Find the price of Bond 3.

**Solution:** The formula for a zero-coupon bond price, in the context of Vasicek model, has an important property: functions  $A$  and  $B$  depend only on  $\tau = T - t$  as a parameter. All three bonds given in the problem have the time period they are issued for equal to 2 months. This yields that we can write their prices as

$$B(t, T, r_t) = e^{-Ar_t + B},$$

where  $A := A\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$  and  $B := B\left(\frac{1}{6}\right)$ . Taking into account prices of the Bonds 1 and 2 we can set up the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} B_1 = e^{-A \cdot 0.0356 + B} = 0.92 \\ B_2 = e^{-A \cdot 0.0402 + B} = 0.86 \end{cases}$$

Solving the system we arrive to

$$\begin{cases} -A \cdot 0.0356 + B = \log 0.92 \\ -A \cdot 0.0402 + B = \log 0.86 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A \cdot 0.0046 = \log \frac{0.92}{0.86} \\ B = \log 0.86 + A \cdot 0.0402 \end{cases} \Rightarrow \begin{cases} A = 14.661 \\ B = 0.439 \end{cases}$$

By using the above we can calculate the price of Bond 3

$$B_3 = e^{-14.661 \cdot 0.0384 + 0.439} = e^{-0.124} = \pounds 0.88.$$

2. Let  $W_t$  be a standard Brownian Motion. The simplest version of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process  $X_t$  is defined by

$$X_t = e^{-\theta t} W_{e^{2\theta t}}, \quad \text{for some constant } \theta > 0.$$

- a) Does this process have independent increments?
- b) Is  $X_t$  a Brownian Motion?
- c) What is the distribution of the increment  $X_t - X_s$  for  $t > s$ ?
- d) Compute  $\mu_m := \mathbb{E}[(X_t)^m]$  for all integer  $m > 0$ .
- e) Compute  $\text{Cov}\{X_t, X_s\}$ .

### Solution

- a) Increments  $X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i}$  can be expressed in terms of the Brownian motion as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} X_{t_{i+1}} - X_{t_i} &= e^{-\theta t_{i+1}} W_{e^{2\theta t_{i+1}}} - e^{-\theta t_i} W_{e^{2\theta t_i}} \\ &= e^{-\theta t_{i+1}} (W_{e^{2\theta t_{i+1}}} - W_{e^{2\theta t_i}}) + (e^{-\theta t_{i+1}} - e^{-\theta t_i}) W_{e^{2\theta t_i}}. \end{aligned}$$

It is clear that the first term in this expression is independent from all previous history of the Brownian motion (see properties of a Brownian motion). However, the second one is not. To formally prove that the increments are not independent, let us take three different times  $t < s < r$  and calculate the covariance  $\text{Cov}[X_r - X_s, X_s - X_t]$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Cov}[X_r - X_s, X_s - X_t] &= \text{Cov}[X_r, X_s] + \text{Cov}[X_s, X_t] \\ &\quad - \text{Cov}[X_r, X_t] - \text{Cov}[X_s, X_s] \\ &= e^{-\theta r - \theta s} \text{Cov}[W_{e^{2\theta r}}, W_{e^{2\theta s}}] + e^{-\theta t - \theta s} \text{Cov}[W_{e^{2\theta t}}, W_{e^{2\theta s}}] \\ &\quad - e^{-\theta t - \theta r} \text{Cov}[W_{e^{2\theta t}}, W_{e^{2\theta r}}] - e^{-2\theta s} \text{Var}[W_{e^{2\theta s}}] \\ &= e^{\theta s - \theta r} + e^{\theta t - \theta s} - e^{\theta t - \theta r} - 1 \\ &= (e^{-\theta r} - e^{-\theta s})(e^{\theta s} - e^{\theta t}) > 0. \end{aligned}$$

- b) It follows from the above that  $X_t$  does not have independent increments, so it is not a Brownian Motion.

- c) An increment  $X_t - X_s$  can be written as a sum of two independent Gaussian random variables. Indeed

$$X_t - X_s = e^{-\theta t} [W_{e^{2\theta t}} - W_{e^{2\theta s}}] + (e^{-\theta t} - e^{-\theta s}) [W_{e^{2\theta s}} - W_0].$$

Thus,  $X_t - X_s$  is a Gaussian random variable with mean zero and variance

$$\text{Var} [X_t - X_s] = e^{-2\theta t} (e^{2\theta t} - e^{2\theta s}) + (e^{-\theta t} - e^{-\theta s})^2 e^{2\theta s} = 2 (1 - e^{-\theta(t-s)}).$$

- d) For the  $m$ -th moment we use the formula derived in lectures:

$$\mu_m = \mathbb{E} [e^{-m\theta t} W_{e^{2\theta t}}^m] = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m \text{ is odd,} \\ e^{-2p\theta t} \frac{(2p)!}{2^p p!} e^{2\theta t p} = \frac{(2p)!}{2^p p!}, & \text{if } m = 2p \text{ (is even).} \end{cases}$$

Interestingly, these moments do not depend on  $t$ , the time of the process.

- e) Finally, for the covariance (which in fact has been computed above) one has:

$$\text{Cov} [X_t, X_s] = e^{-\theta t - \theta s} \text{Cov} [W_{e^{2\theta t}}, W_{e^{2\theta s}}] = e^{-\theta t - \theta s} e^{2\theta \min(t,s)} = e^{-\theta|t-s|}.$$

The covariance vanishes on as  $|t - s| \rightarrow \infty$  and thus the values  $Y_t$  and  $Y_s$  of the OU process are almost independent when the time distance between them is large.

**Remark.** One can extract from the above an interesting property of the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process.

Note that  $\mathbb{E}(X_t) = 0$  and  $\text{Var}(X_t) = 1$  (derive this fact from the above results!). Also, its increments are Gaussian random variables whose variance is bounded from above. This means that typically, even at a large time scale, the OU process would stay at a bounded distance from its average. In contrast, the BM often deviates from its mean value (that is, from 0) by a distance "proportional" to  $\sqrt{t}$ .