

# MTH5126 - Statistics for Insurance

Academic Year: 2022-23

Semester: B

---

## Worksheet 5

---

### Q1. Extreme value theory

The claim amounts in a general insurance portfolio are independent and follow an exponential distribution with mean £2,500.

- (i) Calculate the probability that an individual claim will exceed £10,000.
- (ii) Calculate the probability that, in a sample of 100 claims, the largest claim will exceed £10,000 using:

- (a) an exact method
- (b) an approximation based on a Gumbel-type GEV distribution.

You are given that, for an exponential distribution with parameter  $\lambda$ , the approximate distribution of  $\max\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  for large  $n$  is a Gumbel-type GEV distribution with CDF:

$$H(x) = \exp\left\{-\exp\left[-\left(\frac{x - \alpha_n}{\beta_n}\right)\right]\right\}$$

where  $\alpha_n = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln n$  and  $\beta_n = \frac{1}{\lambda}$

- (iii) State the 2 key assumptions made in (ii) (a).

### Q2.

- (i) Explain why Extreme Value Theory (EVT) models can be useful. [2]

A sports scientist is interested in analysing the probability that the javelin world record may be broken next year and is intending to use EVT to do this. The sports scientist has obtained data for the distances of all javelin throws from all javelin competitions last year. The total number of throws recorded was 3,000. The sports scientist has carried out an EVT analysis using the Generalised Pareto Distribution by selecting only those throws that exceeded 50 metres. This resulted in the longest 150 throws being selected for the analysis.

The following parameters were obtained from the EVT analysis:

$$\beta = 15,$$

$$\gamma = 3.$$

- (ii) Determine the percentage of javelin throws that would be expected to exceed 70 metres next year. [4]

(iii) Comment on the limitations of this analysis.

[4]

### Q3.

Given Pareto distributions with parameters  $\alpha = 2$  and  $\alpha = 3$  (both with the same value of  $\lambda$ ),

(a) Find the limiting density ratio.

(b) Which of the two has a thicker tail?

### Q4. R

#### **Risk models: Parameter variability in a heterogeneous portfolio**

Suppose that the Poisson parameters of 100 policies in a portfolio are not known but are equally likely to be 0.1 or 0.3 and claims are from a *Gamma*(750, 0.25) distribution.

It may be helpful to think of the above as a model of part of a motor insurance portfolio. It is supposed that some of the policyholders in this part of the portfolio are 'good' drivers and the remainder are 'bad' drivers. The individual claim amount distribution is the same for all drivers but 'good' drivers make fewer claims (0.1 pa on average) than 'bad' drivers (0.3 pa on average). It is assumed that it is known, possibly from national data, that a policyholder in this part of the portfolio is equally likely to be a 'good' driver or a 'bad' driver but that it cannot be known whether a particular policyholder is a 'good' driver or a 'bad' driver.

(i) Simulate 10,000 values for the aggregate claim amount from a policy chosen at random from the portfolio, and hence estimate the mean and standard deviation of the aggregate claims from a randomly chosen policy.

#### **Hint:**

Create a vector *S* of length 10,000. This vector will store the 10,000 simulations of aggregate claim amount from a policy chosen at random.

```
sims <- 10000
```

```
S <- numeric(sims)
```

Then simulate 10,000 values of the Poisson parameter, *lambda* by sampling from 0.1 and 0.3.

```
set.seed(123)
```

```
lambda <- sample(x=c(0.1,0.3), replace=TRUE, size = sims, prob = c(0.5,0.5))
```

Then simulate 10,000 values for *N*, the number of claims for a policy chosen at random from the portfolio.

```
N <- rpois(sims, lambda)
```

Then for each of the 10,000 simulations, i.e. for (i in 1:10000)

Simulate  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  and sum up  $X_1, \dots, X_N$  to arrive at aggregate claim amount.

```
for (i in 1:sims)
```

```
{S[i] <- sum(rgamma(N[i], 750, 0.25))}
```

(ii) Comment on the mean and standard deviation you obtained in (i) compared with the theoretical mean and standard deviation of the aggregate claims from a randomly chosen policy.