

L7

Announcements

① Learning Support hour $\rightarrow$ Learning Café

Wed 2-3pm
MB- B11

② Undergrad research seminar

Wed 3pm MB-204

$\left[\begin{array}{c} \subset \\ + \end{array} \right.$ means $\subseteq$ but not $=$

Finish May 2019 Exam Q1 $U, W \subseteq V$ subspaces

(e) Show $\dim(U+W) \geq \dim(U), \dim(W)$

Ans: $U+W \supseteq U$ $u \in U$ can be written as $u = u + 0 \in U+W$
 $\supseteq W$ $w \in W$ " " " $w = 0 + w \in U+W$

$\Rightarrow \dim(U+W) \geq \dim(U), \dim(W)$

(since a basis of the smaller subspace is l.i. viewed in the bigger one $\therefore$ can be extended to a basis of the bigger one)

(f) V has $\dim(V) = n > 2$, $U, W \subseteq V$
have $\dim(U) = \dim(W) = n-1$. What are
 $\dim(U+W), \dim(U \cap W)$? Told $U \neq W$

$\exists v \in W \setminus U$ (or $w \in U \setminus W$)

let $u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}$ be a basis of U . $v \notin U$ so

$u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, v$ are l.i. (since if a linear relation

Also $\langle u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, v \rangle \subseteq U+W$

$$cv + \sum c_i u_i = 0$$

$\Rightarrow v \in \langle u_i \rangle = U$
so not possible

$\therefore \dim(U+W) \geq \dim(\langle u_1, \dots, u_{n-1}, v \rangle) = n$

but $U+W \subseteq V$ so $\dim(U+W) \leq \dim(V) = n \therefore \dim(U+W) = n$

$\therefore \dim(U \cap W) = \dim(U) + \dim(W) - \dim(U+W) = n-1 + n-1 - n = n-2$

We saw last time that if $U, W \subseteq V$ are subspaces then every element of $U \oplus W$ has a unique description as $u + w$, $u \in U$, $w \in W$ (29)

Definition 1.26 let $U_1, \dots, U_r \subseteq V$ be subspaces.

We say V is a direct sum and write

$$V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r \quad \text{if every}$$

$v \in V$ can be written uniquely as

$$v = u_1 + u_2 + \dots + u_r, \quad u_i \in U_i \quad \forall i=1, \dots, r$$

[check that this is equivalent to $U_1 \oplus U_2$ in case $r=2$ as defined previously as $U_1 \cap U_2 = \{0\}$]

Lemma 1.27 Suppose $U_1, \dots, U_r \subseteq V$ are subspaces

and $V = U_1 + U_2 + \dots + U_r$. Then TFAE

(a) V is a direct sum of the U_i

(b) If $u_1 + \dots + u_r = 0$ with $u_i \in U_i$, $i=1, \dots, r$

then $u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_r = 0$

Proof (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b) let $u_1 + \dots + u_r = 0$. Then

$$0 \in V \text{ so } 0 = \underbrace{0}_{\in U_1} + \underbrace{0}_{\in U_2} + \dots + \underbrace{0}_{\in U_r} \neq 0$$

$\therefore$ by uniqueness, $u_i = 0$.

(b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): Suppose $v \in V$ and $v = u_1 + \dots + u_r = u'_1 + \dots + u'_r$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{(u_1 - u'_1)}_{\in U_1} + \dots + \underbrace{(u_r - u'_r)}_{\in U_r} = 0$$

$\therefore$ by assumption (b)

$$\Rightarrow u_1 - u'_1 = 0, \dots, u_r - u'_r = 0$$

$\therefore$ (a) holds

Q.E.D

Note the similarity with the notion of
l.i. In fact [Q1 of WK3] $v_1, \dots, v_n$ is a basis
of V iff $V = \langle v_1 \rangle \oplus \dots \oplus \langle v_n \rangle$

Lemma 1.28 If $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r$ then

(a) If B_i is a basis of U_i , $i=1, \dots, r$
then the combined list $B_1, B_2, \dots, B_r$ is
a basis of V

(b) $\dim(V) = \dim(U_1) + \dots + \dim(U_r)$

Proof Clearly (a) $\Rightarrow$ (b). For (a), we

already know that $V = U_1 + \dots + U_r$ so
every element $v \in V$ can be written as
 $v = u_1 + \dots + u_r$. Each u_i can be
expanded in basis B_i so

$B = B_1, \dots, B_r$ clearly spans.

Now suppose a linear combination of B is zero

let $d_i = \dim(U_i)$, $B_i = u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,d_i}$ so

if $u_1 + \dots + u_r = 0$, $u_i = a_{i,1} u_{i,1} + \dots + a_{i,d_i} u_{i,d_i}$

for some coefficients $a_{i,j}$. By lemma 1.27

$u_1 = u_2 = \dots = u_r = 0$ as $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r$

Each $u_{i,1}, \dots, u_{i,d_i}$ are a basis so

$a_{i,1} = \dots = a_{i,d_i} = 0$ for $i=1, \dots, r$

$\therefore B$ is l.i. hence a basis QED

Quiz / challenge If $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r$

is it true that $U_i \cap U_j = \{0\} \quad \forall i \neq j$

☒ yes

☐ no

☐ depends

If $u \in U_i \cap U_j$

$$\underbrace{u}_{\in U_i} + \underbrace{(-u)}_{\in U_j} = 0$$

$\therefore$ by Lemma 1.27 $u = 0$

Challenge if $V = U_1 + \dots + U_r$ and

$$U_i \cap U_j = \{0\} \quad \forall i \neq j \quad r \geq 2$$

is $V = U_1 \oplus \dots \oplus U_r$?

Reminder Quiz deadline 11.59pm Thursday.

L8

Chapter 2 Matrices

2.1 (Revision) We'll work with $m \times n$ matrices

$\uparrow$ # rows $\uparrow$ # cols

$$A = (a_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{entries in } K$$

(eg square matrices $m=n$, set of these is $M_n(K)$)

The space of $m \times n$ matrices is v.s.

If $A = (a_{ij})$, $B = (b_{ij})$ then

$$A + B = C = (c_{ij}) : \quad c_{ij} = a_{ij} + b_{ij}$$

and cA has entries $cA = (ca_{ij})$ for $c \in \mathbb{K}$. Also, if A is $m \times n$ and B is $n \times p$ then

$$AB = C = (c_{ij}), \quad c_{ij} = \sum_{k=1}^n a_{ik} b_{kj}$$

$i=1 \dots m, j=1 \dots p$

is an $m \times p$ matrix.

(So $M_n(\mathbb{K})$ is an algebra with

$$AB \neq BA \text{ typically})$$

special matrices $\underline{0} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

and if $m=n$, $\underline{I}_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ $n \times n$ identity matrix

2.2 Row and Col ops

Definition Elementary row ops on an $m \times n$ matrix are:

1. add a multiple of j th row to i th row ($j \neq i$)
2. Scale the i th row by $a \in \mathbb{K}$, $a \neq 0$
3. Swap i th and j th rows ($i \neq j$)

Elementary column ops defined similarly replacing "row" by "column".

Associated to every row or col op is an "elementary matrix" defined by applying the op to identity matrix

I_m for row op, I_n for col op.

Example $m=3$, row op "add twice the 2nd row to the 1st row of a $3 \times n$ matrix" ($r_1 + 2r_2$ shorthand notation)

The associated elementary matrix is

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_1 + 2r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This is also the elementary matrix for the col op on an $m \times 3$ matrix "add twice the first col to the 2nd col" ($c_2 + 2c_1$)

Lemma 7.5 The effect of a row op is given by multiplying the $m \times n$ matrix on the left by the associated elementary matrix. The effect of a col op is given by multiplying on the right by the associated elementary matrix.

Example $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ 2×3 matrix

row op: subtract 4 times 1st row from 2nd
 $r_2 - 4r_1 \Rightarrow R = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ elementary matrix.

col op: subtract 2 times col 1 from col 2
 $c_2 - 2c_1 \Rightarrow$

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

elementary matrix.

Applying to left and right,

$$RAC = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

check $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 - 4r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{c_2 - 2c_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix}'' \checkmark$

1) Also note $(RA)C = R(AC)$ if you can interchange the order of row with col ops.

2) Note elementary matrices are all invertible since row/col ops are reversible. E.g. $C_2 + 2C_1$ has matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ can be reversed by $C_2 - 2C_1$,

so $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ as elementary matrices ✓

2.3 Rank of an $m \times n$ matrix

Def 2.7 let A be an $m \times n$ matrix over $\mathbb{K}$

Define:

Row space $(A) :=$ subspace of $\mathbb{K}^n$ spanned by the rows.

Col space $(A) :=$ " " $\mathbb{K}^m$ " " " " cols.

row rank $(A) := \dim(\text{Row space } (A))$

col rank $(A) := \dim(\text{Col space } (A))$

here $\text{row rank}(A) = \max \# \text{ of l.i. rows}$ (3)
 $\text{col rank}(A) = \max \# \text{ of l.i. columns}$

Lemma 2-9 (a) Elementary row ops preserve $\text{col space}(A)$
 (and hence $\text{col rank}(A)$)

(b) Elementary row ops preserve $\text{row space}(A)$
 (and hence $\text{row rank}(A)$)

(c) Elementary row ops preserve $\text{col rank}(A)$

(d) " " " " $\text{row rank}(A)$

(Note (c), (d) do not say that they preserve the $\text{col space}(A)$ and $\text{row space}(A)$)

Proof Let v_i be the columns of $A = \left[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ v_1 \\ \vdots \end{array} \right] \dots \left[\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ v_n \\ \vdots \end{array} \right]$

If $u = \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix}$ then $Au = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \vdots \\ a_{m1} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ \vdots \\ c_n \end{bmatrix} = \sum_i c_i v_i$

so a linear relation

$$\sum c_i v_i = 0 \iff u \text{ s.t. } Au = 0$$

$$\text{and } \text{col space}(A) = \langle v_1, \dots, v_n \rangle \\ = \left\{ \sum c_i v_i \right\} = \{ Au \mid u \in \mathbb{K}^n \}$$

(a) If $v \in \text{col space}(A) \Rightarrow v = Au$ some $u \in \mathbb{K}^n$

Consider a col op with matrix C so

a new matrix $A' = AC$. Then

$$v = AC(C^{-1}u) = A'u' \text{ some other } u' = C^{-1}u$$

$$\Rightarrow v \in \text{col space } (A')$$

$$\therefore \text{col space } (A) \subseteq \text{col space } (A')$$

But C is invertible so can consider

$$A = A' C^{-1} \text{ as obtained from } A'$$

$\therefore$ by some result with A, A' swapped,

$$\text{col space } (A') \subseteq \text{col space } (A)$$

$$\text{so } \text{col space } (A') = \text{col space } (A).$$

(b) Analogous to (a) with rows in place of columns $A' = R A$ some elementary row matrix R etc.

(c) let $A' = R A$ for some elementary matrix R .

$\text{col space } (A')$ is spanned by the cols of A'

$\therefore$ by theorem 1.15 (b) $\exists$ a subset of

rows $v_1', \dots, v_k'$ forming a basis,

where $k = \dim (\text{col space } (A')) = \text{colrank } (A')$

let $v_i = R^{-1} v_i'$ $i = 1, \dots, k$ $\in \text{col space } (A)$

These are l.i. since $\sum_{i=1}^k c_i v_i = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k c_i \underbrace{R v_i}_{v_i'} = 0 \Rightarrow c_i = 0 \text{ or } v_i' \text{ basis.}$$

$$\text{so } \text{colrank } (A) \geq k = \text{colrank } (A').$$

Now regard A' as obtained from A by R^{-1}

$$\Rightarrow \text{colrank } (A') \geq \text{colrank } (A) \quad (\text{swapping roles } A, A')$$

$$\therefore \text{colrank } (A') = \text{colrank } (A)$$

(d) analogous to (c) by swapping roles of $\textcircled{37}$
~~rows~~ ~~or~~ rows and columns, Q.E.D.

Theorem 2.10 Any $m \times n$ matrix A over K
 can be transformed by row and col ops
 to a matrix (the "canonical form for
 equivalence") of the form

$$D = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \begin{matrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{matrix} \end{array} \right] \quad I_r$$

for some $r \geq 0$.

L9

proof let $A = (a_{ij})$. As induction

hypothesis, we assume the result for all matrices

smaller than $m \times n$. If $A = 0$ we are done, $r = 0$

Hence assume $A \neq 0$. If $a_{11} = 0$ find some $a_{ij} \neq 0$

and swap 1st row with i th and 1st col with
 j th to bring this to the $(1,1)$ position.

Hence assume w.l.o.g. $a_{11} \neq 0$. We can also

scale the first row by a_{11}^{-1} (a row op)

to make this entry 1. So wlog assume $a_{11} = 1$

Now use type 1 moves to set all the
 other entries in the 1st row and 1st col to 0.

(If $a_{1j} \neq 0$ subtract $a_{1j} \times 1st\ col$ from the j th

i.e. $c_j - a_{1j} c_1$

etc

$$\left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \dots a_{1j} \dots \\ \vdots \end{array} \right] \rightarrow \left[\begin{array}{c} 1 \dots 0 \dots \\ \vdots \end{array} \right]$$

)

We end up with a matrix of the form 38

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{A'} \\ \vdots & & & \\ 0 & & & \end{bmatrix}$$

for some smaller matrix A' .

Assume the result for A'

$\Rightarrow$ the result for A after using row/col ops (which don't affect the 1st row or 1st col) to put A' in canonical form, is

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \boxed{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}} & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{or } A' = 0) \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Corollary 2.12 For any $m \times n$ matrix A ,
the $\text{rowrank}(A) = \text{colrank}(A) =: \text{"the rank"}$
 $\text{rank}(A)$

Proof We put A into canonical form

for equivalence

$$D = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} I_r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right] = \left[\begin{array}{c|c} \overbrace{\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ \vdots & 0 \end{smallmatrix}}^r & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 \end{array} \right]$$

which has $\text{colrank}(D) = r = \text{rowrank}(D)$

But $\text{colrank}(A) = \text{colrank}(D) = \text{rowrank}(D) (=r)$
 $= \text{rowrank}(A)$

Since we saw that row and col ops don't change either row or col ranks (Lemma 2.9)
Q.E.D.

Example $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ is a matrix over $\mathbb{R}$. (1)

We already have $a_{11} = 1$ so skip the first part of the proof/procedure to find D in the theorem.

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 - 4r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{r_2 - 2c_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & -3 & -6 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xleftarrow{c_3 - 2c_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \xleftarrow{-\frac{1}{3}r_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \xleftarrow{c_3 + 2c_2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = D$$

A'

$$\therefore \text{rank}_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \text{rank} \left(\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2 \quad (r=2)$$

either row rank or col rank.

Quiz Can the rank of a matrix depend on the field?

☒ yes

☐ no

☒ depends

Example $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \end{bmatrix}$ over $\mathbb{F}_3$ is $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \xleftarrow{r_2 - r_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\text{rank}(A) = 1 \text{ over } \mathbb{F}_3 \quad (\text{over } \mathbb{R} \text{ it was } 2)$$

Theorem 2.14 For any $m \times n$ matrix A over $\mathbb{F}$

$\exists$ invertible matrices P ($m \times m$) and Q ($n \times n$)

s.t. $D = PAQ$ is the canonical form

for equivalence. Moreover P, Q are products of elementary row, col op matrices, respectively.

Proof Write $R_1, R_2, \dots, R_s$ for the elementary row op matrices and $C_1, \dots, C_t$ for the elementary col op matrices for the operations in Theorem 2.10. So

$$D = \underbrace{R_s \dots R_2 R_1}_P A \underbrace{C_1 C_2 \dots C_t}_Q \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

In our above example $R_1 = R_2 - 4R_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$
applied to I_2

$$C_1 = C_2 - 2C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{applied to } I_3 \quad R_2 = -\frac{1}{3} R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{3} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$C_2 = C_3 - 3C_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad C_3 = C_3 - 2C_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

check $D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = R_2 R_1 A C_1 C_2 C_3$

$$= \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix}}_P \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_A \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_Q$$

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4/3 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix} \quad Q = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(check you get $D = PAQ$).

A quick way to get P, Q is to apply the same row / col ops to the relevant identity matrices. eg

$$I_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{C_2 - 2C_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{C_3 - 3C_1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & -3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \xrightarrow{C_3 - 2C_2} \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}}_Q$$

similarly apply row ops to I_2 to get P .

(41)

Def 2.16 $m \times n$ matrices A, B are said to be equivalent if $B = PAQ$ for some invertible $P \in M_m(K), Q \in M_n(K)$

Check this is an equivalence relation
- i.e. reflexive, symmetric, transitive.

So theorem 2.14 says that every A is equivalent to a matrix of form D
(hence the name)

Announcements ① Quiz due 11.59 Thursdays
[Advice not to "wing it"
but do the questions
in advance]

② Learning ~~to~~ café B11 (Maths building) 2pm

③ Undergrad research Seminar (3pm?)

④ Next Monday tutorial $\rightarrow$ Quiz 1 answers.