## MTH5129 Probability \& Statistics II <br> Coursework 8

1. A computer scientist has developed an algorithm for generating pseudorandom integers $0,1, \ldots, 9$. He codes the algorithm and generates 1000 pseudo-random digits. The data are as follows

| Digit | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 94 | 93 | 112 | 101 | 104 | 95 | 100 | 99 | 108 | 94 |

Test whether there is evidence against the hypothesis that the digits are all equally likely.

## Solution:

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : All digits equally likely
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \neg H_{0}$
The expected frequencies if $H_{0}$ is true are all 100 .
Test statistic

$$
X^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}
$$

degrees of freedom $\nu=10-1=9 . X^{2} \sim \chi_{9}^{2}$ if $H_{0}$ is true.
Observed value of $X^{2}=\frac{(94-100)^{2}}{100}+\cdots+\frac{(94-100)^{2}}{100}=3.72$.
P value is $P\left(X^{2}>3.72\right)$, which can be computed in R as follows
> 1- pchisq(3.72, 9)
[1] 0.9288566

Thus there is no evidence against the hypothesis that all digits are equally likely.
2. The lifetime (in hours) of 500 batteries was recorded and is shown in the following frequency table.

| Time | $0-50$ | $50-100$ | $100-150$ | $150-200$ | $200-250$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 218 | 117 | 70 | 35 | 25 |
| Time | $250-300$ | $300-350$ | $350-400$ | $400+$ |  |
| Frequency | 18 | 11 | 6 | 0 |  |

Test the hypothesis that the distribution of lifetimes follows an exponential distribution at the $5 \%$ significance level.

## Solution:

Taking the mid-points of the intervals we find $\bar{y}=91$. So $\hat{\lambda}=1 / 91$.
So

$$
P(0<Y<50)=\int_{0}^{50} \frac{1}{\hat{\lambda}} \exp (-\hat{\lambda} y) d y=1-\exp (-50 \hat{\lambda})=0.4227
$$

The other probabilities are found similarly so we have

| Time | Probability | $E_{i}=$ Prob $\times 500$ | $O_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-50$ | 0.4227 | 211.35 | 218 |
| $50-100$ | 0.2440 | 122.00 | 117 |
| $100-150$ | 0.1409 | 70.45 | 70 |
| $150-200$ | 0.0813 | 40.65 | 35 |
| $200-250$ | 0.0469 | 23.45 | 25 |
| $250-300$ | 0.0271 | 13.55 | 18 |
| $300-350$ | 0.0156 | 7.80 | 11 |
| $350-400$ | 0.0090 | 4.50 | 6 |
| $400+$ | 0.0123 | 6.15 | 0 |

To make all the expected frequencies larger than 5 we merge the last two classes to give $350+$ with an $E_{i}=10.65$ and $O_{i}=6$.
Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : Data are from an exponential distribution
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \neg H_{0}$
Test statistic

$$
X^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}
$$

degrees of freedom $\nu=8-1-1=6 . X^{2} \sim \chi_{6}^{2}$ if $H_{0}$ is true.
Observed value of $X^{2}=\frac{(218-211.35)^{2}}{211.35}+\cdots+\frac{(6-10.65)^{2}}{10.65}=6.109$.
The rejection region is $\left\{X^{2}: X^{2}>12.59\right\}$.
Thus we can't reject $H_{0}$ at the $5 \%$ significance level. The data are compatible with coming from an exponential distribution.
3. 100 observations on a continuous random variable $Y$ gave the following frequency table

| Interval | $0-\pi / 4$ | $\pi / 4-\pi / 2$ | $\pi / 2-3 \pi / 4$ | $3 \pi / 4-\pi$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 10 | 38 | 41 | 11 |

Test the hypothesis that $Y$ has the pdf

$$
f(y)= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{2} \sin y & 0 \leq y \leq \pi \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

using the $5 \%$ significance level.

Solution: We see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
P\left(0<X \leq \frac{\pi}{4}\right) & =\int_{0}^{\pi / 4} \frac{1}{2} \sin y d y \\
& =\left[-\frac{1}{2} \cos y\right]_{0}^{\pi / 4} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(1-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\
& =0.146 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly $P\left(\frac{\pi}{4}<Y \leq \frac{\pi}{2}\right)=0.354, P\left(\frac{\pi}{2}<Y \leq \frac{3 \pi}{4}\right)=0.354$ and $P\left(\frac{3 \pi}{4}<\right.$ $Y \leq \pi)=0.146$. So we have the following table

$$
\begin{array}{cccc}
x & O_{i} & E_{i} & \frac{(O-E)^{2}}{E} \\
0-\pi / 4 & 10 & 14.6 & 1.45 \\
\pi / 4-\pi / 2 & 38 & 35.4 & 0.19 \\
\pi / 2-3 \pi / 4 & 41 & 35.4 & 0.89 \\
3 \pi / 4-\pi & 11 & 14.6 & 0.89 \\
\text { Total } & & & 3.42
\end{array}
$$

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : The data are from this distribution
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \neg H_{0}$
Test statistic

$$
X^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}},
$$

degrees of freedom $\nu=4-1=3 . X^{2} \sim \chi_{3}^{2}$ if $H_{0}$ is true.
Observed value of $X^{2}=3.42$.
We reject $H_{0}$ if $X^{2}>7.815$. Thus we cannot reject $H_{0}$ at the $5 \%$ significance level. The data are compatible with coming from this idstribution.
4. Five dice were thrown 150 times and the number of sixes was recorded. The data are given in the following table.

| No. of sixes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 46 | 63 | 23 | 12 | 5 | 1 |

We want to know if there is any evidence that the dice are not fair. Compute the p -value.

Solution: If the dice are fair then the number of sixes will have a binomial distribution with $n=5$ and $p=1 / 6$. We test the null hypothesis $H_{0}$ that the data come from this distribution against an alternative that they don't. The probabilities and expected frequencies are shown below where I have merged the expected and observed frequency for scores three to five so that the expected number is more than 5 .

| No. of sixes | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Probability | 0.4019 | 0.4019 | 0.1608 | 0.0322 | 0.0032 | 0.0001 |
| $E_{i}$ | 60.3 | 60.3 | 24.1 |  | 5.3 |  |
| $O_{i}$ | 46 | 63 | 23 |  | 18 |  |

Test statistic

$$
X^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}
$$

degrees of freedom $\nu=4-0-1=3 . X^{2} \sim \chi_{3}^{2}$ if $H_{0}$ is true.
The observed value of $X^{2}=3.39+0.12+0.05+30.43=33.99$ so the P value is $P\left(X^{2}>33.99\right)$. This $\mathrm{p}-$ value is $1.99 \times 10^{-7}$ so there is overwhelming evidence against the null hypothesis.
5. The masses measured on a population of 100 animals were grouped in the following table, after being recorded to the nearest gram

| Mass | $\leq 89$ | $90-109$ | $110-129$ | $130-149$ | $150-169$ | $170-189$ | $\geq 190$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Frequency | 3 | 7 | 34 | 43 | 10 | 2 | 1 |

You are given that the sample mean of the data is 131.5 and the sample standard deviation is 20.0. Test the hypothesis that the distribution of masses follows a normal distribution at the $5 \%$ significance level.

Solution: We draw up a table of probabilities. Note that $y_{i}$ is the upper end point of the class.

| $y_{i}$ | $z_{i}=\frac{y_{i}-131.5}{20}$ | $\Phi\left(z_{i}\right)$ | $\Phi\left(z_{i}\right)-\Phi\left(z_{i-1}\right.$ | $E_{i}$ | $O_{i}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89.5 | -2.1 | 0.0179 | 0.0179 | 1.8 | 3 |
| 109.5 | -1.1 | 0.1357 | 0.1178 | 11.8 | 7 |
| 129.5 | -0.1 | 0.4602 | 0.3245 | 32.4 | 34 |
| 149.5 | 0.9 | 0.8159 | 0.3557 | 35.6 | 43 |
| 169.5 | 1.9 | 0.9713 | 0.1554 | 15.5 | 10 |
| 189.5 | 2.9 | 0.9981 | 0.0268 | 2.7 | 2 |
| $\infty$ | $\infty$ | 1.0000 | 0.0019 | 0.2 | 1 |

Now to ensure that $E_{i}>5$ we merge the first two classes and the last three classes and have the following values of $O_{i}$ and $E_{i}$.

| $O_{i}$ | 10 | 34 | 43 | 13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $E_{i}$ | 13.6 | 32.4 | 35.6 | 18.4 |

Null hypothesis $H_{0}$ : The data are normally distributed.
Alternative hypothesis $H_{1}: \neg H_{0}$
Test statistic

$$
X^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{\left(O_{i}-E_{i}\right)^{2}}{E_{i}}
$$

degrees of freedom $\nu=4-2-1=1 . X^{2} \sim \chi_{1}^{2}$ if $H_{0}$ is true.
Observed value of $X^{2}=4.15$.
$\chi_{1}^{2}(0.05)=3.841$ so we reject $H_{0}$ if $X^{2}>3.841$. Thus we can reject $H_{0}$ at the $5 \%$ significance level. The data are not compatible with a normal distribution.

Note that with only 100 observations we had to merge a lot of classes and ended up with only one degree of freedom. Ideally we would have a bigger sample size and more degrees of freedom.

You are given the following values from R :

```
> pchisq(3.72, 9)
[1] 0.07114341
> qchisq(0.95,5)
[1] 11.0705
> qchisq(0.95,6)
[1] 12.59159
> qchisq(0.95,7)
[1] 14.06714
```

> qchisq(0.95,8)
[1] 15.50731
> qchisq $(0.95,9)$
[1] 16.91898
> qchisq(0.95,4)
[1] 9.487729
> qchisq(0.95,3)
[1] 7.814728
> qchisq $(0.95,2)$
[1] 5.991465
> pchisq(33.99,3)
[1] 0.9999998
> pnorm(-2.1)
[1] 0.01786442
> pnorm(-1.1)
[1] 0.1356661
> pnorm (-0.1)
[1] 0.4601722
$>$ pnorm(0.9)
[1] 0.8159399
> pnorm(1.9)
[1] 0.9712834
> pnorm(2.9)
[1] 0.9981342
> qchisq(0.95,1)
[1] 3.841459

