A proposal to facilitate peer to peer transfers of research funds

Abhishek Saha

15th February 2022

▶ PIs of grants currently get a substantial return of their overheads in the form of a Research Enabling Fund or Flexible Research fund (REF/FLF).

- ▶ PIs of grants currently get a substantial return of their overheads in the form of a Research Enabling Fund or Flexible Research fund (REF/FLF).
- ► These funds need to be spent within each financial year. For the current financial year (2021-2022), the amount is about £123K across 19 Pls.

- ▶ PIs of grants currently get a substantial return of their overheads in the form of a Research Enabling Fund or Flexible Research fund (REF/FLF).
- ► These funds need to be spent within each financial year. For the current financial year (2021-2022), the amount is about £123K across 19 Pls.
- ▶ At the same time, there are several staff members without REF/FLF who need funds for important research purposes (e.g., publication costs for journals) who are unable to access the funds needed.

- ▶ Pls of grants currently get a substantial return of their overheads in the form of a Research Enabling Fund or Flexible Research fund (REF/FLF).
- ► These funds need to be spent within each financial year. For the current financial year (2021-2022), the amount is about £123K across 19 Pls.
- At the same time, there are several staff members without REF/FLF who need funds for important research purposes (e.g., publication costs for journals) who are unable to access the funds needed.
- ▶ An issue of information sharing: It seems likely that if PIs were aware of the research needs of others as they arise, they would be able to support some of these needs.

- ▶ Pls of grants currently get a substantial return of their overheads in the form of a Research Enabling Fund or Flexible Research fund (REF/FLF).
- ► These funds need to be spent within each financial year. For the current financial year (2021-2022), the amount is about £123K across 19 Pls.
- ► At the same time, there are several staff members without REF/FLF who need funds for important research purposes (e.g., publication costs for journals) who are unable to access the funds needed.
- ▶ An issue of information sharing: It seems likely that if PIs were aware of the research needs of others as they arise, they would be able to support some of these needs.
- Current system where PIs are asked to make their plans for these funds known to the School months in advance and donate funds to school is *not* efficient or dynamic.

I propose that we have a system to facilitate peer to peer transfers of research funds by solving the problem of information sharing.

Key principles:

Voluntary: No one is obliged to donate their funds.
 Participation is fully optional. No change to existing policies.

I propose that we have a system to facilitate peer to peer transfers of research funds by solving the problem of information sharing. **Key principles:**

- 1. **Voluntary**: No one is obliged to donate their funds. Participation is fully optional. No change to existing policies.
- 2. **Dynamic**: The information is constantly updated through the year and transfers can take place at any point.

I propose that we have a system to facilitate peer to peer transfers of research funds by solving the problem of information sharing.

Key principles:

- Voluntary: No one is obliged to donate their funds.
 Participation is fully optional. No change to existing policies.
- 2. **Dynamic**: The information is constantly updated through the year and transfers can take place at any point.
- 3. **Moderated (but lightly)**: To ensure that all requests are reasonable and within the expense policy.

I propose that we have a system to facilitate peer to peer transfers of research funds by solving the problem of information sharing.

Key principles:

- 1. **Voluntary**: No one is obliged to donate their funds. Participation is fully optional. No change to existing policies.
- 2. **Dynamic**: The information is constantly updated through the year and transfers can take place at any point.
- 3. **Moderated (but lightly)**: To ensure that all requests are reasonable and within the expense policy.
- 4. **Efficient**: New entries on the platform are communicated to Pls (subscription or email), old entries promptly deleted.

I propose that we have a system to facilitate peer to peer transfers of research funds by solving the problem of information sharing. **Key principles:**

- Voluntary: No one is obliged to donate their funds.
 Participation is fully optional. No change to existing policies.
- 2. **Dynamic**: The information is constantly updated through the year and transfers can take place at any point.
- 3. **Moderated (but lightly)**: To ensure that all requests are reasonable and within the expense policy.
- 4. **Efficient**: New entries on the platform are communicated to Pls (subscription or email), old entries promptly deleted.

Implementation: Could be low-tech (via targeted emails to/from the Research Manager) **or** more sophisticated (via a dynamic online spreadsheet that people can access/subscribe to).

together with Elisa.

I propose that we have a system to facilitate peer to peer transfers of research funds by solving the problem of information sharing. **Key principles:**

- 1. **Voluntary**: No one is obliged to donate their funds. Participation is fully optional. No change to existing policies.
- 2. **Dynamic**: The information is constantly updated through the year and transfers can take place at any point.
- 3. **Moderated (but lightly)**: To ensure that all requests are reasonable and within the expense policy.
- 4. **Efficient**: New entries on the platform are communicated to Pls (subscription or email), old entries promptly deleted.

Implementation: Could be low-tech (via targeted emails to/from the Research Manager) **or** more sophisticated (via a dynamic online spreadsheet that people can access/subscribe to). **Thoughts/questions?** I am happy to help implement this