# Social determinants of health and infection rates

#### G. Galanis<sup>1</sup>

# QMUL Summer School on Environmental impacts on Health and Disease

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ りへぐ

 $<sup>^1</sup>g.galanis@qmul.ac.uk$ 

# Introduction

- Epidemiological models are used to understand infection dynamics
- The recent pandemic highlighted the importance of such models
  - Policy design regarding measures
  - Timing of measures
- As measures are costly it is important to take into account how people react to measures and in absence of these measures
- Decisions are influenced by socioeconomic factors influencing decisions
- Incorporate insights from the Social Determinants of Health (SDH) literature on epidemiological models

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

#### Lecture overview

- Introduce most used epidemiological models
  - SIR
  - Extensions: SIRD; SEIR; SEIRD
  - Other types
- Extend these to incorporate insights from relevant SDH

A D F A 目 F A E F A E F A Q Q

- Socioeconomic compartmental model
- Applications related to COVID-19
- Political Economy of Health

#### Compartmental Models

- Based on seminal work of Kermack and McKendrick (1927)
- Split the population in health compartments
- Analyse the dynamics of people moving from one compartment to the next

- The most standard compartments are
  - Susceptible (S): can get infected
  - Infected (I) and also infectious
  - **Removed** (R): after infection not susceptible
- Model:  $S \to I \to R$

# SIR model setup

- Population of N individuals
- Study the evolution across compartments over time t
- At each point in time a person can be S, I or R
  - $S_t$ : susceptible at t
  - $I_t$ : infected at t
  - $R_t$ : removed at t
  - $S_t + I_t + R_t = N$
- We are interested in the evolution of each of the states  $(S_t, I_t, R_t)$  from one period to the next
  - ie. from t to t+1
  - for example what is  $S_{t+1}$  depending on  $S_t, I_t, R_t$

#### Infection Dynamics 1

- Susceptible individuals get infected if they meet an infected person with some probability
- The number of susceptible at t + 1 is

$$S_{t+1} = S_t - \frac{\beta S_t I_t}{N},\tag{1}$$

- This captures two things regarding the evolution of susceptible
- Depends positively
  - How many people are susceptible
  - How many people are infected
- $\beta$  captures the probability of a person in S getting infected for a given  $I_t$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

• Note that  $\beta$  is fixed- more on this later

#### Infection Dynamics 2

- Infected individuals stay infected for some time before becoming removed
- The number of infected at t + 1,  $I_{t+1}$  is

$$I_{t+1} = I_t + \frac{\beta S_t I_t}{N} - \gamma I_t, \tag{2}$$

- This captures
  - $\bullet\,$  inflow from S
  - outflow to R:  $\gamma$  is the average daily probability that an infected individual becomes removed.
- Based on the previous, the evolution of  $R_t$  is

$$R_{t+1} = R_t + \gamma I_t, \tag{3}$$

うしゃ ふゆ きょう きょう うくの

#### Infection growth rate

- A key way to summarise the evolution of an epidemic is by looking how quickly *I<sub>t</sub>* grows
- Compare the outflow rate with the inflow rate
- From equation (2), we get the growth rate of infections  $\frac{I_{t+1}-I_t}{I_t}$ :

$$\frac{I_{t+1} - I_t}{I_t} = \frac{\beta S_t}{N} - \gamma \tag{4}$$

• The growth rate of infections is positive if

$$\frac{\beta S_t}{N} - \gamma > 0 \tag{5}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

• Note that this depends on  $S_t$ 

#### Basic reproduction number

- At the beginning of the epidemic where every one is assumed to be  ${\cal S}$
- $S_t \equiv N$ , then (5) is equivalent to

$$\beta - \gamma > 0, \ or,$$
  
 $\frac{\beta}{\gamma} > 1$  (6)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 のへで

- $\frac{\beta}{\gamma}$  is known as the *basic reproduction number* and expressed as  $R_0$
- If  $R_0 > 1$  infections grow
- If  $R_0 < 1$  infections die out
- $R_0 = 1$  captures an *endemic equilibrium*

# Non Pharmaceutical Interventions

- The possibility of getting infected  $(\beta)$  is presented as a constant
- However it is not a constant as it is possible for people to reduce contacts
- Non Pharmaceutical Interventions (NPIs) aim to increase physical distancing
  - $\bullet~$  Reduce  $\beta$
  - Reduce the (basic) reproduction number to values less than 1

- Slow down infection dynamics
- This highlights that  $\beta$  is a variable which can be influenced by policies

#### Infection dynamics Graph from US CDC

Infection dynamics for different values of  $\beta$ 



# Other compartmental models: SIRD

Similar as the SIR model but R stands for recovered and D for deceased



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへで

# Other compartmental models: SEIR

Similar as the SIR model but susceptible first become Exposed before being Infectious



The  ${\cal E}$  compartment captures the state of infected individuals during the virus' incubation period

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Depending on the period and characteristics it may be important to:

- Include other compartments
  - SEIRD: takes into account both deaths and incubation period
  - SIRS: allows for removed to become again susceptible
- Split existing compartments into smaller ones
  - influences of factors leading to differential infection rates
  - influences of factors leading to differential mortality rates

# Going back to $\beta$

- The probability of getting infected (β) is not constant but is a variable
- Is it an exogenous or endogenous variable?
  - exogenous: the policy maker can control this (to some extent)
  - endogenous: it is influenced by other variables in the model

# Going back to $\beta$

- The probability of getting infected (β) is not constant but is a variable
- Is it an exogenous or endogenous variable?
  - exogenous: the policy maker can control this (to some extent)
  - endogenous: it is influenced by other variables in the model

• What do you think?

People react Graph: Galanis et al. (2021, PLoS One)

COVID-19 has shown that people react themselves



#### Physical Distancing is endogenous

- We see that  $\beta$  is a decreasing function of  $I_t$
- Hence as long as  $I_t$  is changing, so is  $\beta(I_t)$

This implies two important things for policies

- In absence of measures the increase of infections may not be as rapid as expected
  - Still a high rate of infections but may take some days longer to reach the peak

- **2** NPIs may not be as efficient
  - Especially when infections fall  $\rightarrow$  increase in  $\beta(I_t)$

# A Behavioural SEIR model

• Use Machine Learning methods to approximate  $\beta(E_t)$ Note that in a SEIR model the 'new cases' are Exposed

$$\beta(E_t) = aE_{t-2} + b$$

- It takes two periods to influence decisions
  - get infected (exposed) at t
  - the results of the test are out at t+1
  - this has an impact on physical distancing decision at t

# BeSEIR insights

- Even if  $\beta$  is endogenous, timing of NPIs matters
- Lower intensity NPIs earlier on are more effective than the opposite
- Lifting measures early (when cases/deaths fall) may not be desirable
- While people act over and above NPIs they do not all act uniformly

- Decisions are constrained
  - Economically
  - Socially

# Socioeconomic conditions are key

- Socioeconomic conditions matter for decisions
- Not everyone can take the same measures
- UK example
  - University lecturers
  - Bus drivers, doctors, nurses ...
- Worse socioe conomic conditions imply higher  $\beta$

うして ふゆ く は く は く む く し く

• Also imply higher death rates

# Social Determinants of Health

- The fact that socioeconomic conditions have direct and indirect effects on health is well known
- This is the basis of a growing literature on Social Determinants of Health (SDH)
- With respect to compartmental models, SDH influence
  - contagion dynamics
  - mortality
- For example
  - poverty  $\rightarrow$  more difficult to take measures
  - housing conditions, sanitation etc. have similar effects

- worse infrastructures
  - contagion
  - mortality

#### A Socioeconomic Compartmental model

Standard SIRDS model where parameters are socioe conomically determined

$$S_{t+1} = S_t + \epsilon R_t - \beta (S_t + \epsilon R_t) I_t / N, \tag{7}$$

where  $0 \le \epsilon \le 1$  capturing immunity

$$I_{t+1} = I_t + \beta (S_t + \epsilon R_t) I_t / N - \gamma I_t,$$
(8)

$$R_{t+1} = (1-\epsilon)R_t + \gamma(1-\delta)I_t, \tag{9}$$

$$D_{t+1} = D_t + \gamma \delta I_t \tag{10}$$

うしゃ ふゆ きょう きょう うくの

where  $\delta$  is the case fatality ratio (CFR)

#### Social Determinants' impacts on $\beta$ and $\delta$

SD1: Conditions of employment  $(c_1)$ 

- physical distancing and isolation, informal work, possibility to work from home etc.
- SD2: Conditions of housing  $(c_2)$ 
  - obstacles for physical distancing and isolation, substandard infrastructures including water, sewage and sanitation

SD3: Access to and quality of health infrastructure  $(c_3)$ 

• both between and within inequalities matter

We can write  $\beta$  and  $\delta$  as

$$\beta = \beta_0 + a_1 c_1 + a_2 c_2 - a_3 c_3$$
(11)  
$$\delta = \delta_0 + b_1 c_1 + b_2 c_2 - b_3 c_3$$
(12)

#### Long run effects on socioeconomic conditions

- What are the factors which impact socioeconomic conditions
- This is a long list of variables which to some extent differ across countries
- However there are some key global trends identified in political economy literatures
- Globalisation: opening of boarders for move of capital across countries
  - Captured by trade openness
  - Influence on variables related to SDH
- Financiallisation: growth of financial sector and operations
  - Captured by different measures of debt
  - Influence on key SDH related to housing, work and others

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@