

## Last time:

- Formal definition of a ring satisfying axioms (A0) - (A4), (M0) - (M1), (D).  
Optional axioms (M2) - (M4).
- 

## Basic properties of rings

Proposition: In any ring  $R$ , the following identity holds:

$$(a+b)^2 = a^2 + a \cdot b + b \cdot a + b^2$$

Proof: Let's start from the left hand side:

$$(a+b)^2 \stackrel{\text{definition of square}}{=} (a+b) \cdot (a+b)$$

$$\stackrel{(D)}{=} (a+b) \cdot a + (a+b) \cdot b$$

$$\stackrel{(D)}{=} (a \cdot a + b \cdot a) + (a \cdot b + b \cdot b)$$

$$= a^2 + b \cdot a + a \cdot b + b^2$$

$$\stackrel{(A4)}{=} a^2 + a \cdot b + b \cdot a + b^2 \quad \square$$

no need for parentheses as  $+$  is associative

---

Proposition: In any ring  $R$ , the zero element is unique (this is why it is called the zero element).

Proof: Suppose  $z_1, z_2$  are two elements of  $R$

$$- \dots \quad a + z_1 = a \quad \forall a \in R$$

1.2.4. Suppose

satisfying

$$a + z_1 = a$$

$$z_1 + a = a$$

$$a + z_2 = a$$

$$z_2 + a = a$$

$$\forall a \in R$$

$$\forall a \in R \quad (*)$$

$$\forall a \in R \quad (**)$$

$$\forall a \in R.$$

Consider the sum  $z_1 + z_2$ .

Because of  $(*)$ ,  $z_1 + z_2 = z_2$

Because of  $(**)$ ,  $z_1 + z_2 = z_1$

So  $z_1 = z_1 + z_2 = z_2$  and  $z_1 = z_2$  □

---

Proposition: If  $a$  is an element of a ring  $R$ , then the additive inverse of  $a$  is unique. We denote this element by  $-a$ .

Proof: Suppose  $b$  and  $c$  are both additive inverses to  $a$ . This means

$$\begin{array}{l} (*) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} a + b = 0 \\ b + a = 0 \end{array} \right\} b \text{ is an additive inverse of } a \\ (**) \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} a + c = 0 \\ c + a = 0 \end{array} \right\} c \text{ is an additive inverse of } a. \end{array}$$

Take  $(*)$  and add  $c$  on the right:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (b+a) + c &= 0 + c \\
 &\quad \downarrow (A1) \qquad \qquad \downarrow (A2) \\
 b + (a+c) &= c \\
 &\quad \downarrow (+0) \\
 b + 0 &= c \\
 &\quad \downarrow (A2) \\
 b &= c
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition. In any ring, we denote

$$a - b := a + \underbrace{(-b)}_{\text{additive inverse of } b}.$$

additive inverse of  $b$ .

Proposition. In any ring  $R$ , there is cancellation for addition: (called cancellation law for addition).

If  $\cancel{a} + b = \cancel{a} + c$

then  $b = c$ .

Proof: Suppose  $a + b = a + c$

Adding  $-a$  on both sides we get

$$-a + (a+b) = -a + (a+c)$$

$$(-a+a) + b = (-a+a) + c$$

$$0 + b = 0 + c$$

$$b = c.$$

□

Question: Is the cancellative law for multiplication true in general?

$$a \cdot b = a \cdot c \stackrel{?}{\implies} b = c$$

Definitely not if  $a = 0$ .

⚠ Not true in general!

$$\begin{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \cdot & \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} & = & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} & \cdot & \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ a & & b & & a & & c \end{matrix}$$

We cannot conclude that  $b = c$ .

### Exercise:

	(A0)	A1	A2	A3	A4	(M0)	M1	D	M2	M3	M4	
$\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$												2 F
Polynomials with coefficients in $\mathbb{Z}$ $\mathbb{Z}[x]$												1 F
Polynomials $\mathbb{R}[x]$ of degree $\leq 4$	T	T	T	T	T	F	T	T	T	F	T	2 F *
Polynomials $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ with constant term = 0												2 F
Integers $\mathbb{Z}$ divisible by 3												2 F
Invertible $2 \times 2$ matrices with real entries	F	T	F	•	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	3 F *
Upper triangular $2 \times 2$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{R}$	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F	2 F

Upper triangular  
2x2 matrices  
entries in  $\mathbb{R}$

T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	T	F	F
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---

2 F