

Last time:

- Units of $\mathbb{Z}[i]$ are $\pm 1, \pm i$.
- We defined irreducible elements of an integral domain, and defined unique factorisation domains (UFD)
- Gauss' Lemma: If R is a UFD then $R[X]$ is also UFD.

||

Remark: The ring $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{-5}] = \{a + b\sqrt{-5} : a, b \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is not a UFD (Coursework 3).

||

Greatest common divisors and divisibility

If R is a commutative ring, ^{$a, b \in R$} we say that a divides b if there exists $c \in R$

such that $b = a \cdot c$.

We also say that b is a multiple of a .

Proposition: Suppose a, a' are associate elements, and b, b' are associate elements in a domain R .

Then $\underbrace{a \mid b} \iff \underbrace{a' \mid b'}$

$$a \mid b \iff a' \mid b'$$

$\underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{a \text{ divides } b} \quad \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{a' \text{ divides } b'}$

Example $\mathbb{Z}[i]$

0	1	$2+3i$	13	...
-1	$-2-3i$	-13	...	
i	$-3+2i$	$13i$...	
-i	$3-2i$	$-13i$...	

→ associate classes (infinitely many)

Question

Does $2+3i \mid 13$?

Yes because $13 = 4 + 9 = 2^2 + 3^2 = 2^2 - (3i)^2$
 $= (2+3i)(2-3i)$

• Our proposition says that any associate
to $2+3i$ divides any associate of 13.

Proof of proposition

Suppose a is associate to a' and b is
associate to b' . This means $a = a' \cdot u$ and
 $b = b' \cdot v$ for u, v units of R .

If $a \mid b$, there exists $c \in R$ such
that $b = a \cdot c$.

that $b = a \cdot c$.

Substituting, we get

$$b' \cdot v = a' \cdot u \cdot c$$

Multiplying by v^{-1} , we get

$$b' = a' \cdot (u \cdot c \cdot v^{-1})$$

showing that $a' \mid b'$.

Definition: Let R be a domain.

A greatest common divisor (gcd) between $a, b \in R$ is an element $d \in R$ such that

- $d \mid a$ and $d \mid b$.
- whenever $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, we have $c \mid d$.

Remark: Two elements $a, b \in R$ might have

several g.c.d.s:

For instance, in \mathbb{Z} 6 is a gcd of 18, 24

but also -6 is a gcd of 18, 24

Proposition:

— 1 . R

Proposition:

In a domain R

- a g.c.d between 0 and a is a .
- a g.c.d between 1 and a is 1 .

Remark: In general integral domains, g.c.d.s might not exist. (in not UFD).

Proposition: Suppose R is an integral domain.

- If $c|d$ and $d|c$ then c, d are associates
- "g.c.d.s are unique up to associates", that is, if d, d' are g.c.d.s of $a, b \in R$ then d and d' are associates.

Proof: Suppose $c|d$ and $d|c$. This means there exists $a \in R$ such that $d = c \cdot a$, and also there exists $b \in R$ such that $c = d \cdot b$.

Substituting, we get $c = c \cdot a \cdot b$.

- If $c \neq 0$, we can use the cancellative law \hookrightarrow (integral domain) to conclude $1 = a \cdot b$

to conclude $1 = a \cdot b$

So a, b are units, and therefore c, d are associates.

- If $c=0$ then $d=0$ so the proposition also holds.
- The second part follows directly from the first one, because if d and d' are g.c.d.s of a, b then $d|d'$ and $d'|d$ so d and d' are associates by part a.

//

Common mistakes in CW1

- The 'additive identity' of a ring does not need to be the element 0 .

In Q1a, the multiplicative identity was the real number 0 . (not the real number 1)

- In Q2a, many people used

$$\text{" } (a+b)^2 = a^2 + 2ab + b^2 \text{ " } \leftarrow \text{not correct}$$

$$= a^2 + ab + ba + b^2 \leftarrow \text{correct.}$$

Moreover 2 might not be an element of R so $2 \cdot a \cdot b$ does not make sense.

of \mathbb{R} , so 2. a. b does not make sense.

• In Q3b, many people said a symmetric matrix looks like $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & a \end{pmatrix}$ → not necessarily the same.

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ b & c \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \text{correct.}$$

• In Q5d, people used

$$A \text{ is invertible} \Leftrightarrow \det(A) \neq 0$$

This is only true for matrices with entries in a field (like \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}), but not over any ring.

Questions about CW2:

• In Q3a

$$f: \mathbb{Z}_{24} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_4 \times \mathbb{Z}_6$$
$$[x]_{24} \longmapsto ([x]_4, [4x]_6)$$

Show this is well-defined.

\mathbb{Z}_{24}

-24	0	24	48
-23	1	25	49
-22	2	26	50
-1	23	47	95

$$\longrightarrow ([]_4, [4 \cdot]_6)$$

we should show that the answer here does not depend on the choice of x .

In other words we must show:

$$[x]_{24} = [y]_{24} \implies ([x]_4, [4x]_6) = ([y]_4, [4y]_6)$$

"

Q5c

Is the element $[2]_4 + [1]_4 x + [2]_4 x^2$ a zero-divisor in $\mathbb{Z}_4[x]$?

Zero-divisor means

$$([2]_4 + [1]_4 x + [2]_4 x^2) \cdot ([]_4 + []_4 x + [2]_4 x^2) = [0]_4$$

has to be 2
↓
might not be quadratic...

Think about the x^3 term...