

Lemma 4.1 says that the relation  $|$  is transitive.  
(We'll see relations later in the module.)

Lemma 4.2: Suppose  $a, b, c \in \mathbb{N}$ , and  $a|b$ ,  $a|c$  and  $b < c$ .  
Then  $a|c-b$ .

Pf: Since  $a|b$  and  $a|c$ , there are  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  
 $b = ak$  and  $c = al$ . So  
 $c - b = a(l - k)$ .

$l > k$  because  $c > b$ , so  $l - k \in \mathbb{N}$ , so  $a|c - b$ .  $\square$

Defn: Suppose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

- $n$  is prime if  $n > 1$  and  $n$  has no divisors except 1 and  $n$ .
- $n$  is composite if  $n > 1$  and  $n$  is not prime.

Note: We do not regard 1 as either prime or composite. This doesn't make much difference, but it's convenient in some situations.

Lemma 4.3: If  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n > 1$ , then  $n$  has at least one prime factor.

Pf: We use strong induction. Let  $P(n)$  denote the statement " $n$  has a prime factor".

Base case:  $P(2)$  is true, because 2 is a prime factor of 2.

Inductive step: Suppose  $n \geq 3$ , and  $P(2), P(3), \dots, P(n-1)$  are all true. We consider two cases.

- First suppose  $n$  is prime. Then  $n$  is a prime factor of  $n$ , so  $P(n)$  is true.
- Now suppose  $n$  is composite. Then there is  $a \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a|n$  and  $1 < a < n$ .  
 $P(a)$  is true, so there is a prime  $p$  such that  $p|a$ . Then  $p|a$  and  $a|n$ , so  $p|n$ . So  $p$  is a prime factor of  $n$ , so  $P(n)$  is true.

So  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n$ .  $\square$

---

In fact, a much stronger statement is true: every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  can be

written as a product of primes. This factorisation is called the prime factorisation of  $n$ . This factorisation is unique (up to re-ordering the factors). This is called the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic.

e.g. The prime factorisation of 660 is

$$660 = 2 \times 2 \times 3 \times 5 \times 11.$$

The next theorem goes back to Euclid (around 300 BC), and has one of the most famous proofs in maths.

Theorem 4.4: There are infinitely many prime numbers.

Pf: We use proof by contradiction. Suppose there are only finitely many prime numbers. Call them

$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$ .

Let  $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_m + 1$ .

By Lemma 4.3,  $n$  has a prime factor  $p$ . But  $p_1, \dots, p_m$  are the only primes, so  $p = p_k$  for some  $k$ .

This means

$p \mid p_1 p_2 \dots p_m$ , so  $p \mid n-1$ .

But also  $p \mid n$ . So by Lemma 4.2  $p \mid 1$ .

But 1 has no prime factors.  $\downarrow$

So our assumption was wrong, so there are infinitely many primes.  $\square$

### 4.3 Greatest common divisors

Defn: Suppose  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . The greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  is the largest  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $d \mid a$  and  $d \mid b$ .

We write  $\gcd(a, b)$  for the greatest common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .

We say  $a$  and  $b$  are coprime if  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ .

e.g.  $\gcd(5, 9) = 1$ .

$$\gcd(15, 9) = 3.$$

$$\gcd(4, 30) = 2.$$

$$\gcd(42, 72) = 6.$$

$$\gcd(42000, 72000) = 6000.$$

$$\gcd(1, b) = 1 \text{ for every } b.$$

$$\gcd(b, b) = b \text{ for every } b.$$

if  $a \mid b$ , then  $\gcd(a, b) = a$ :  $a \mid a$  and  $a \mid b$ , so  $a$  is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ ; it's the largest one because it's the largest divisor of  $a$ .

if  $p$  and  $q$  are primes and  $p \neq q$ , then  $\gcd(p, q) = 1$ .  
the only divisors of  $p$  are 1 and  $p$ , the only divisors of  $q$  are 1 and  $q$ , so the only common divisor is 1.

---

We can go beyond two numbers: if  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$ , then we can define  $\gcd(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ . We can even consider infinitely many numbers.

e.g.  $\gcd(3, 6, 9, 12, 15, \dots) = 3$ .

How do we find  $\gcd(a, b)$ ?

Slow method: write down all the divisors

To find  $\gcd(a, b)$ , we can just write down all the divisors of  $a$  and of  $b$ , and find the largest number in both lists.

e.g. What is  $\gcd(36, 90)$ ?

divisors of 36: 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 12, 18, 36

divisors of 90: 1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 9, 10, 15, 18, 30, 45, 90

18 is the largest number in both lists, so  $\gcd(36, 90) = 18$ .

This is very slow: finding all the divisors of a number takes a long time.

Better method: use prime factorisations

If we know the prime factorisation of  $n$ , then we can find all the divisors of  $n$ : every divisor is the product of some of the primes appearing.

e.g. The prime factorisation of 24 is

$$24 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3.$$

divisors: 2, 3, 2x2, 2x3, 2x2x2, 2x2x3, 2x2x2x3, 1

↑  
the product  
of no primes

so: to work out  $\gcd(a,b)$ , we can find the prime factorisations of  $a$  and  $b$ , and take the product of the primes appearing in both factorisations.

e.g.  $\gcd(36, 90)$

prime factorisation of 36:

$$36 = 2 \times \underline{2 \times 3 \times 3}$$

prime factorisation of 90:

$$90 = \underline{2 \times 3 \times 3} \times 5$$

The product of the primes in both lists is

$$2 \times 3 \times 3 = 18.$$

Unfortunately, finding the prime factorisation of a large integer is very slow.

(Internet security depends on this!)

Fast method: Euclid's algorithm

We'll give a fast method for finding  $\gcd(a,b)$ . First we prove two preparatory results.

The next lemma makes precise the idea of "division with remainder".

Lemma 4.5: Suppose  $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then there exist integers  $q, r$  such that  $0 \leq r < b$  and  $a = qb + r$ .

Pf: Let  $q$  be the largest integer such that  $qb \leq a$ , and let  $r = a - qb$ . Then  $a = qb + r$ , so we just need to check that  $0 \leq r < b$ .

$r \geq 0$  because  $qb \leq a$ .

But also:  $q$  was chosen to be the largest integer such that  $qb \leq a$ .

$$\text{So } (q+1)b > a$$

$$\text{so } qb + b > a$$

$$\text{so } r = a - qb < b.$$

□

The next result gives a relationship between gcds and division-with-remainder.

Proposition 4.6: Suppose  $a, b, q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $0 < r < b$ , and  $a = qb + r$ .

Then  $\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(b, r)$ .

Pf: We'll prove a stronger statement: the common divisors of  $a$  and  $b$  are the same as the common divisors of  $b$  and  $r$ .

So take  $d \in \mathbb{N}$ . We need to show that  $d$  is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$  iff  $d$  is a common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$ .

First suppose  $d|a$  and  $d|b$ . Then there are  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $a = dk$  and  $b = dl$ . Then

$$r = a - qb = d(k - ql),$$

so  $d|r$ . So  $d|b$  and  $d|r$ , so  $d$  is a common divisor of  $b$  and  $r$ .

Conversely, suppose  $d|b$  and  $d|r$ . Then there are  $l, m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $b = dl$  and  $r = dm$ . So

$$a = qb + r = d(ql + m),$$

so  $d|a$ . So  $d|a$  and  $d|b$ , so  $d$  is a common divisor of  $a$  and  $b$ .  $\square$

e.g. Let  $a = 32$ ,  $b = 12$ . When we divide  $a$  by  $b$ , we get

$$\begin{array}{rcc} 32 & = & 2 \times 12 + 8 \\ & & \uparrow \quad \quad \uparrow \\ & & q \quad \quad r \end{array}$$

divisors of 32:  $\textcircled{1} \textcircled{2} \textcircled{4}, 8, 16, 32$

divisors of 12:  $\textcircled{1} \textcircled{2} 3, \textcircled{4} 6, 12$

divisors of 8:  $\textcircled{1} \textcircled{2} \textcircled{4}, 8$ .

The common divisors of 32 and 12 are 1, 2, 4.

The common divisors of 12 and 8 are 1, 2, 4.

In particular,

$$\gcd(32, 12) = 4 = \gcd(12, 8).$$





