LIN6049 Advanced semantics: puzzles in meaning

2024-2025 Luisa Martí

Week 4

Definites, part 3

General feedback on puzzle 1

Definiteness in languages with bare nouns

Great first attempt at the puzzle, but lots of room for improvement. Here is how

Three parts to puzzle 1:

Three parts to puzzle 1:

-develop tests

Three parts to puzzle 1:

- -develop tests
- -justify the tests with the data provided

Three parts to puzzle 1:

- -develop tests
- -justify the tests with the data provided
- -apply the tests to (made up) Fering

Developing tests

Developing tests

A determiner D is a strong definite determiner when/if...

Developing tests

A determiner D is a strong definite determiner when/if...

A determiner D is a weak definite determiner when/if...

A determiner D is a weak definite determiner when/if...

"...it refers to..."

A determiner D is a weak definite determiner when/if...

```
"...it refers to..."
```

"...it is anaphoric..."

A determiner D is a weak definite determiner when/if...

```
"...it refers to..."
```

"...it is anaphoric..."

"...it is like a pronoun..."

A determiner D is a weak definite determiner when/if...

```
"...it refers to..."
```

"...it is anaphoric..."

"...it is like a pronoun..."

Problems?

A determiner D is a weak definite determiner when/if...

"...it refers to..."

"...it is anaphoric..."

"...it is like a pronoun..."

D itself never refers to anything. [D NP] does

A determiner D is a weak definite determiner when/if...

"...it refers to..."

D itself never refers to anything. [D NP] does

"...it is anaphoric..."

"...it is like a pronoun..."

If you use "anaphoric", you have to say what it is to be anaphoric

A determiner D is a weak definite determiner when/if...

"...it refers to..."

"...it is anaphoric..."

"...it is like a pronoun..."

D itself never refers to anything. [D NP] does

What does it mean to be "like a pronoun"?

If you use "anaphoric", you have to say what it is to be anaphoric

The problem of not saying what you mean

The problem of appealing to technical terms/notions without defining them

The problem of not saying what you mean

D doesn't refer to anything, [D NP] does

The problem of appealing to technical terms/notions without defining them

The problem of not saying what you mean

D doesn't refer to anything, [D NP] does

The problem of appealing to technical terms/notions without defining them

Put those aside. Try again: what is the DP doing?

Beyond this, the main issue was not capturing what the basic property of weak vs strong definites is. Which brings us to...

Beyond this, the main issue was not capturing what the basic property of weak vs strong definites is. Which brings us to...

The problem of not extracting as much information from the examples given as possible

- (1) [Context description: several students came to Fred's office hours. I know that. I say:] #The student who came to Fred's office hours asked some questions about the assignment
- (2) A student came to Fred's office hours. **The student** asked some questions about the assignment.
- (3) Two students came to my office hours today. #**The student** asked some questions about the assignment
- (4) A student came to Fred's office hours. **The student** asked some questions about the assignment. **The student/she** was one of many students to come to Fred's office hours today with questions about the assignment. I guess his assignment instructions were unclear

(1) [Context description: several students came to Fred's office hours. I know that. I say:]

#The student who came to Fred's office hours asked some questions about the assignment

What is the non-linguistic context in the example like?

What is the linguistic context like?

Which the is this?

Which requirement of which the is violated here?

(2) A student came to Fred's office hours. **The student** asked some questions about the assignment.

What is the non-linguistic context in the example like?

What is the linguistic context like?

Which the is this?

Which requirement of which the is satisfied here?

(3) Two students came to my office hours today. #**The student** asked some questions about the assignment

What is the non-linguistic context in the example like?

What is the linguistic context like?

Which the is this?

Which requirement of which the is violated here?

(4) A student came to Fred's office hours. The₁ student asked some questions about the assignment. The₂ student/she was one of many students to come to Fred's office hours today with questions about the assignment. I guess his assignment instructions were unclear

What is the non-linguistic context in the example like?

What is the linguistic context like?

Which the is this? Same the in both instances?

Which requirement of which the is satisfied here, in both cases?

Collect your answers into two groups: one group for weak *the*, another group for strong *the*

Collect your answers into two groups: one group for weak *the*, another group for strong *the*

What do they tell us about weak the?

What do they tell us about strong the?

Collect your answers into two groups: one group for weak *the*, another group for strong *the*

What do they tell us about weak the?

What do they tell us about strong the?

Now you can formulate your tests!

Formulating a test well is hard!

Formulating a test well is hard!

Be accurate, brief, clear, direct

Formulating a test well is hard!

Be accurate, brief, clear, direct

Choose your words wisely. Don't rely on additional notions that you then have to explain

Now you can also justify your tests!

Now you can also justify your tests!

What you need to do is use what you discovered about examples (1)-(4) to justify each ingredient of your tests

Now you can also justify your tests!

What you need to do is use what you discovered about examples (1)-(4) to justify each ingredient of your tests

For example, if you found out that whether the context is linguistic or non-linguistic matters, this distinction will be in your tests, and you can show why it matters by showing how it helps to understand the pattern in the examples

Applying your tests to (made up) Fering

Applying your tests to (made up) Fering

If you apply tests based on examples (1)-(4), you want to know, with respect to Fering...

Applying your tests to (made up) Fering

If you apply tests based on examples (1)-(4), you want to know, with respect to Fering...

...what the equivalent of examples (1)-(4) would look like according to your tests!

Applying your tests to (made up) Fering

You don't know how to say most of the words in (1)-(4) in Fering.

Neither am I asking you to find out...

Applying your tests to (made up) Fering

You don't know how to say most of the words in (1)-(4) in Fering. Neither am I asking you to find out...

...but you do know how to say enough words in Fering from the examples discussed in week 2!

Applying your tests to (made up) Fering

Use the examples from Fering from week 2. If you have an example with the word "horse" instead of the word "student", fill in whatever is missing with English but try to reproduce examples (1)-(4), with all their features, using this mix of English and Fering

Applying your tests to (made up) Fering

Use the examples from Fering from week 2. If you have an example with the word "horse" instead of the word "student", fill in whatever is missing with English but try to reproduce examples (1)-(4), with all their features, using this mix of English and Fering

Then say, depending on whether you used a or di, what your tests predict for such examples, and why

Applying your tests to (made up) Fering

Use the examples from Fering from week 2. If you have an example with the word "horse" instead of the word "student", fill in whatever is missing with English but try to reproduce examples (1)-(4), with all their features, using this mix of English and Fering

Then say, depending on whether you used a or di, what your tests predict for such examples, and why

DONE!

- Do definite articles/determiners in other languages also have weak and strong uses?
- Do all languages have definite articles/determiners?
- Do all languages have articles/determiners?
- What do the answers to these questions suggest about whether English has more than one *the*?

- Do definite articles/determiners in other languages also have weak and strong uses?
- Do all languages have definite articles/determiners?
- Do all languages have articles/determiners?
- What do the answers to these questions suggest about whether English has more than one the?

- Do definite articles/determiners in other languages also have weak and strong uses?
- Do all languages have definite articles/determiners?
- Do all languages have articles/determiners?
- What do the answers to these questions suggest about whether English has more than one *the*?

Arguments:

Arguments:

Bare noun phrases can have definite readings

Arguments:

Bare noun phrases can have definite readings

→ you don't need an overt definite article to convey definite meanings

Arguments:

Bare noun phrases can have definite readings

- → you don't need an overt definite article to convey definite meanings
- → implications for our analysis of the syntax and semantics of bare noun phrases

Arguments:

if a language expresses weak vs. strong definiteness differently, the weak definite tends to be smaller than the strong definite

Arguments:

if a language expresses weak vs. strong definiteness differently, the weak definite tends to be smaller than the strong definite

→ implications for our analysis of the morphosyntax of noun phrases

Bare nouns

By 'bare nouns', we actually mean bare *noun phrases*:

Bare nouns

By 'bare nouns', we actually mean bare *noun phrases*:

(5) I saw [NP **students**] in the library studying for an exam

Bare nouns

By 'bare nouns', we actually mean bare *noun phrases*:

- (5) I saw [$_{NP}$ students] in the library studying for an exam
- (6) I saw [NP **Physics students**] in the library studying for an exam

(5) I saw **students** in the library studying for an exam

(5) I saw **students** in the library studying for an exam

English not a particularly great language to look at if we're interested in definiteness in the absence of articles/determiners: English bare nouns are only indefinite, and only plural nouns are allowed bare

(5) I saw students in the library studying for an exam
≈ I saw some students in the library studying for an exam

- (5) I saw students in the library studying for an exam ≈ I saw some students in the library studying for an exam
- (7) *I saw **student** in the library studying for an exam

- (5) I saw students in the library studying for an exam ≈ I saw some students in the library studying for an exam
- (7) *I saw **student** in the library studying for an exam

Interesting in its own right—could be a topic for your final assignment. But not useful for us today!

Akan

- Niger-Congo, Kwa
- southern Ghana
- many speakers



(8) Mè-tò-ò **èkùtú**.

1sg-buy-pst orange
'I bought an orange.'

(8) Mè-tò-ò **èkùtú**.

1sg-buy-pst orange
'I bought an orange.'

(9) Mè-tò-ò àtààdéε bí ńnórà.1sg-buy-pst dress indef yesterday

'I bought a dress yesterday'

```
(10) Mè-tò-ò àtààdéε bí ńnórà.1sg-buy-pst dress indef yesterday
```

Àtààdéε nó yε fè.

dress DET COP nice

'I bought a dress yesterday. The dress was nice'

```
    (11) Mè-tò-ò àtààdéε bí ńnórà.
    1sg-buy-pst dress indef yesterday
    #Àtààdéε yε fè.
```

dress COP nice

Intended: 'I bought a dress yesterday. The dress was nice'

```
(12) Dùá bí sì mpómá nó àkyí, nà tree INDEF be.on window DEF behind and nòn-nòmàá mmìènsá sí só ... m-màrímáá nó PL-bird three be.on top PL-boy DEF nyìnáá hù-ù à-nòmàá bí all see-PST SG-bird INDEF
```

'There is a tree behind the window, and three birds are sitting on it. All the boys saw a bird.'

```
(13) Dùá bí sì mpómá nó àkyí, nà tree INDEF be.on window DEF behind and nàn-nòmàá mìmìènsá sí só ... mà-màrímáá nó PL-bird three be.on top PL-boy DEF nyìnáá hù-ù à-nòmàá #nó all see-PST SG-bird DEF
```

Intended: 'There is a tree behind the window, and three birds are sitting on it. All the boys saw the bird.'

Summary so far:

- bare nouns: indefinite
- *nó* noun phrases: weak or strong definite; uniqueness presupposition when strong ((12)-(13))

Coming up:

- bare nouns: indefinite or weak definite
- *nó* noun phrases: weak or strong definite; uniqueness presupposition when strong ((12)-(13))

(14) [Context: Afia is sitting on a bus, when a woman she doesn't know sits down beside her. The woman says:]

Àwià (nó) ré-bò ÈnnÉ
sun DEF PRG-hit today
'The sun is shining today'

(15)[Context: Yesterday was the Ghanaian national day and there were a lot of celebrations in Accra. Your friend asks you whether you saw anyone famous. You say:]

Mè-hù-ù **omanpanin** (nó)

1sg-see-pst president DEF

'I saw the president'

(16) [Context: there is a new teacher at a school. A colleague is explaining to him how everything works. This is the beginning of the conversation, and the colleague explains to the new teacher:]

Headmaster (nó) bέ-má wò timetable headmaster DEF FUT-give 2sg timetable 'The headmaster will give you a timetable'

Mauritian Creole bare nouns

Mauritian Creole

French-based creole Mauritius many speakers



Mauritian Creole bare nouns

- (17) **Later** turn otur **soley** earth revolve around sun 'The earth revolves around the sun'
- (18)ti lager. Enn garson ek tifi pe enn girl one boy and one PST PROG argue Garson ti koler la paret an appearin boy DEF PST rage tifi la ti kalm res calm girl DEF PST stay

^{&#}x27;A boy and a girl were arguing. The boy seemed furious, the girl stayed calm.'

Bare nouns can be weak definites

Bare nouns can be weak definites

→ the expression of weak definiteness does not require an overt determiner/article

Bare nouns can be weak definites

→ the expression of weak definiteness does not require an overt determiner/article

What does this mean for the syntax of definite noun phrases?

In the languages we've seen, if there is a distinction between weak and strong definites, the weak definite may be smaller than the strong definite, but never bigger

In the languages we've seen, if there is a distinction between weak and strong definites, the weak definite may be smaller than the strong definite, but never bigger

German: contracted (smaller!): weak

uncontracted (bigger!): strong

In the languages we've seen, if there is a distinction between weak and strong definites, the weak definite may be smaller than the strong definite, but never bigger

German: contracted (smaller!): weak

uncontracted (bigger!): strong

Akan: null (the smallest!): weak

nó: weak or strong

In the languages we've seen, if there is a distinction between weak and strong definites, the weak definite may be smaller than the strong definite, but never bigger

German: contracted (smaller!): weak

uncontracted (bigger!): strong

Akan: null (the smallest!): weak

nó: weak or strong

Mauritius Creole: null: weak

la: strong

In the languages we've seen, if there is a distinction between weak and strong definites, the weak definite may be smaller than the strong definite, but never bigger

Fering:

	Masc sg	FEM SG	NEUTER SG	PLURAL
weak article	а	at	at	а
strong article	di	det	det	dön

In fact, this continues being the case when you look at more languages

In fact, this continues being the case when you look at more languages

Cross-linguistically, weak definites tend to be smaller than strong definites

In fact, this continues being the case when you look at more languages

Cross-linguistically, weak definites tend to be smaller than strong definites

What does this mean for the morphosyntax of weak vs. strong definites?

Next week

Not all bare nouns are created equal!