

Esports Governance

What is Esports Governance?

Governance refers to the systems, policies, and structures used to manage and regulate an organization or industry. In esports, governance includes:

- **Rule-setting:** Determining the rules for competition.
- **Oversight:** Ensuring fair play, ethical conduct, and integrity.
- **Conflict resolution:** Handling disputes among players, teams, or stakeholders.
- **Development:** Supporting sustainable growth and fostering diversity.

Key Stakeholders in Esports Governance

Governance in esports involves multiple stakeholders, each with their own interests:

- **Game publishers and developers:**
 - Examples: Riot Games (League of Legends), Valve (Dota 2, CS), and Activision Blizzard (Overwatch).
 - They own intellectual property (IP) rights and often have significant control over tournaments and rules.
- **Esports organizations:**
 - Teams like Team Liquid, Cloud9, and T1 are critical players in shaping policies and player rights.
- **Tournament organizers:**
 - Entities such as ESL, DreamHack, and FACEIT manage competitions and often create their own governance frameworks.
- **Players:**
 - Professional gamers, content creators, and streamers whose careers depend on a fair ecosystem.
- **Fans and community:**
 - Their engagement drives revenue and influences the direction of the industry.
- **Regulatory bodies:**
 - Emerging organizations like the Esports Integrity Commission (ESIC) work to enforce standards.

Challenges

- **Lack of a centralized authority:** Unlike traditional sports governed by bodies like FIFA (football) or the IOC (Olympics), esports is fragmented across titles and regions.
- **Intellectual property dominance:** Game publishers control most aspects of their games, sometimes leading to monopolistic practices.
- **Global scale and cultural diversity:** Esports spans multiple countries, each with unique legal systems, cultures, and ethical standards.
- **Cheating and integrity issues:** Challenges include cheating (e.g., hacking, scripting), match-fixing, and doping (e.g., Adderall abuse).
- **Player exploitation:** Issues like low salaries, unfair contracts, and lack of job security are prevalent in the industry.
- **Gender and diversity inclusion:** Female players and underrepresented groups often face barriers to entry, harassment, and limited opportunities.

Current Governance Models

- **Publisher-led governance:**

- Example: Riot Games oversees the League of Legends Championship Series (LCS).
- Pros: Centralized decision-making, consistent rules.
- Cons: Conflict of interest, limited external oversight.

- **Independent regulatory bodies:**

- Example: ESIC focuses on fair play, doping regulations, and match-fixing.
- Pros: Objective, multi-title oversight.
- Cons: Limited authority without widespread adoption.

- **Player unions and advocacy groups:**

- Example: Counter-Strike Professional Players' Association (CSPPA).
- Pros: Empowers players, addresses exploitation.
- Cons: Still emerging and lacks influence compared to publishers.

- **Hybrid models:**

- Collaboration between publishers, teams, and independent bodies to balance interests.

Lessons from Traditional Sports

Esports governance can draw insights from traditional sports:

- **Unified governance structures:** FIFA and the NBA demonstrate the benefits of centralized oversight.
- **Player welfare systems:** Players' associations and collective bargaining agreements can improve conditions.
- **Anti-doping measures:** Organizations like WADA provide templates for addressing performance-enhancing drugs.

Future Directions

- **Establishing a global esports federation:** A unified body to regulate tournaments, enforce rules, and promote inclusivity.
- **Standardizing contracts:** Ensuring players and teams operate under fair and transparent agreements.
- **Enhancing diversity and inclusion:** Programs to encourage participation from marginalized groups.
- **Leveraging technology for integrity:** Blockchain for transparent prize pools, AI for cheat detection.
- **Public-private partnerships:** Governments collaborating with esports stakeholders to develop policies and infrastructure.

Discussion

- Should esports adopt a centralized governance body similar to FIFA or the IOC?
- How can the industry balance the power between publishers and independent stakeholders?
- What role should governments play in regulating esports?

Esports Models

What?

Esports models refer to the frameworks used to structure the competitive and business aspects of esports. These models encompass:

- **Competitive formats:** How tournaments and leagues are structured.
- **Business models:** Revenue generation and sustainability.
- **Organizational models:** How teams, tournaments, and ecosystems are managed.

Key Models – Competitive Models

Open Circuit Model:

Teams or players compete in various tournaments organized by different entities, often with no centralized league.

- **Examples:**

- **Dota 2:** The Dota Pro Circuit culminates in The International, hosted by Valve.
- **CS:** Tournaments like ESL, BLAST Premier, and PGL Major.

- **Advantages:**

- High accessibility for new teams and players.
- Dynamic and diverse competition.

- **Challenges:**

- Fragmented structure with inconsistent rules and schedules.
- Reliance on sponsorships for funding.

Key Models – Competitive Models (2)

Franchise Model:

Similar to traditional sports leagues, teams buy permanent slots in a league.

- **Examples:**

- **Overwatch League (OWL):** Teams represent cities.
- **League of Legends Championship Series (LCS):** Regional franchise leagues.

- **Advantages:**

- Stability for teams and players.
- Strong branding opportunities.

- **Challenges:**

- High entry costs (e.g., multimillion-dollar franchise fees).
- Risk of stagnation due to reduced competition.

Key Models – Competitive Models (3)

Hybrid Model:

Combines aspects of both open and franchise models.

- Example:
 - Valorant Champions Tour (VCT): Regional leagues with opportunities for outsiders to qualify.
- Advantages:
 - Flexibility to include new talent.
 - Balances stability and competition.
- Challenges:
 - Balancing access for newcomers with the interests of established teams.

Key Models – Business Models

Publisher-Controlled Ecosystems:

Game publishers have full control over tournaments and leagues.

- **Examples:**

- Riot Games (League of Legends, Valorant).
- Activision Blizzard (Overwatch, Call of Duty).

- **Advantages:**

- Consistent branding and rules.
- Efficient monetization of intellectual property (IP).

- **Challenges:**

- Monopoly concerns.
- Limited opportunities for third-party organizers.

Key Models – Business Models (2)

Third-Party Tournaments:

Independent entities organize and host competitions

- Examples: DreamHack, ESL, and PGL.
- Advantages:
 - Encourages diversity in competition.
 - Offers flexibility for multiple games.
- Challenges:
 - Dependence on sponsorships.
 - Limited influence over IP.

Key Models – Business Models (3)

Crowdfunding Models:

Fans contribute directly to prize pools or event funding

- **Examples:**
 - **Dota 2's The International Battle Pass:** Crowdsourced prize pools.
- **Advantages:**
 - Engages the community directly.
 - Generates massive prize pools.
- **Challenges:**
 - Reliance on consistent fan participation.

Key Models – Organisational Models

Team-Owned Leagues:

Teams collectively own and govern the league

- **Examples:**

- League of Legends European Championship (LEC) shares revenue with teams.

- **Advantages:**

- Revenue-sharing fosters collaboration.
- Teams have a voice in governance.

- **Challenges:**

- Potential for internal conflicts.
- Requires high levels of trust among stakeholders.

Key Models – Organisational Models (2)

City-Based Models:

Teams are tied to cities, similar to traditional sports leagues

- Examples: Overwatch League (OWL).
- Advantages:
 - Encourages regional loyalty and fan engagement.
- Challenges:
 - Harder to implement globally due to esports' inherently digital nature.

Key Models – Organisational Models (3)

Player-Centric Models:

Focuses on individual players rather than teams

- **Examples:**
 - Fighting game communities (e.g., EVO for Street Fighter, Super Smash Bros.).
- **Advantages:**
 - Empowers individual talent.
 - Reduces reliance on large organizations.
- **Challenges:**
 - Less structured and harder to monetize.

Trends

- **Regionalization:**

- Localized leagues and tournaments to build regional fanbases (e.g., China's LPL, Europe's LEC).

- **Mobile Esports:**

- Rise of mobile gaming competitions, especially in Asia and South America (e.g., PUBG Mobile, Free Fire).

- **Integration with Traditional Sports:**

- Partnerships between esports teams and traditional sports organizations (e.g., FC Schalke 04 in League of Legends).

- **Community-driven Esports:**

- Games like Minecraft, Fortnite, and Roblox embrace player-created competitions.

Challenges and Considerations

- **Sustainability:** How to ensure long-term profitability.
- **Globalization vs. Localization:** Balancing international appeal with regional connections.
- **Inclusivity:** Ensuring opportunities for underrepresented groups.
- **Technological advancements:** Leveraging AR/VR and blockchain for innovative experiences.

Future Directions?

- **Consolidation:** Merging smaller leagues into more robust structures
- **Enhanced monetization:** Expanding revenue streams through digital merchandise, NFTs (?!?!?! – hope not), and subscription models.
- **Decentralized governance:** Empowering players and fans to have a voice in decision-making.
- **Cross-industry partnerships:** Collaborations with media, tech, and traditional sports.

Discussion

- Which competitive model is best suited for long-term growth in esports?
- How can smaller, community-driven games sustain themselves in a publisher-dominated industry?
- What role should technology play in the evolution of esports models?

- See Rizzi Dimita, the organisation of esports tournaments, in *Esports Law and Practice*, Forthcoming (see QM+)