Do the bibliographies below manage to sufficiently…

- Include the most important **foundational titles** of the subject matter of the paper;
- Cover the **most recent literature** on the subject matter of the paper;
- Demonstrate an effort at **independent research** going beyond the materials suggested on QMPlus regarding the subject matter of the paper;
- Incorporate **sufficient materials** to respond the main question and related sub-questions of the research;
- Contain enough **specialist sources** to address the different points of the narrative, including **arguments and counter-arguments** to be reflected in the different sections in which the paper has been structured;
- Use **OSCOLA** for all entries (to apply also to references in footnotes): https://www.law.ox.ac.uk/sites/files/oxlaw/oscola_4th_edn_hart_2012.pdf;
- Distinguish **primary and secondary sources** and separate all relevant source types, **ordering them** alphabetically and in chronological order (following OSCOLA rules).

SAMPLE 1)

Working Title: *Non-State Actors and the Provision of Protection: The Kurdish Regional Government's Response to the Influx of Syrian Refugees*

Bibliography

- Carr, B. 2016. 'Refugees Without Borders: Legal Implications of the Refugee Crisis in the Schengen Zone' *Michigan Journal of International Law* 38.
- Danish Immigration Service. 2015. 'The Kurdistan Region of Iraq (KRI), Access, Possibility of Protection, Security and Humanitarian Situation,' Report from fact finding mission to Erbil, the Kurdistan Region of Iraq (KRI) and Beirut, Lebanon.
- European Council on Refugees and Exiles. 2014. *Actors of Protection and the Application of the Internal Protection Alternative - European Comparative Report*, 11 November 2018, http://www.refworld.org/docid/543bbb8f4.html
- Goodwin-Gill, G.S. & McAdam, J. 2007. *The refugee in international law,* 3rd edn, Oxford University Press.
- Kalin, R. 2001.'Non-State Agents of Persecution and the Inability of the State to Protect' *Georgetown Immigration Law Journal* 15.
- Kulaksiz, S. 2015. *Iraq - Assessing the economic and social impact of the Syrian conflict and ISIS (English)*. Washington, DC: World Bank Group.
- O'Sullivan, M. 2012.'Acting the Part: Can Non-State Entities Provide Protection Under International Refugee Law? *International Journal of Refugee Law* 24 (85).
- Phuong, C. 1996. 'Identifying States' Responsibilities towards Refugees and Asylum Seekers' http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12344228
- Sleiman-Haidar, Ribale, ed. 2016. 'The long-term challenges of forced migration: Perspectives from Lebanon, Jordan and Iraq.' LSE Middle East Centre Collected Papers 6.
- Wilsher, D. 2003. 'Non-State Actors and the Definition of A Refugee in the UK: Protection, Accountability or Culpability? *International Journal of Refugee Law* 15 (68).
- UNHCR and IMPACT Initiatives Report. 2017. 'Iraq Multi-Sector Needs Assessment III: Syrian Refugees in Host Communities of Kurdistan Region of Iraq.'

SAMPLE 2)

Working title: *A Feminist Analysis of the 1951 Refugee Convention: Does Reliance on the 'Particular Social Group' Convention Ground in Cases of Female Genital Mutilation Reveal an Underlying Gendered Politics?*

Bibliography

Case Law

- *re A-T* 24 I & N, 296 (B.I.A 2007)
- *Bah v Mukasey* 529 F.3d 99 (2nd Cir, 2008)
- *Fornah v Secretary of State for the Home Department* [2006] UKHL 46
- *re Kasinga* 21 I & N. Dec. 357 B.I.A (1996)
- *Niang v Gonzales* 422 F.3d 1187 (10th Cir, 2005)
- *Mohammed v Gonzales* 400 F.3d 785 (9th Cir, 2005)

Secondary Sources

- Arbel et al, *Gender in Refugee Law: From the Margins to the Centre* (Routledge 2014)
- Charlesworth and Chinkin, *The Boundaries of International Law: a feminist analysis* (Manchester: MUP 2000)
- Crawley, *Refugees and Gender* (Jordans 2001)
- Harivandi, 'Invisible and Involuntary: Female Genital Mutilation as Basis for Asylum' (2010) 95 Cornell Law Review 599
- Kapur, 'The Tragedy of Victimization Rhetoric: Resurrecting the "Native" Subject in International/Post-Colonial Feminist Legal Politics' (2002) 15. Harvard Human Rights Journal 1
- Middleburg and Balta, 'Female Genital Mutilation/Cutting as a Ground for Asylum in Europe' (2016) 28 International Journal of Refugee Law 416
- Mullally, 'Gender Asylum Law' in Singh Juss and Harvey (eds) *Contemporary Issues in Refugee Law* (EE Publishing 2013)
- Otto, 'Disconcerting Masculinities: Reinventing the Gendered Subject(s) of International Human Rights Law' in Buss and Manji (eds), *International Law Modern Feminist Approaches* (Hart Publishing 2005)

SAMPLE 3)

Working Title: *The Legality of Borders Fences and 'Passive Refoulement'*

Bibliography

- Bruin, R. and K Wouters. 2003. 'Terrorism and the Non-derogability of Non-refoulement,' *International Journal of Refugee Law* 15 (5).
- Durieux, J.F. 2015. 'Temporary Protection: Hovering at the Edges of Refugee Law,' *Netherlands Yearbook of International Law* 221.
- Durieux, J.F and J. McAdam. 2004. 'Non-refoulement through time: the case for a derogation clause to the Refugee Convention in mass influx emergencies', *International Journal of Refugee* Law 4 (4).
- Gilbert, G. 2014. 'Exclusion under Article 1F since 2001: Two steps backwards, one step forward', in V Chetail and C Bauloz, C (eds), *Research Handbook on International Law and Migration*.
- Gil-Bazo, M-T. 2006. 'Refugee Status, Subsidiary Protection, and the Right to Be Granted Asylum Under EU Law', *New Issues in Refugee Research*, Research Paper No. 136.

- Goodwin-Gill, G.S. & McAdam, J. 2007. *The refugee in international law,* 3rd ed., Oxford University Press.
- Hyndman, J. and A. Mountz, 2008. 'Another Brick in the Wall? Neo-Refoulement and the Externalization of Asylum by Australia and Europe,' *Government and Opposition* 43 (249).
- Lauterpacht, E. & D. Bethlehem. 2003. 'The Scope and Content the Principle of Non-Refoulement: Opinion', in E Feller, V Turk and F Nicholson (eds), *Global Consultations on International Protection.*
- Legomsky, S. 2003. 'Secondary Refugee Movements and the Return of Asylum Seekers to Third Countries: The Meaning of Effective Protection,' *International Journal of Refugee Law* 15 (567).
- Moreno-Lax, V. 2008. 'Must EU Borders Have Doors for Refugees? On the Compatibility of Schengen Visas and Carriers' Sanctions with EU Member States' Obligations to Provide International Protection to Refugees,' *European Journal of Migration and Law* 10.
- Pécoud, A. and P. de Guchteneire. 2006 'International Migration, Border Controls and Human Rights: Assessing the Relevance of a Right to Mobility' *Journal of Borderlands Studies* 21 (69)

SAMPLE 4)

Working title: *Is the UK National Referral Mechanism (NRM) for Trafficking Determination compatible with the 1951 Refugee Convention?*

Bibliography

Journal Articles

- Julian Bild, The Secretary of State for the Home Department v MS (Pakistan) – (2018) 32 IANL 301-302

Cases

- Secretary of State for the Home Department v MS (Pakistan) [2018] EWCA Civ 594
- AUJ (Trafficking – no conclusive grounds decision) Bangladesh [2018] UKUT 200 (IAC)

Legislation

- 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees
- Modern Slavery Act 2015
- Nationality, Immigration and Asylum Act 2002
- 1967 Protocol Relating to the Status of Refugees

Reports

- Anti-Trafficking Monitoring Group and Human Trafficking Foundation, *Submission to the UN Special Rapporteur on Contemporary Forms of Slavery Questionnaire on Access to Justice and Remedy* (2017)
- Beddoe C and Brotherton V, *Class Acts? Examining modern slavery legislation across the UK* (Anti-Slavery Monitoring Group 2016)

Guidelines

- UNHCR 'Handbook and Guidelines on Procedures and Criteria for Determining Refugee Status', 2011
- Practice Directions – Immigrations and Asylum Chambers of the First-Tier Tribunal and the Upper Tribunal, 2014

SAMPLE 5)

Working title: *Is the administrative detention of refugees ever justified under the Refugee Convention?*

Bibliography

- Goodwin-Gill G.S. and McAdam J., *The Refugee in International Law*, 3rd edn, (Oxford University Press, 2007).
- Goodwin-Gill G. S., (1987) 'International Law and the Detention of Refugees and Asylum Seekers' (1987) 20(2) International Migration Review 193-219.
- Goodwin-Gill G. S., 'Article 31 of the 1951 Convention Relating to the Status of Refugees: Non-penalisation, detention, and protection', in E feller, V. Turk and F. Nicholson (eds), *Refugee Protection in International Law: UNHCR's Global Consultations on Refugee Protection* (Cambridge University Press, 2003) 185.
- O'Nions, H., (2008a) "No Right to Liberty: The Detention of Asylum Seekers for Administrative Convenience" European Journal of Migration and Law Vol. 10, 148
- Moreno-Lax, 'Beyond *Saadi v UK*: Why the "Unnecessary" Detention of Asylum Seekers is Inadmissible under EU Law', (2011) 5(2) *Human Rights and International Legal Discourse* 166-206.
- Volha, S., (2007) "The Detention of Irregular Migrants and Asylum Seekers" in Cholewinski R., Perruchaoud R., MacDonald E. (eds), International Migration Law – Developing Paradigms and Key Challenges, (TMC Asser Press)
- Phillips S., (2018) "Vulnerability in Immigration Detention – Are Some More Vulnerable than Others" Asylum Insight
- Costello C., (2015) "Immigration Detention: The Grounds Beneath our Feet' *Current Legal Problems,* Volume 68, Issue 1, 143
- Stevens, Dallal & Billings, Peter., (2017) "Protection Seekers and Preventive Justice: Immigration Detention in Australia and the United Kingdom", forthcoming in T Tulich, R Ananian-Welsh, S Bronitt, S Murray (eds), Regulating Preventive Justice: Principle, Policy and Practice (Routledge, 2017)
- Costello C, Mouzourakis M., (2016) "EU Law and the Detainability of Asylum Seekers, Refugee Survey Quarterly, Volume 35, Issue 1, 47
- Colin Harvey; Time for Reform? Refugees, Asylum-seekers, and Protection Under International Human Rights Law, *Refugee Survey Quarterly*, Volume 34, Issue 1, 1 March 2015, Pages 43–60