This mock paper is purposely slightly harder (but only just) than the actual paper I've written this academic year.

Q1. Let $\mathscr{R}$ be a relation on the set of positive integers:

$$
a \mathscr{R} b \Leftrightarrow \text { either } a \text { divides } b \text {, or } b \text { divides } a
$$

Is this an equivalence relation? If so prove it. If not, explain exactly which axioms it fails to satisfy, by giving an explicit counter-example for each.

A1. $\bullet$ R $a$ holds since $a$ always divides $a$.

- If $a \mathfrak{R} b$ holds, then $b \mathscr{R} a$ holds. This follows by definition.
- If $a \mathscr{R} b$ and $b \mathscr{R} c$, should $a \mathfrak{R} c$ hold? Not necessarily. For example, $2 \mathscr{R} 6$ and $6 \mathfrak{R} 3$ hold; but $2 \mathscr{R} 3$ does not hold (as neither 2 divides 3 nor 3 divides 2 ). Hence $\mathscr{R}$ is NOT an equivalence relation (failing on the transitivity).

Q2. Solve the following set of equations in $X$ and $Y$ in $\mathbb{F}_{13}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X+4 Y \equiv 17 \quad \bmod 13 \\
& X-2 Y \equiv 6 \quad \bmod 13
\end{aligned}
$$

A2. Subtracting the second congruence equation from the first, we get $6 Y \equiv 11 \bmod 13$. To solve this equation in $Y$, we use Euclid's algorithm to find a pair of integers $a$ and $b$ such that $6 a+13 b=\operatorname{gcd}(6,13)=1$. Granted, multiplying $6 Y \equiv 11$ by $a$, we get $6 a Y \equiv 11 a$ which is $Y \equiv 11 a \bmod 13($ because $6 a=-13 b+1 \equiv 1 \bmod 13)$. By Euclid's algorithm or otherwise, we find $(a, b)=(11,-5)$ does the job. Therefore $Y \equiv 11 \cdot 11=121 \equiv 4 \bmod 13$. Plugging this back into one of the given congruence equations, we find $X \equiv 1 \bmod 13$. So $(X, Y)=(1,4) \bmod$ 13 is the solution.

Q3. (1) Let $G$ be the set of real numbers that are not equal to -1 . Define a binary operation * on $G$ by

$$
a * b=a+b+a b .
$$

Prove that $(G, *)$ is a group.
(2)[Extra for Enthusiasts] Let $S$ be a set consisting of four symbols $\{\boldsymbol{\phi}, \diamond, \diamond, \boldsymbol{\uparrow}\}$. Define a binary operation $*$ on $S$ by the following table which describes (row) $*$ (column):


Is $(S, *)$ a group? Justify your answer.

A3.(1) We check the group axioms.
(G0) Since $a+b+a b$ is evidently a real number, it remains to check it is not equal to -1 (if $a$ and $b$ are not). If $a+b+a b$ were equal to -1 , then $a+b+a b+1=(a+1)(b+1)$ would be 0 . However, since neither $a$ nor $b$ is equal to -1 , this is a contradiction.
(G1) On one hand,
$(a * b) * c=(a+b+a b) * c=(a+b+a b)+c+(a+b+a b) c=a+b+c+a b+b c+c a+a b c$.
On the other hand,
$a *(b * c)=a *(b+c+b c)=a+(b+c+b c)+a(b+c+b c)=a+b+c+a b+b c+c a+a b c$.
Combining, $(a * b) * c=a *(b * c)$.
(G2) The identity element of $G$ with respect to $*$ is 0 . Indeed,

$$
a * 0=a+0+a 0=a .
$$

Similarly,

$$
0 * a=0+a+0 a=a .
$$

[How do we find $e$ ? We need to find $e$ in $G$ such that $a * e=a$, i.e. $a+e+a e=a$, for every $a$ in $G$. Subtracting $a$ from both sides of the equality, we get $e+a e=0$, i.e. $e(1+a)=0$. However, we know by assumption that $a$ is not equal to -1 and as a result $1+a$ is never 0 ! The only way the product $e(1+a)$ attains 0 is that $e$ itself is 0 .]
(G3) The inverse of $a$ is $-1+1 /(1+a)$ (since $a \neq-1,1+a$ is non-zero). Indeed,
$a *\left(-1+\frac{1}{1+a}\right)=a+(-1)+\frac{1}{1+a}+a\left(-1+\frac{1}{(1+a)}\right)=a+(-1)+\frac{1}{1+a}-a+\frac{a}{1+a}=0$.
Similarly, it is possible to verify $(-1+1 /(1+a)) * a=0$. [How do we find the inverse $b$ of $a$ in $G$ ? We need to find $b$ such that $a * b=0$ (as seen in (G2), the identity is 0 ), i.e. $a+b+a b=0$. Adding 1 on both sides, we get $a+b+a b+1=1$, i.e. $(a+1)(b+1)=1$. Since $a \neq-1$, $1+a \neq 0$ and therefore $b+1=1 /(1+a)$. In conclusion, $b=-1+1 /(1+a)]$
(2) It is a group. (G0) Since all combinations of (row) $*$ (column) lie in $S$, (G0) holds (without further expenditure of effort). (G2) $\boldsymbol{\&}$ is the identity element. Indeed, the first row and the first column prove (G2). (G3) According to the table, the inverse of is itself, the inverse of $\diamond$ is the inverse of $\bigcirc$ is $\bigcirc$ itself, and the inverse of $\boldsymbol{\phi}$ is .
Parenthetically, it is easy to check from the 'symmetry' of the table with respect to the 'diagonals' that (G4) holds, hence ( $S, *$ ) is abelian. This is not part of Q3(2) though.
(G1) This is the hardest to check (formally). We can use the commutativity of $*$ to convince ourselves that it suffices to check (a quarter of) all possible combinations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\rho} *(\boldsymbol{\phi} * \diamond)=\boldsymbol{\phi} * \diamond=(\boldsymbol{\rho} * \boldsymbol{\rho}) * \diamond \\
& \boldsymbol{\rho} *(\boldsymbol{\rho} * \Gamma)=\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \Gamma=(\boldsymbol{\rho} * \boldsymbol{\mu}) * \Gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{\phi} *(\boldsymbol{\rho} * \boldsymbol{\phi})=\boldsymbol{\$} * \boldsymbol{\phi}=(\boldsymbol{\phi} * \boldsymbol{\rho}) * \\
& \boldsymbol{\alpha} *(\diamond * \diamond)=\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \odot=\bigcirc=\diamond * \diamond=(\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \diamond) * \diamond \\
& \boldsymbol{\alpha} *(\diamond * \odot)=\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \boldsymbol{\phi}=\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\diamond * \odot=(\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \diamond) * \odot \\
& \boldsymbol{\mu} *(\diamond * \boldsymbol{\phi})=\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \boldsymbol{\mu}=\boldsymbol{\mu}=\diamond * \boldsymbol{\phi}=(\boldsymbol{\rho} * \diamond) * \odot \\
& \boldsymbol{\phi} *(\Gamma * \odot)=\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \boldsymbol{\phi}=\boldsymbol{\phi}=\triangle * \odot=(\boldsymbol{\mu} * \Omega) * \odot \\
& \boldsymbol{\alpha} *(\rho * \boldsymbol{\phi})=\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \diamond=\diamond=\varnothing * \boldsymbol{\phi}=(\boldsymbol{\alpha} * \odot) * \boldsymbol{\phi} \\
& \boldsymbol{\mu} *(\boldsymbol{\phi} * \boldsymbol{\phi})=\boldsymbol{\phi} * \Omega=\Gamma=\boldsymbol{\phi} * \boldsymbol{\phi}=(\boldsymbol{\phi} * \boldsymbol{\phi}) * \boldsymbol{\phi} \\
& \diamond *(\diamond * \diamond)=\diamond * \diamond=\diamond * \diamond=(\diamond * \diamond) * \diamond \\
& \diamond *(\diamond * \odot)=\diamond * \boldsymbol{\phi}=\boldsymbol{\phi}=\triangle * \odot=(\diamond * \diamond) * \odot \\
& \diamond *(\diamond * \boldsymbol{\phi})=\diamond * \boldsymbol{\phi}=\diamond=\bigcirc * \boldsymbol{\phi}=(\diamond * \diamond) *
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bigcirc *(\bigcirc * \boldsymbol{\phi})=\varrho * \diamond=\boldsymbol{\phi}=\boldsymbol{\phi} * \boldsymbol{\phi}=(\Omega * \odot) * \\
& \boldsymbol{\phi} *(\boldsymbol{\phi} * \boldsymbol{\phi})=\boldsymbol{\phi} * \odot=\bigcirc * \boldsymbol{\phi}=(\boldsymbol{\phi} * \boldsymbol{\phi}) * \boldsymbol{\phi}
\end{aligned}
$$

(I am sure no one goes down this road, but I feel morally obliged to show you how this is done!) or simply spot that the table seems to manifest the same set of additive relations as $S=\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ with $\boldsymbol{\&}=[0]_{4}, \diamond=[1]_{4}, \diamond=[2]_{4}$ and $\boldsymbol{\oplus}=[3]_{4}$. In fact, I have used this viewpoint to pull off the calculations above. Since we know that $\left(\mathbb{Z}_{4},+\right)$ is a group under addition, $(S, *)$ is a group.

Q4. Let $(R,+, \times)$ be a ring and 0 denote the identity element with respect to addition + . Prove that $a 0=0 a=0$ for every element $a$ in $R$.

A4. This is Proposition 16. By $(\mathrm{R}+2), 0+0=0$. Multiplying $a$ from left, we obtain $a(0+0)=$ $a 0$. The LHS equals $a 0+a 0$ by ( $\mathrm{R} \times+$ ), while the RHS equals $a 0=a 0+0$ by ( $\mathrm{R}+2$ ) again. Plugging these back into the equality, we get

$$
a 0+a 0=a 0+0 .
$$

Proposition 15 on the other hand asserts $a+b=a+c$ implies $b=c$ for any $a, b, c$ in $R$ - this follows simply by subtracting the (unique) inverse of $a$ from left
$(-a)+a+b=(-a)+a+c \stackrel{(\mathrm{R}+1)}{\Rightarrow}(-a+a)+b=(-a+a)+c \stackrel{(\mathrm{R}+3)}{\Rightarrow} 0+b=0+c \stackrel{(\mathrm{R}+2)}{\Rightarrow} b=c$.
We therefore conclude that $a 0=0$. On the other hand, multiplying $a$ from right on $0+0=0$, we obtain $(0+0) a=0 a$ and therefore

$$
0 a+0 a=0 a+0
$$

as before. By Proposition 15 again, $0 a=0$.
Q5. Let $\mathbb{H}$ be Hamilton's quaternions, i.e. the set of elements of the form

$$
c 1+c(p) p+c(q) q+c(r) r \in \mathbb{R} 1+\mathbb{R} p+\mathbb{R} q+\mathbb{R} r
$$

where the basis elements $1, p, q$ and $r$ satisfy the multiplicative relations

- $1 p=p 1=p, 1 q=q 1=q, 1 r=r 1=r$,
- $p^{2}=-1, q^{2}=-1, r^{2}=-1$,
- $p q=r, q p=-r$,
- $q r=p, r q=-p$,
- $r p=q, q r=-q$,
together with natural addition and multiplication (prescribed by the relation).
(1) What is the multiplicative inverse of $p+q-r$ ? (2) Is $\mathbb{H}$ a field? If so, prove it. If not, explain why.

A5. (1) In the lecture, we show that the multiplicative inverse of a non-zero element of $\mathbb{H}$ of the form $c+c(p) p+c(q) q+c(r) r$ is given by

$$
\frac{c}{\mathscr{R}}-\frac{c(p)}{\mathscr{R}} p-\frac{c(q)}{\mathscr{R}} q-\frac{c(r)}{\mathscr{R}} r
$$

where $\mathscr{R}$ is the positive real number $c^{2}+c(p)^{2}+c(q)^{2}+c(r)^{2}$ (since the element is assumed to be non-zero, $c, c(p), c(q)$ and $c(r)$ are not simultaneously zero; and this translates as $\mathscr{R}$ being nonzero). The question asks the case when $(c, c(p), c(q), c(r))=(0,1,1,-1)$. So the inverse we seek is

$$
-\frac{1}{3} p-\frac{1}{3} q-\frac{-1}{3} r
$$

(2) $\mathbb{H}$ is not a field, because it is not commutative ring. For example, $p q$ is not equal to $q p$.

Q6. Find polynomials $f(X)$ and $g(X)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$ such that $\left(X^{8}+[2]\right) f(X)+\left([2] X^{6}+[2]\right) g(X)=$ $\operatorname{gcd}\left(X^{8}+[2],[2] X^{6}+[2]\right)$ in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$.

A6. Since $[2][2]=[4]=[1]$ in $\mathbb{F}_{3}=\{[0],[1],[2]\}$, Euclid's algorithm in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$ sees

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{8}+[2] & =[2] X^{2}\left([2] X^{6}+[2]\right)+[2] X^{2}+[2] \\
{[2] X^{6}+[2] } & =\left(X^{4}+[2] X^{2}+[1]\right)\left([2] X^{2}+[2]\right)+[0]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $[2] X^{2}+[2]$ is a common divisor. To get the gcd, we need to find a monic polynomial of degree 2 that divides $[2] X^{2}+[2]$ in $\mathbb{F}_{3}[X]$. To this end, it suffices to multiply $[2] X^{2}+[2]$ by the multiplicative inverse of $[2]$. Since the inverse is [2] itself,

$$
[2]\left([2] X^{2}+[2]\right)=[4] X^{2}+[4]=X^{2}+[1]
$$

The gcd is $X^{2}+[1]$.
On the other hand, Euclid's algorithm shows

$$
[2] X^{2}+[2]=\left(X^{8}+[2]\right)-[2] X^{2}\left([2] X^{6}+[2]\right)
$$

As we have did in finding ged, to find $f$ and $g$, we multiply this identity through by [2]. The LHS becomes $X^{2}+[1]$ (as seen above), while the RHS should, correspondingly, become

$$
[2]\left(X^{8}+[2]\right)-[4] X^{2}\left([2] X^{6}+[2]\right)=[2]\left(X^{8}+[2]\right)-X^{2}\left([2] X^{6}+[2]\right)
$$

In other words, $(f, g)=\left([2],-X^{2}\right)$ does the job.
Q7. Let $\sigma$ be an element of $S_{10}$ of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
4 & 7 & 9 & 6 & 8 & 1 & 5 & 10 & 3 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

(1) Write $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ in cycle notation. (2) Let $\boldsymbol{\tau}$ be (1)(2867)(3549)(10). Compute $\sigma \circ \boldsymbol{\tau}^{-1}$ in cycle notatioon. (3) Determine the order of $\sigma$.

A7. (1) $(146)(275810)(39)$. (2) Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tau^{-1}=(1)(2768)(3945)(10)=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
1 & 7 & 9 & 5 & 3 & 8 & 6 & 2 & 4 & 10
\end{array}\right)= \\
& \sigma \circ \tau^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cccccccccc}
1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 \\
4 & 5 & 3 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 1 & 7 & 6 & 2
\end{array}\right)=(1487)(259610)(3) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(3) It is given by the 1 cm of the lengths of all cycles in the cycle expression of $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$, i.e. $1 \mathrm{~cm}(3,5,2)=30$.

