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Question 1 [20 marks]. A life assurance company is examining the force of mortality, $\mu_{x}$, of a particular group of policyholders. It is thought that it is related to the age, $x$, of the policyholders by the formula:

$$
\mu_{x}=B c^{x}
$$

It is decided to analyze this assumption by using the linear regression model

$$
Y_{i}=\alpha+\beta x_{i}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad \text { where } \quad \varepsilon_{i} \stackrel{i . i . d .}{\sim} \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

The results and summary statistics for eight ages were as follows where

| Age, $x$ | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Force of mortality, $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}(\times \mathbf{1 0} \mathbf{- 4})$ | 5.84 | 6.1 | 6.48 | 7.05 | 7.87 | 9.03 | 10.56 | 12.66 |
| $\ln \mu_{\mathrm{x}}$ | -7.45 | -7.4 | -7.34 | -7.26 | -7.15 | -7.01 | -6.85 | -6.67 |

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i} x_{i} & =296 \quad \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}=11,120 \quad \sum_{i} \ln \mu_{x_{i}}=-57.129 \\
\sum_{i}\left(\ln \mu_{x_{i}}\right)^{2} & =408.5 \quad \sum_{i} x_{i} \ln \mu_{x_{i}}=-2,104.5
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Apply a transformation to the original formula, $\mu_{x}=\mathrm{Bc}^{x}$, to make it suitable for analysis by linear regression. Hence, write down expressions for $Y, \alpha$ and $\beta$ in terms of $\mu_{\mathrm{x}}, \mathrm{B}$ and c .
(b) Looking at the graph of $\log \mu_{\mathrm{x}}$ against the age of the policyholder x , comment on the suitability of the regression model and state how this supports the transformation in part (a).

(c) Use the data to calculate least squares estimates of $B$ and $c$ in the original formula.
(d) Calculate the coefficient of determination between $\ln \mu_{x}$ and $x$. Hence comment on the fit of the model to the data. Is this value differ from the adjusted $\mathrm{R}^{2}$ ?
(e) Complete the table of residuals and use it to comment on the fit.

| Age, $\chi$ | 30 | 32 | 34 | 36 | 38 | 40 | 42 | 44 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residual, $\widehat{e}_{i}$ | 0.08 |  | -0.03 |  | -0.06 |  | 0.02 | 0.09 |

(f) Calculate a $95 \%$ confidence interval for the mean predicted response, $\ln \mu_{35}$, and hence obtain a $95 \%$ confidence interval for the mean predicted value of $\mu_{35}$.

Question 2 [ $\mathbf{2 8}$ marks]. The Journals data frame contains 180 observations (the journals) on 10 variables, among them the number of library subscriptions (subs), the library subscription price (price), and the total number of citations for the journal (citations). We make a logarithmic transformation of the variables. The goal is to estimate the effect of the subscription prices on the number of library subscriptions using the linear regression model

$$
\log (\text { subs })_{i}=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2} \log (\text { price })_{i}+\varepsilon_{i} .
$$

(a) We fit the model described above using R and the following output was obtained:

```
> jour_lm <- lm(log(subs) ~ log(price), data = Journals)
> summary(jour_lm)
Call:
lm(formula = log(subs) ~ log(price), data = Journals)
Residuals:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrr} 
Min & 1Q & Median & 3Q & Max \\
-4.0527 & -0.7058 & 0.1686 & 0.8705 & 1.8553
\end{tabular}
Coefficients:
    Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
(Intercept) 7.05518 0.48407 14.575 < 2e-16 ***
log(price) -0.41088 0.08477 -4.847 2.72e-06 ***
Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
Residual standard error: 1.059 on 178 degrees of freedom
Multiple R-squared: 0.1166,Adjusted R-squared: 0.1116
F-statistic: 23.49 on 1 and 178 DF, p-value: 2.721e-06
Comment on the output produced by R .
```

(b) Writing the slope parameter as $\beta_{2}$, what is the conclusion of a test of the null hypothesis $\mathrm{H}_{0}: \beta_{2}=-0.6$ against a two sided alternative?
(c) Below is the Analysis of Variance Table that was produced with some figures missing.

Analysis of Variance Table
Response : log(subs)
Predictor: log(price)
Analysis of Variance Table

|  | DF | Sum Sq | Mean Sq | F Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\log$ (price) | 1 | 26.34746 |  |  |
| Residual | 178 |  |  |  |
| Lack of fit |  | 165.641 |  |  |
| Pure Error | 33 |  |  |  |
| Total | 179 | 225.9901 |  |  |

Copy and complete the Analysis of Variance Table.
(d) A Q-Q plot of the standardized residuals is shown below. What assumption is this plot examining? What is your conclusion? What test could be carried out to check this assumption?

Q-Q Plot

(e) Find a $95 \%$ confidence interval for the intercept parameter $\beta_{1}$.

Question 3 [20 marks]. Until 2010, the Minnesota Twins professional baseball team played its games in the Metrodome, an indoor stadium with a fabric roof. In addition to the large air fans required to keep the roof from collapsing, the baseball field is surrounded by ventilation fans that blow heated or cooled air into the stadium. Air is normally blown into the center of the field equally from all directions. To see if manipulating the fans could possibly make any difference, a group of students at the University of Minnesota and their professor built a "cannon" that used compressed air to shoot baseballs.
For the 96 observations, the possible regressor variables are: Cond, the condition, head or tail wind; Velocity, the actual velocity in feet per second; Angle, the actual angle; BallWt, the weight of the ball in grams used on that particular test; BallDia, the diameter in inches of the ball used on that test. The response variable considered is Dist, the distance in feet of the flight of the ball. To find the best fitting model the method of backward fitting was to be employed.
(a) Describe this method of fitting a multiple regression model as implemented in R , including a definition of the AIC.
(b) The following R output was obtained. Which variables are dropped at each step and which retained in the final chosen model?

```
> mymod <- lm(Dist ~ Velocity + Angle + BallWt + BallDia + Cond, data = domedata1)
> reduced.model <- step(mymod,direction="backward")
Start: AIC=433.65
Dist ~ Velocity + Angle + BallWt + BallDia + Cond
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
& Df & Sum of & Sq & RSS
\end{tabular} AIC
Step: AIC=431.65
Dist ~ Velocity + Angle + BallDia + Cond
\begin{tabular}{lrrrr} 
& Df & Sum of & Sq & RSS
\end{tabular} AIC
Step: AIC=429.85
Dist ~ Velocity + Angle + Cond
\begin{tabular}{lrrrrr} 
& Df & Sum of & Sq & RSS & AIC \\
- Cond & 1 & & 80 & 7855 & 428.84
\end{tabular}
```

| <none> |  | 7775 | 429.85 |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| - Angle | 1 | 5382 | 13157 | 478.36 |
| - Velocity | 1 | 73831 | 81606 | 653.55 |

Step: AIC=428.84
Dist ~ Velocity + Angle

Df Sum of Sq RSS AIC
<none> 7855428.84

- Angle $1 \quad 547813333477.63$
- Velocity $1 \quad 7404581900651.90$
(c) Describe what the VIF is and interpret the following R command:
> vif (mymod)
Velocity Angle BallWt BallDia Cond
1.5008211 .5102331 .2901441 .0761351 .029261
(d) For the chosen model you wish to check the assumptions. Say what plots you would look at and why.
(e) In this model, we add a quadratic term for the variable Angle and we run the linear regression. The plots in Figure 1 are obtained. Comment on the overall fit of this model.


Figure 1: Plot of standardized residuals versus fitted values (left) and Q-Q plot (right) for the model with three explanatory variables.

Question 4 [ $\mathbf{1 7}$ marks]. We have the data for cigarette consumption for 46 US States for the year 1992 and we are interested in the relationship between the logarithm of cigarette consumption (in packs) per person of smoking age ( $>16$ years), the so-called $\mathbf{Y}$, the logarithm of real price of cigarettes in each state, $\mathbf{X}_{1}$, and the logarithm of real disposable income (per capita) in each state, $\mathbf{X}_{2}$. Data were collected for the 46 US States and the following computations for a multiple regression analysis of the model

$$
Y_{i}=\beta_{0}+\beta_{1} x_{1 i}+\beta_{2} x_{2 i}+\varepsilon_{i}
$$

were obtained:

$$
\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
30.930 & 4.811 & -6.679 \\
4.811 & 3.945 & -1.177 \\
-6.679 & -1.177 & 1.449
\end{array}\right), \quad \mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{Y}=\left(\begin{array}{c}
223.001 \\
45.428 \\
1064.724 .
\end{array}\right)
$$

Also $\mathbf{Y}^{\top} \mathbf{Y}=1082.723$ and $\overline{\mathbf{Y}}=4.848$ were computed.
(a) Find the least squares estimates $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$ and hence write down the fitted model.
(b) Use the results to construct the Analysis of Variance Table.
(c) Describe the conditions for a high leverage and a very high leverage. Comment on the following output:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{diag}(\mathbf{H}) & =(0.048,0.031,0.085,0.098,0.135,0.033,0.110,0.043,0.040,0.041 \\
& 0.040,0.065,0.031,0.022,0.198,0.076,0.055,0.122,0.086,0.024,0.086,0.088 \\
& 0.079,0.031,0.024,0.065,0.131,0.139,0.064,0.089,0.029,0.042,0.050,0.026 \\
& 0.050,0.073,0.040,0.029,0.055,0.086,0.024,0.077,0.087,0.071,0.025,0.056)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Question 5 [15 marks].

(a) Write the linear regression model

$$
y_{i}=\beta_{1} x_{i}+\beta_{2} z_{i}^{2}+\varepsilon_{i}, \quad i=1, \ldots, n,
$$

where $\varepsilon_{i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$, as a general linear model. Thus identify the quantities $\mathbf{Y}, \mathbf{X}$, $\beta$ and $\varepsilon$.
(b) Find the least squares estimators of $\beta_{1}$ and $\beta_{2}$.
(c) The hat matrix, $\mathbf{H}$, is defined as

$$
\mathbf{H}=\mathbf{X}\left(\mathbf{X}^{\top} \mathbf{X}\right)^{-1} \mathbf{X}^{\top}
$$

Show that it is symmetric and idempotent.

## End of Paper.
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