

$E_1$	$\vdots$	
$E_2$	$\vdots$	$E_n$

## 17.5 Conditional expectation

Proposition 17.3 (Properties of conditional expectation)

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$  be a probability space,

$\xi, \zeta$  : r.v.s

$\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{G}$  be two sub- $\sigma$ -algebras of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Then

Exp. 1 For any real numbers  $a, b$

$$E[a\xi + b\zeta | \mathcal{G}] = a E[\xi | \mathcal{G}] + b E[\zeta | \mathcal{G}]. \quad \text{Linearity}$$

Exp. 2  $E[E[\xi | \mathcal{G}]] = E[\xi]$

Exp. 3 If  $\zeta$  is a r.v. measurable w.r.t  $\mathcal{G}$ ,

then  $E[\xi \zeta | \mathcal{G}] = \zeta E[\xi | \mathcal{G}]$  Past

Economic meaning: Stock price of yesterday  
 ↑  
 is known today, no random info up to today

Exp. 4 If  $\zeta$  is a r.v. independent of  $\mathcal{G}$  future

then  $E[\zeta | \mathcal{G}] = E[\zeta]$  math:  $P(A|B) = P(A)$  if  $A \perp B$

Eco: Stock price of tomorrow

Complete market  
no arbitrage

Exp 5. if  $G_1 \subset G$

$$\text{then } E[\xi | G_1] = E\left[ \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Public info} \\ + \text{insider info}}}{E[\xi | G]} \mid \underset{\substack{\uparrow \\ \text{Public info}}}{G_1} \right]$$

Example:

Let  $\xi_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$  independent r.v.s  $\pm 1$   $P(\xi_i=1) = \frac{1}{2}$

$\mathcal{F}_n$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$$

$\tau$ : r.v. taking values in  $\mathbb{N}$ , with  $E(\tau) < \infty$

$\tau \perp$  all  $\xi_i$

$$1. E [ e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi_3} \mid \xi_1, \xi_2 ]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp. 3}}{=} e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2} E [ e^{-\xi_3} \mid \xi_1, \xi_2 ]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp. 4}}{=} e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2} E [ e^{-\xi_3} ] \quad \text{all } \xi_i \text{ s are independent}$$

$$E [ e^{-\xi_3} ] = e^{-1} \times \frac{1}{2} + e^{+1} \times \frac{1}{2} = \cosh(1)$$

$$= e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2} \cosh(1)$$

$$2. E [ S_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} ] \rightarrow \text{all the info up to time } n-1$$

$$= E [ S_{n-1} + \xi_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} ]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 1}}{=} E [ S_{n-1} \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} ] + E [ \xi_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} ]$$

↑  
past

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 3}}{=} S_{n-1} + E [ \xi_n \mid \mathcal{F}_{n-1} ] \stackrel{\text{Exp 4}}{=} S_{n-1} + E [ \xi_n ]$$

$$E(\xi_n) = 1 \times \frac{1}{2} + (-1) \times \frac{1}{2} = 0$$

$$E[S_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = S_{n-1} + 0 = S_{n-1}$$

info
time  
n-1

$$E(\xi_n) = 0$$

best estimate:

Stock price  
of current time

$$3. E[S_n^2 - n | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 1}}{=} E[S_n^2 | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}] - n$$

$$= E[(S_{n-1} + \xi_n)^2 | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}] - n$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 1}}{=} E[S_{n-1}^2 | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}] + 2 E[S_{n-1} \xi_n | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}] + E[\xi_n^2 | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}] - n$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 3}}{=} S_{n-1}^2 + 2 S_{n-1} E[\xi_n | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}] + E[\xi_n^2 | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}] - n$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 4}}{=} S_{n-1}^2 + 2 S_{n-1} E[\xi_n] + E[\xi_n^2] - n$$

$$= S_{n-1}^2 - (n-1)$$

~~Hytho~~ Hypothesis:

$$E[S_n^2 - n | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n-1}] = S_{n-1}^2 - (n-1)$$

Martingale

$$E[\xi_n^2] = 1 \times \frac{1}{2} + (-1)^2 \times \frac{1}{2} = 1$$

$$4. E[e^{S_n} | \tilde{F}_{n-1}]$$

$$S_n = S_{n-1} + \xi_n$$

$$= E[e^{S_{n-1}} e^{\xi_n} | \tilde{F}_{n-1}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 3}}{=} e^{S_{n-1}} E[e^{\xi_n} | \tilde{F}_{n-1}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 4}}{=} e^{S_{n-1}} E[e^{\xi_n}] = e^{S_{n-1}} \cosh(1)$$

$$5. E[S_n^2 | S_{n-1}]$$

$$= E[(S_{n-1} + \xi_n)^2 | S_{n-1}] \stackrel{\text{Exp 1}}{=} E[S_{n-1}^2 | S_{n-1}] + 2E[S_{n-1} \xi_n | S_{n-1}] + E[\xi_n^2 | S_{n-1}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 3}}{=} S_{n-1}^2 + 2S_{n-1} E[\xi_n | S_{n-1}] + E[\xi_n^2 | S_{n-1}]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 4}}{=} S_{n-1}^2 + 2S_{n-1} E[\xi_n] + E[\xi_n^2]$$

$$= S_{n-1}^2 + 1$$

$$\neq S_{n-1}^2$$

$$6. E[S_\tau^2 | \tau]$$

$\tau$ : r.v. in  $\mathbb{N}$ ,  $E[\tau] < \infty$

$$E[S_n^2] = E[(\xi_1 + \xi_2 + \dots + \xi_n)^2] = E\left[\sum_{j,k} \xi_j \xi_k\right]$$

$\xi_i$  are independent

$$\sum_j E[\xi_j^2] + \sum_{j \neq k} E[\xi_j \xi_k] \overset{\text{independent}}{\cancel{=}} = \sum_j E[\xi_j^2] + \sum_{j \neq k} E[\xi_j] E[\xi_k]$$

$E(AB) = E(A) \cdot E(B)$   
Independence

$$= \sum_j E[\xi_j^2] = n$$

$$E[S_\tau^2 | \tau = n] = n = \tau$$

$$E[S_\tau^2 | \tau] = \tau$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\xi_i] &= 1 \times \frac{1}{2} + (-1) \times \frac{1}{2} \\ &= 0 \\ E[\xi_i^2] &= 1^2 \times \frac{1}{2} + (-1)^2 \times \frac{1}{2} \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

## 18. Martingale

Def Filtration  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$  adapted Slide 41

### Defination 18.3 Martingale

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space with filtration  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  and an adapted process  $\{X_t\}_{t \geq 0}$

The process is a martingale if  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t$ -measurable

- M.1. for any  $t \geq 0$   $E[|X_t|] < \infty$
- M.2. for any  $t \geq s$   $E[X_t | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] = X_s$ .

In discrete setting

$$\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_n \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_{n+1}$$



If an adapted process is a martingale,

$$M.2 \quad E[X_n | \tilde{F}_{n-1}] = X_{n-1}$$

Exp. 5 if  $G_1 \subset G$ , then  $E[\xi | G_1] = E[E[\xi | G] | G_1]$

Tower rule:

$$E[X_n | \tilde{F}_m] = E[E[E[E[X_n | \tilde{F}_{n-1}] | \tilde{F}_{n-2}] | \dots] | \tilde{F}_m]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 5}}{=} E[E[E[X_{n-1} | \tilde{F}_{n-2}] | \dots] | \tilde{F}_m]$$

$$= E[E[X_{n-1} | \dots] | \tilde{F}_m] = \dots = X_m$$

Example 1.

$$E(W_t | \tilde{F}_s) = E[W_t - W_s + W_s | \tilde{F}_s]$$

Exp 1

$$= E[W_t - W_s | \tilde{F}_s] + E[W_s | \tilde{F}_s]$$

Exp 3

$$= E[W_t - W_s | \tilde{F}_s] + W_s$$

Exp 4

$$= E[W_t - W_s] + W_s = W_s$$

$$M.2. \quad E[X_t | \tilde{F}_s] = X_s$$

$$W_t - W_s \sim N(0, t-s)$$

$$B_t = B_0 + \mu t + \sigma W_t$$

$$E[B_t | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] = E[B_t - B_s + B_s | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 1}}{=} E[B_t - B_s | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] + E[B_s | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 3}}{=} E[B_t - B_s | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] + B_s$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp 4}}{=} E[B_t - B_s] + B_s$$

$$= \underbrace{\mu}_{\neq 0} (t-s) + B_s \neq B_s \quad \text{for } \mu \neq 0$$

Example 2

$$E[W_t^2 | \tilde{F}_s] = E[(W_t - W_s + W_s)^2 | \tilde{F}_s]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp. 1.}}{=} E[(W_t - W_s)^2 | \tilde{F}_s] + 2E[W_s(W_t - W_s) | \tilde{F}_s]$$

$$+ E[W_s^2 | \tilde{F}_s]$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp. 3}}{=} E[(W_t - W_s)^2 | \tilde{F}_s] + 2W_s E[W_t - W_s | \tilde{F}_s] + W_s^2$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Exp. 4}}{=} E[(W_t - W_s)^2] + 2W_s E[W_t - W_s] + W_s^2$$

$$E(W_t^2 | \tilde{F}_s) = t - s + W_s^2$$

$W_t^2$  is not a martingale

$W_t^2 - t$  is a martingale

w.r.t  $\{\tilde{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$

$$E[W_t^2 - t | \tilde{F}_s] = W_s^2 - s$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} W_t - W_s \sim N(0, t-s) \\ \text{Var}[W_t - W_s] \\ = t - s \\ E[W_t - W_s] = 0 \\ E[(W_t - W_s)^2] \\ = \text{Var}[W_t - W_s] + (E[W_t - W_s])^2 \end{array} \right\}$$

Example 3.  $S_t = e^{B_t}$ .  $S_t$  is GBM  $S_0 = e^{B_0}$

$$B_t = B_0 + \mu t + \sigma W_t$$

Q: Under what conditions can  $S_t$  be a martingale?

Hint:  $\frac{S_t}{S_s} \cdot S_s$

A.  $E[S_t | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] = E\left[\frac{S_t}{S_s} \cdot S_s \mid \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s\right] = E\left[e^{\mu(t-s) + \sigma(W_t - W_s)} \cdot S_s \mid \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s\right]$

$$= e^{\mu(t-s)} E\left[e^{\sigma(W_t - W_s)} \cdot S_s \mid \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s\right]$$

Exp 3  $= e^{\mu(t-s)} S_s E\left[e^{\sigma(W_t - W_s)} \mid \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s\right]$

Exp 4  $= e^{\mu(t-s)} S_s E\left[e^{\sigma(W_t - W_s)}\right]$

$$= e^{\mu(t-s)} S_s \cdot e^{\frac{\sigma^2}{2}(t-s)} = S_s e^{\mu(t-s) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(t-s)}$$

$$E[S_t | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s] = S_s e^{(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(t-s)}$$

$$S_t = e^{B_t} = e^{B_0 + \mu t + \sigma W_t}$$

$$\frac{S_t}{S_s} = e^{\mu(t-s) + \sigma(W_t - W_s)}$$

$$E[S_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = S_s$$

$$S_s e^{(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(t-s)} = S_s$$

$= 1$

$$\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} = 0 \quad \mu = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$$

Prove:  $X_t = e^{-rt} S_t$  is a martingale

$S_t \sim$  risk-neutral GBM

Hint:  $S_t = S e^{(r - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2)t + \sigma W_t}$

---

An important property of Martingale: constant mean  
Proposition 18.1 (Constant mean of martingale)

Let  $(\Omega, \tilde{\mathcal{F}}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space

$X_t$ : martingale w.r.t  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$

Then the mean  $E[X_t]$  is constant over time,

$$E[X_t] = E[X_s], \quad \forall t, s \geq 0$$

Proof:  $t > s$

Exp 2.  $E[E[Y|G]] = E[Y]$

$$E[X_t] \stackrel{\text{Exp. 2}}{=} E[E[X_t | \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_s]] = E[X_s] \quad \square$$

$= X_s$  martingale

$e^{-rt} S_t$  constant mean

$$E[e^{-rt} S_t] = E[e^{-r \cdot 0} \cdot S_0] = S_0$$

$$E[S_t] = e^{rt} S_0 \quad r \quad - \quad \text{RNP}$$