

Week 8

Last Friday, we started the new section about polynomials.

Def $(R, +, \cdot, X)$ a ring.

$R[X]$ the set of polynomials in one variable X

$$f = f(X) = C_d X^d + C_{d-1} X^{d-1} + \dots$$

$$+ C_1 X + C$$

where C_i 's are elements of R .

$\deg(f)$ = the largest n for which
the coefficient C_n of X

is non-zero.

$$f(X) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} C_n(f) X^n$$

$$C_n(f) = 0 \quad \forall n > \deg(f)$$

$$C_{\deg(f)}(f) \neq 0 \quad \text{at } n = \deg(f)$$

Theorem 25

$R[X]$ is a ring

w.r.t addition

$$\max(\deg(f), \deg(g))$$

$$f+g = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (C_n(f) + C_n(g)) X^n$$

$$fg = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(f)+\deg(g)} C_n(fg) X^n$$

$$\text{where } C_n(fg) = \sum_{r=0}^n C_r(f) C_{n-r}(g)$$

$$C_0(fg) = C_0(f) C_0(g)$$

$$C_1(fg) = \sum_{r=0}^1 C_r(f) C_{1-r}(g)$$

$$= C_0(f) C_1(g) + C_1(f) C_0(g)$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_2(fg) &= C_0(f)C_2(g) + C_1(f)C_1(g) \\ &\quad \vdots \quad \quad \quad + C_2(f)C_0(g) \end{aligned}$$

If R is a ring with identity,

then so is $R[x]$.

If R is commutative, then so is
 $R[x]$.

To check that
(R to)

Let $f, g \in R[x]$.

(GOAL $f+g \in R[x]$)

For any $n \geq 0$, we know that

$$C_n(f) \in R$$

$$C_n(g) \in R$$

Then by $(R+0)$ for $(R, +, x)$,

$$C_n(f) + C_n(g) \in R$$

Therefore

$$\sum_n (C_n(f) + C_n(g)) x^n \in R[x].$$

(R+1) I need to show
if $f, g, h \in R[X]$.

$$\text{for } f + (g + h) = (f + g) + h$$

For any $n \geq 0$,

we know

$$\begin{aligned} & C_n(f) + (C_n(g) + C_n(h)) \\ &= (C_n(f) + C_n(g)) + C_n(h) \end{aligned}$$

by (R+1) for $(R, +, X)$.

Therefore,

$$\left(\sum_n c_n(f) X^n \right) + \left(\sum_n c_n(g) X^n \right)$$

$$\sum_n (c_n(g) + c_n(h)) X^n + \sum_n c_n(h) X^n$$

$$= \left(\sum_n c_n(f) X^n + \sum_n c_n(g) X^n \right)$$

$$\sum_n (c_n(f) + c_n(g)) X^n + \sum_n c_n(h) X^n$$

(R+2) asks for the identity element
with $(R[X], +)$

In fact:

$$0 = \dots + 0_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot X^n + 0_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot X^{n-1} + \dots + 0_{\mathbb{R}} \cdot X + 0_{\mathbb{R}}$$

OR on the RHS are
the identity element w.r.t.
(R,+)

would do, i.e.

$$\forall f \in R[X].$$

$$f+0 = 0+f = f.$$

$$f+0 = \sum_n (C_n(f) + C_n(0)) X^n$$

$$= \sum_n (C_n(f) + 0_R) X^n$$

(R+2)

$$\text{for } (R,+X) \Rightarrow \sum_n C_n(f) X^n = f$$

Similarly

$$0 + f = \sum_n (C_n(0) + C_n(f)) X^n$$

$$= \sum_n (0 + C_n(f)) X^n$$

$$= \sum_n C_n(f) X^n$$

Combining these two

$$f + 0 = 0 + f = f.$$

(R+3) asks for the inverse of f
with it, "+".

$$\text{Given } f = \sum_n C_n(f) X^n,$$

the inverse is

$$g = \sum_n (-C_n(f)) X^n.$$

I need to check that

$$f + g = g + f = 0$$

In fact $f + g$

$$= \sum C_n(f) X^n + \sum (-C_n(f)) X^n$$

$$= \sum_n (C_n(f) + (-C_n(f))) X^n$$

$$= \sum_n 0_R X^n = 0$$

(R+3) for $(R, +, \times)$

Similarly for $g+f=0$

(R+4) asks for $f+g=g+f$.

This follows from

$$C_n(f) + C_n(g) = C_n(g) + C_n(f)$$

given by (R+4) for $(R, +, \times)$.

$(R \times 0)$, $(R \times 1)$, $(R \times +)$, $(R \times \times)$

are left as exercises!

Prop 26 If R is a ring
with identity,

then $R[x]$ is not a division ring.

Pf Look at the notes for the proof.

Rk Given $f, g \in R[x]$,

$$\deg(fg) \leq \deg(f) + \deg(g)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 fg &= c_0(f)c_0(g) \\
 &+ \\
 &(c_1(f)c_0(g) + c_0(f)c_1(g))X^1 \\
 &+ \\
 &\vdots \\
 &+
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\underline{\underline{c_{\deg(f)} \cdot c_{\deg(g)} X^{\deg(f) + \deg(g)}}}$$

When

$$\begin{aligned}
 f &= \sum c_n(f)X^n \\
 g &= \sum c_n(g)X^n
 \end{aligned}$$

$$* \deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$$

Only if $C_{\deg(f)} \cdot C_{\deg(g)} \neq 0$
in R .

$$R = \mathbb{Z}_6$$

$$f = [2]X + [1] \quad \text{of degree 1}$$

$$g = [3]X + [2] \quad \text{of degree 1.}$$

$$\begin{aligned} fg &= ([2]X + [1])([3]X + [2]) \\ &= [2][3]X^2 + [2][2]X \\ &\quad + [1][3]X + [1][2] \end{aligned}$$

$$= [6]x^2 + ([4] + [3])x + [2]$$

$$= [0]x^2 + [1]x + [2].$$

The degree of fg is just **1**,

i.e. $\deg(fg) < \deg(f) + \deg(g)$.

This happens because

even if $a, b \in R$

are both non-zero,

its product ab might be 0_R

Non-examinable remark

A commutative ring $(R, +, \cdot)$

which satisfies the property:

$$\forall a, b \in R$$

$$\& a \neq 0_R, b \neq 0_R$$

$$\text{then } ab \neq 0_R$$

is called an integral domain.

Example \mathbb{Z} , any field.

If R is an integral domain

$$\deg(fg) = \deg(f) + \deg(g)$$

always holds.

It is for this reason we will

focus on $F[x]$

the ring of polynomials in X

with coefficients in a field F .

Prop 2ⁿ → Let $(F, +, \cdot)$
be a field.

The units $F[x]^{\times}$ of $F[x]$

are $F^{\times} = F - \{0\}$

Hint If f is a unit in $F[x]$,

then $\exists g \in F[x]$

s.t.

$$fg = gf = 1_F$$

↑
to identity element

of $(F[x], X)$

where $1_F = 0x^n + 0x^{n-1} + \dots + 0 \cdot x$
 $+ 1_F$

Pf Let f be a unit in $F[x]$.

By definition, $\exists g \in F[x]$

s.t. $fg = gf = 1$.

$$\deg(fg) = \deg(1) = 0$$

" \Leftarrow by the remark above.

$$\deg(f) + \deg(g)$$

$$\Rightarrow \deg(f) = \deg(g) = 0$$

i.e. $f = c$ for some

$$c \in F - \{0\}$$

by Prop 16.

More precisely

if $f = c = 0$, then

$$f \cdot g = 0 \neq 1$$

in F . Hence $c \neq 0$

□

Theorem 28

Division algorithm in $\mathbb{F}[X]$.

Recall from Week 1,

given $a \in \mathbb{Z}$

and $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ $b > 0$,

we saw that there exist

$$q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$0 \leq r < b$$

$$\text{s.t. } a = bq + r$$

Let F be a field

$$\exists f, g \in F[x]$$
$$\neq$$
$$0$$

Then there exist $q, r \in F[x]$

s.t.

$$f = g \cdot q + r$$

where either $r = 0$

or

$$\deg(r) < \deg(g)$$

\nearrow
($r \neq 0$) (analogue of $r < b$)

above.

Example

$$f = X^4 + 2X^3 + X^2 - 4$$

$$\textcircled{1} \quad g = X^3 - 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}[X]$$

What are q s r ?

$$q = X + 2$$

$$r = X^2 + X - 2$$

$$\textcircled{2} \quad f = X^2 + X - 2 = (X+1)(X-2)$$

$$g = 3X - 3 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}[X]$$

What are q s r ?

$$\begin{array}{r}
 x^3 - 1 \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} x+2 \in \mathfrak{q} \\ x^4 + 2x^3 + x^2 - 4 \\ x^4 - x \end{array} \right. \\
 \hline
 \end{array}$$

$$2x^3 + x^2 + x - 4$$

$$2x^3 - 2$$

$$x^2 + x - 2$$

r

$$\deg(H) = 2$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 < \deg(g) = \deg(x^3 - 1) \\
 &= 3.
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{array}{r}
 \frac{1}{3}x + \frac{2}{3} \leftarrow \\
 \hline
 3x - 3 \quad \left[\begin{array}{l} x^2 \\ x + x - 2 \\ \cancel{x^2} - x \end{array} \right. \\
 \hline
 \end{array}$$

9

$$\begin{array}{r}
 2x - 2 \\
 2x - 2 \\
 \hline
 \end{array}$$

$\rightarrow 0$

If you remembered that
 the division algorithm for \mathbb{Z}
 was the key ingredient for

Euclid's algorithm for \mathbb{Z} ,

it's possible to imagine that Theorem 28

can be used to do Euclid's algorithm

for $F[x]$

Def $f, g \in F[x]$

We say that g divides f

(or g is a factor
of f)

if $\exists g \in F[x]$

$$\text{s.t. } f = g \cdot g$$

$$(= g \cdot g)$$

$$\underline{\underline{Rk}} \quad x^2 + 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Q}[x]$$

There is no polynomial that divides
this poly.

If f was a polynomial dividing

$$x^2 + 1,$$

then $f = ax + b$

$\& \exists g = cx + d$ s.t.

$$(ax + b)(cx + d)$$

$$\parallel$$
$$x^2 + 1.$$

Just check that there are no

$$a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Q}$$

that satisfy

On the other hand,

$$X^2 + 1 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{C}[X]$$

has two factors.

$$X^2 + 1 = (X + \sqrt{-1})(X - \sqrt{-1})$$

so there are polynomials of degree 1
that divide $X^2 + 1$.

Division of polynomials depend
on the coefficient field.

Dk In this example,

$$\frac{1}{2}(x + \sqrt{-1})$$

$$10^{(100)}(x + \sqrt{-1})$$

⋮

all divide $x^2 + 1$.

because

$$\frac{1}{2}(x + \sqrt{-1}) \cdot 2(x - \sqrt{-1})$$

$$\frac{1}{2}x + \frac{\sqrt{-1}}{2}$$

↗
↘

$$2x - 2\sqrt{-1}$$

↘
↗

For that matter, for any

$$c \in \mathbb{C} - \{0\},$$

$c(x + \sqrt{-1})$ divides $x^2 + 1$.

because

$$\begin{aligned} c(x + \sqrt{-1}) \cdot \frac{1}{c}(x - \sqrt{-1}) \\ = x^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

The units $F[x]^{\times} = F^{\times}$
come into the picture

more prominently

than before .