Multiple Linear Regression Models

CHRIS SUTTON, MARCH 2024

Modelling more complex relationships between variables



The multiple linear regression model

 \mathcal{E}_i

model with p - 1 explanatory variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{p-1}

$$y_{i} = \beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_{p-1}x_{p-1i} + var(\varepsilon_{i}) = \sigma^{2} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n$$
$$cov(\varepsilon_{i}, \varepsilon_{j}) = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j$$
$$\varepsilon_{i} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2})$$

The multiple linear regression model

model with p-1 explanatory variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_{p-1}

$$E[y_i] = \mu_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i} + \dots + \beta_{p-1} x_{p-1i}$$
$$var(y_i) = \sigma^2 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n$$
$$cov(y_i, y_j) = 0 \text{ for all } i \neq j$$
$$y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2)$$

The multiple linear regression model

 $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{X} \boldsymbol{\beta} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}$

Multiple linear regression in R

Response variable observations in vector \boldsymbol{y}

If we have four explanatory variables with their observations in vectors x1 x2 x3 x4

To construct the multiple linear regression in an R object called mlrm (for example) and then display the results

```
mlrm < - lm(y ~ x1 + x2 + x3 + x4)
```

```
summary(mlrm)
```

To calculate the fitted values and store them as ${\tt yhat}$ and the standardised residuals and store them as ${\tt d}$

```
yhat <- fitted(mlrm)</pre>
```

```
d <- rstandard(mlrm)</pre>
```

Residuals in multiple linear regression

$$e = Y - \widehat{Y} = Y - HY = (I - H)Y$$

With

 $E[\boldsymbol{e}]=0$

 $var(\boldsymbol{e}) = \sigma^2(\boldsymbol{I} - \boldsymbol{H})$

The sum of the elements in *e* is zero as before

The sum of squares of residuals in matrix form is $e^T e = Y^T (I - H) Y$

Analysis of Variance

The analysis of variance identity still holds in multiple regression

That is

Total sum of squares = Regression sum of squares + Residual sum of squares

 $SS_T = SS_R + SS_E$

ANOVA table

We can produce the ANOVA table for a regression with n observations, p-1 explanatory variables and p parameters in the same format as before

	d.f.	SS	MS	VR
Regression				
Residuals				
Total				

Now the Regression row represents the multiple linear regression

Degrees of freedom

Residuals	n - p	This is the general case of $n - 2$ when we had $p = 2$ in the simple linear regression model
Regression	<i>p</i> – 1	This is the general case of 2 – 1 = 1 when we had <i>p</i> = 2 in the simple linear regression model
Total	n - 1	As before

Mean Squares are again the Sums of Squares divided by degrees of freedom

$$MS_R = \frac{SS_R}{p-1}$$
$$MS_E = \frac{SS_E}{n-p} = S^2$$

 $MS_E = S^2$ is an unbiased estimator for σ^2

The VR or F Statistic becomes

$$VR = \frac{MS_R}{MS_E} = \frac{\frac{SS_R}{p-1}}{S^2}$$

Once again we can use the VR as a test statistic in an overall test of significance of the multiple regression model

Setting out hypotheses tests

- State the null and alternative hypotheses
- Give a formula for the test statistic
- Calculate the test statistic
- State the assumption the statistic follows under H0
- Calculate or show the degrees of freedom
- State the significance level of the test
- Compare the critical value of the distribution with the test statistic [or calculate p]
- State the conclusion of the test

Overall test of significance

Does the multiple regression model have statistical significance? Over a "null model" of constant β_0 plus some random variation Our null hypothesis is

$$H_0: \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots = \beta_{p-1} = 0$$

Our alternative hypothesis is that at least one of $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots \beta_{p-1}$ is not zero We would like to reject H_0

We can use the Variance Ratio from the multiple regression ANOVA

Our F statistic sometimes written F^* is the variance ratio from ANOVA

$$F^* = \frac{MS_R}{MS_E} = \frac{\frac{SS_R}{p-1}}{\frac{SS_E}{n-p}} = \frac{\frac{SS_R}{p-1}}{S^2}$$

The denominator is always an unbiased estimator of σ^2

The numerator is only an unbiased estimator of σ^2 if the regression assumptions hold true

The F test

Under H_0 we will have $F^* \approx 1$

So large values of F^* are required to reject H_0

We compare F^* with the critical value of the F distribution

On p-1 and n-p degrees of freedom

We reject H_0 at $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ significance

if $F^* > F_{n-p}^{p-1}(\alpha)$

Inference about parameters in multiple regression models

We already have the distribution of the least squares parameters

 $\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}} \sim N(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \sigma^2 (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1})$

Now consider the jth parameter estimator $\hat{\beta}_j$ where j = 0, 1, ..., p - 1

 $\widehat{\beta}_{j} \sim N(\beta_{j}, \sigma^{2} c_{jj})$

Where:

- c_{jj} is the jth diagonal element of $(X^T X)^{-1}$
- Counting the *p* diagonal elements 0, 1, ..., p-1
- $\,\circ\,$ So the first diagonal element relates to β_0 , the next to β_1 , ..., the last to β_{p-1}

Inference about β_j

With this normal distribution for β_j we can make inference about β_j in the same way that we did for β_1 in the simple linear regression model

- Confidence intervals for β_j
- Tests of hypotheses with H_0 : $\beta_i = 0$ versus H_1 : $\beta_i \neq 0$

Inference about
$$\beta_j$$

Our 100(1 – α)% confidence interval for β_j is

$$[a,b] = \widehat{\beta}_j \pm t_{n-p}(\alpha) \sqrt{S^2 c_{jj}}$$

The test statistic for H_0 : $\beta_j = 0$ versus H_1 : $\beta_j \neq 0$ is *T* where

$$T = \frac{\widehat{\beta_j}}{\sqrt{S^2 c_{jj}}} \sim t_{n-p} \text{ under } H_0$$

Need to be careful here

Care needed with the interpretation of these tests of hypothesis

They only apply within the context of the whole *p* parameter model

If we cannot reject H_0 : $\beta_i = 0$ then

- This does <u>not</u> mean that X_i has no explanatory power
 - rather that it has no <u>additional</u> explanatory power compared to the p-1 parameter model that had all of the other betas apart from β_i
- Also this does not tell us about the model $y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_j x_{ji} + \varepsilon_i$
 - rather it tells us about the role of β_i within the whole *p* parameter model

Estimating the mean response

To estimate the mean response, μ at a certain value of **X**

 $\widehat{\mu} = \widehat{E[Y]} = X\widehat{\beta}$

Now if we want to estimate a particular μ_0 at $x_0 = (1, x_{1,0} \dots x_{p-1,0})^T$

$$\mu_0 = E[Y|X_1 = x_{1,0} \dots X_{p-1} = x_{p-1,0}]$$

And our point estimate is

 $\widehat{\mu}_0 = x_0^T \widehat{\beta}$

Confidence intervals for μ_0

Using the normal distribution assumption

 $\hat{\mu}_0 = x_0^T \hat{\beta}$ is a linear combination of the components of $\hat{\beta}$ all of which are normally distributed therefore $\hat{\mu}_0$ must also be normal

$$E[\hat{\mu}_0] = E[x_0^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] = x_0^T \boldsymbol{\beta} = \mu_0$$

$$var[\hat{\mu}_0] = var[x_0^T \widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}] = x_0^T var(\widehat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}) x_0 = \sigma^2 x_0^T (\boldsymbol{X}^T \boldsymbol{X})^{-1} x_0$$

Therefore $\hat{\mu}_0 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2 x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0)$

Confidence intervals for
$$\mu_0$$

From
$$\hat{\mu}_0 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma^2 x_0^T (\mathbf{X}^T \mathbf{X})^{-1} x_0)$$

It is straightforward to develop a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ confidence interval for μ_0

$$[a,b] = \hat{\mu}_0 \pm t_{n-p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sqrt{S^2 x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0}$$

Prediction intervals

If we have a new set of **x** observations $x_0 = (1, x_{1,0} \dots x_{p-1,0})^T$

But we do not yet have the corresponding response observation y_0

We can construct a $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ prediction interval

• Which takes account of the random variation that comes with a new observation

Our point estimate for y_0 is $\hat{\mu}_0 = \hat{y}_0$

And we have our normal distribution assumption for the y_i 's

Developing the prediction interval

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_{0} &\sim N(\mu_{0}, \sigma^{2} x_{0}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} x_{0} \right) \\ \hat{y}_{0} &- \mu_{0} \;\sim N(0, \sigma^{2} x_{0}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} x_{0} \right) \\ \hat{y}_{0} &- (\mu_{0} + \varepsilon_{0}) \;\sim N(0, \sigma^{2} x_{0}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} x_{0} + \; \sigma^{2}) \\ \hat{y}_{0} &- y_{0} \sim N(0, \sigma^{2} (1 + x_{0}^{T} \left(\boldsymbol{X}^{T} \boldsymbol{X} \right)^{-1} x_{0})) \end{aligned}$$

Developing the prediction interval

Standardising this normal distribution gives

$$\frac{\hat{y}_0 - y_0}{\sqrt{\sigma^2 (1 + x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0)}} \sim N(0, 1)$$

And replacing the unknown σ^2 with our estimate S^2 gives

$$\frac{\hat{y}_0 - y_0}{\sqrt{S^2 (1 + x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0)}} \sim t_{n-p}$$

Prediction interval

Our $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ prediction interval for y_0 is

$$\hat{y}_0 \pm t_{n-p} \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \sqrt{S^2 (1 + x_0^T (X^T X)^{-1} x_0)}$$

Confidence intervals in R

The R commands remain the same as those used in simple linear regression.

If a multiple regression model called <code>model has been run using lm()</code> and existing observations are saved in a data frame called <code>x_obs</code> then

To construct a 99% confidence interval and store it in conf use

conf <- predict(model, newdata = data.frame(x=x_obs), interval = `confidence', level = 0.99)

If no level = is specified, R will default to 95%

Prediction intervals in R

The R commands to create prediction intervals are very similar

If new observations are saved in a data frame called $\texttt{x_new}$ then

To construct a 90% prediction interval and store it in pred use

pred <- predict(model, newdata = data.frame(x=x_new), interval = `prediction', level = 0.90)

You can display the first 6 rows of pred with the command head (pred)