

# Estimation

Assume data  $y_1, \dots, y_n$  is generated by random variables  $Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n$  which have a joint distribution specified by parameter  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_p$ .

We want to estimate a known function of the parameters

$\phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)$ . We use a statistic

$T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to estimate  $\phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p)$ ,  
for example,  $T(y_1, \dots, y_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$

The random variable  $T(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$  is an estimator of  $\phi$  and  $T(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  is an estimate

of  $\phi$ .

We want to find good estimators of  $\phi$ .

Therefore, we want  $E(T(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)) \approx \phi$  and  $\text{Var}(T(Y_1, \dots, Y_n))$  to be small

Illustration: pdf of  $T_3$



$T_1$  and  $T_2$  are unbiased for  $\phi$

but  $\text{Var}(T_2) \gg \text{Var}(T_1)$  and  $T_2$  is a poor estimator.

~~$T_3$~~   $\text{Var}(T_3)$  is small, but  $E(T_3) \ll \phi$

so  $T_3$  is a poor estimator.

$T_1$  is the best estimator.

(1) (1)

Let  $\underline{Y}$  denote  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$

Let  $\underline{Y}$  denote  $(Y_1, \dots, Y_n)$ .

Q. We say  $T(\underline{Y})$  is unbiased for  $\phi$

if  $E(T(\underline{Y})) = \phi$  for all  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$

We defined the bias of  $T$  to be

$$\text{bias}(T(\underline{Y})) = E(T(\underline{Y})) - \phi$$

$\text{bias}(T(\underline{Y})) = 0 \forall \theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$  if and only if  $T(\underline{Y})$  is unbiased for  $\phi$ .

$\text{bias}(T(\underline{Y}))$  is a function of  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$ .

Example

Suppose  $Y_i$  are i.i.d. Poisson( $\lambda$ ),  $\phi(\lambda) = \lambda$

Consider two estimators:

$$T_1(\underline{Y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$$

$$T_2(\underline{Y}) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{bias}(T_1(\underline{Y})) &= E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) - \lambda \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(Y_i) - \lambda \end{aligned}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda - \lambda = \frac{1}{n}(n\lambda) - \lambda$$

$$= \lambda - \lambda = 0.$$

for all  $\lambda$

$T_1(Y)$  is unbiased for  $\lambda$ .

~~bias~~ 
$$\text{bias}(T_2(Y)) = E\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) - \lambda$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} - \lambda$$

Even though  $\text{bias}(T_2(Y)) = 0$  for  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  
 $T_2(Y)$  is biased.

We would like a positive measure of bias.

Define  
The Mean Square Error (MSE) of an

estimator  $T(Y)$  for  $\phi$  is

$$\text{MSE}(T(Y)) = E\left((T(Y) - \phi)^2\right)$$

MSE is always non-negative.

## Lemma

$$\text{MSE}(T(Y)) = \text{Var}(T(Y)) + (\text{bias}(T(Y)))^2$$

MSE combines variance and bias.

If it is a function of  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$ .

## Example

$Y_i$  iid Poisson( $\lambda$ ),  $T_1(Y) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$ ,  $T_2(Y) = \frac{1}{2}$

We showed  $\text{bias}(T_1(Y)) = 0$ ,  $\text{bias}(T_2(Y)) = \frac{1}{2} - \lambda$

$$\text{Var}(T_1(Y)) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) = \frac{1}{n^2} \text{Var}\left(\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^2} n \text{Var}(Y_i) = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

$$\text{MSE}(T_1(Y)) = \frac{\lambda}{n} + 0^2 = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

$$\text{Var}(T_2(Y)) = \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = 0$$

$$\text{MSE}(T_2(Y)) = 0 + \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)^2 = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)^2$$

Note that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{MSE}(T_1(Y)) = 0$  but

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{MSE}(T_2(Y)) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - \lambda\right)^2 \neq 0$$

Definition

If  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{MSE}(T(Y)) = 0$ , then we say

$T(Y)$  is consistent.

If  $T(Y)$  is consistent, then the estimates of  $\phi$  get better and better as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

There is a lower bound on how small the variance of an unbiased estimator can be. It is called the Cramér-Rao lower bound.

Suppose there is only one parameter  $\theta$ , so  $p=1$ .

Given data  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ , the likelihood function

is  $L(\theta; y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n f_{Y_i}(y_i)$

where  $f_{Y_i}(y)$  is the p.d.f. of  $Y_i$

if  $Y_i$  are ~~continuous~~ continuous random variables

and where  $L(\theta; y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n P(Y_i = y_i)$

if  $Y_i$  are discrete random variables  
with p.m.f.  $P(Y_i = y)$

The Cramér-Rao Lower Bound (CRLB) for  
the variance of unbiased estimators of  $\phi(\theta)$

is 
$$\text{CRLB}(\phi) = \frac{\left(\frac{d\phi(\theta)}{d\theta}\right)^2}{E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln L(\theta; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)\right)}$$

### Theorem

If  $T(\underline{Y})$  is an unbiased estimator for  $\phi$ ,  
then 
$$\text{Var}(T(\underline{Y})) \geq \text{CRLB}(\phi)$$

### Corollary

If  $\text{Var}(T(\underline{Y})) = \text{CRLB}(\phi)$ , then  
 $T(\underline{Y})$  has the smallest variance of all  
unbiased estimators of  $\phi$ .

We call an unbiased estimator for  $\phi$  a Minimum Variance Unbiased Estimator (MVUE) if it has the smallest possible variance.

Example

Let  $Y_i$  be i.i.d. Exponential( $\theta$ ),  $\phi(\theta) = \frac{1}{\theta}$   
 $Y_i$  is continuous with p.d.f.  $f_{Y_i}(y) = \theta e^{-\theta y}$ ,  $y > 0$

$$L(\theta; y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \theta e^{-\theta y_i} = \theta^n e^{-\theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i}$$

$$\ln L(\theta; y_1, \dots, y_n) = n \ln \theta - \theta \sum_{i=1}^n y_i$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln L(\theta; y_1, \dots, y_n) = -\frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

$$E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} \ln L(\theta; Y_1, \dots, Y_n)\right) = \frac{n}{\theta^2}$$

$$\frac{d\phi(\theta)}{d\theta} = \frac{d\theta^{-1}}{d\theta} = -\theta^{-2}$$

$$CRLB = \frac{(-\theta^{-2})^2}{n\theta^{-2}} = \frac{1}{n\theta^2}$$



Let us show that  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is MVUE.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{First } E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(Y_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} n \theta = \theta = \phi(\theta) \end{aligned}$$

$\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is unbiased for  $\phi$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Second, } \text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) &= \frac{1}{n^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \text{Var}(Y_i) \\ &= \frac{1}{n^2} n \theta^2 = \frac{1}{n} \theta^2 \\ &= \text{CRLB}(\phi) \end{aligned}$$

So  $\bar{Y}$  is MVUE

### Example

Let  $Y_i$  be iid. Poisson( $\lambda$ )

$Y_i$  are discrete

$$P(Y_i = y) = \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^y}{y!}, \quad y = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

$$L(\lambda; y_1, \dots, y_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n \frac{e^{-\lambda} \lambda^{y_i}}{y_i!} = \frac{e^{-n\lambda} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}}{\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!}$$

$$\ln L(x; y_1, \dots, y_n) = -n\lambda + \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i\right) \ln \lambda - \ln \left(\prod_{i=1}^n y_i!\right)$$

$$\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \ln L(x; y_1, \dots, y_n) = -\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i}{\lambda^2}$$

$$E\left(-\frac{d^2}{d\lambda^2} \ln L(x; Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_n)\right) = E\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^n Y_i}{\lambda^2}\right) = \frac{n\lambda}{\lambda^2} = \frac{n}{\lambda}$$

Suppose  $\phi(\lambda) = \lambda$ .

$$\text{Then CRUB}(\phi) = \frac{\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \lambda}\right)^2}{n/\lambda}$$

$$= \frac{(1)^2}{n/\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{n}$$

We will show  $\bar{Y} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i$  is ~~unbiased~~ unbiased MVUE for  $\phi$ .

We know  $E(\bar{Y}) = \lambda$

$$\text{Var}\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n Y_i\right) = \frac{1}{n} = n\lambda = \frac{\lambda}{n} = \text{CRLB}(\lambda),$$

so  $\bar{Y}$  is MVUE.

## Methods of ~~Estim~~ Estimation

There are ways of coming up with estimators.

We will cover

1. Method of Moments
2. Maximum Likelihood.

### Method of Moments

Def: The  $k$ th population moment of  $Y$  is  $\mu_k = E(Y^k)$   $k=1, 2, 3, \dots$

The  $\mu_k$  depend on  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$ .

Def The  $k$ th sample moment is

$$m_k = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^k$$

The  $m_k$  just depend on  $y_1, \dots, y_n$ .

Def The method of moments estimators of  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$  are obtained by solving the equations

$$\mu_k = m_k \quad k=1, 2, \dots, p$$

for  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$ .

We denote the resulting estimates of  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p$  by

$$\tilde{\theta}_1, \tilde{\theta}_2, \dots, \tilde{\theta}_p$$

The method of moments ~~estimator~~ estimate for

$$\phi(\theta_1, \dots, \theta_p) \text{ is } \phi(\tilde{\theta}_1, \dots, \tilde{\theta}_p)$$

### Example

Let  $Y_i$  be iid. Poisson( $\lambda$ )  $P=1$

$$\mu_1 = E(Y) = \lambda$$

$$m_1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \bar{y}$$

Set  $\mu_1 = m_1 \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda} = \bar{y}$   
estimator is  $\tilde{\lambda} = \bar{y}$

### Example

Let  $Y_i$  be iid. ~~Poisson~~ Exponential( $\lambda$ ),  $P=$

$$\mu_1 = E(Y) = \frac{1}{\lambda}$$

$$m_1 = \bar{y}$$

Set  $\frac{1}{\lambda} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\bar{y}}$   
estimator  $\tilde{\lambda} = \frac{1}{\bar{y}}$

For some distributions like Uniform  $[-\theta, \theta]$  or  $N(0, \sigma^2)$ ,  $\mu_1$  does not involve the parameter. In these cases, we must use ~~higher~~ second moments.

# Example

$Y_i \sim \text{Uniform}[-\theta, \theta]$

$$\mu_1 = E(Y_i) = \frac{-\theta + \theta}{2} = 0$$

doesn't depend on  $\theta$

$$\mu_2 = E(Y_i^2) = \frac{1}{2\theta} \int_{-\theta}^{\theta} y^2 dy = \frac{\theta^2}{3}$$

$$m_2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$$

set  $\frac{\theta^2}{3} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2$

$$\tilde{\theta} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2}$$

or, we could set  $\text{Var}(Y) = \frac{1}{n-1} (m_2 - m_1^2)$

$$\text{Var}(Y) = \frac{1}{n-1} (m_2 - m_1^2)$$
$$\frac{\theta^2}{3} = m_2 - m_1^2 \quad \tilde{\theta} = \sqrt{3(m_2 - m_1^2)}$$

# Example

$Y_i$  are iid  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$   $\Theta = \mu, \Theta = \sigma^2$

$$\mu_1 = E[Y_i] = \mu$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_2 = E[Y_i^2] &= E[Y_i^2] - (E[Y_i])^2 + (E[Y_i])^2 \\ &= \text{Var}(Y_i) + (E[Y_i])^2 \\ &= \sigma^2 + \mu^2 \end{aligned}$$

We set

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_1 &= m_1 \\ \mu_2 &= m_2 \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \\ \sigma^2 + \mu^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{\mu} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i = \bar{y}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\sigma}^2 &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 - \bar{y}^2 \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2 \end{aligned}$$

Usual sample variance is

$$s^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$$

We know

so  $\tilde{\sigma}^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \bar{y})^2$  is ~~not~~ unbiased for  $\sigma^2$ .

Method of moments estimator  
may be biased.