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(c) Queen Mary University of London (2020)

Question 1 [10 marks].
(a) Describe the problem of dimensionality reduction in unsupervised learning.
(b) List two techniques for this problem.

## Write your solutions here

Question 2 [29 marks]. As part of Karhunen-Loeve expansion of the covariance matrix of a centered data set $\mathbf{X}$ with $n=100$ observations in $p=5$ variables, the following matrix was computed.

$$
\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
75.93 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 68.544 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 38.767 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 26.228 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.746
\end{array}\right)
$$

(a) Complete the following table and determine a number of components using an 80\% threshold.

## Write your solutions here

|  | Standard <br> deviation | Proportion of <br> variance | Cumulative <br> proportion |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 |  |  |  |
| PC2 |  |  |  |
| PC3 |  |  |  |
| PC4 |  |  |  |
| PC5 |  |  |  |

(b) Using the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ above, determine if the data was scaled to compute the covariance matrix and briefly explain why.
(c) Write (do not derive) the formula that links $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ with $\mathbf{D}$. Recall that $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is the eigenvalue matrix of the Karhunen-Loeve decomposition of the covariance matrix $\Sigma$; and that $\mathbf{D}$ is the matrix of eigenvalues of the singular value decomposition of matrix $\mathbf{X}$.

## Write your solutions here

(d) Use the formula you wrote to determine numerically the eigenvalues $d_{i}$ of the singular value decomposition of the data matrix $\mathbf{X}$.

## Write your solutions here

## Question 3 [20 marks].

(a) Explain what is meant by single linkage in agglomerative clustering.
(b) Consider the following distance matrix

| 1 |
| :--- |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |\(\quad\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}1 \& 2 \& 3 \& 4 \& 5 <br>

0 \& 7 \& 4 \& 11 \& 10 <br>
7 \& 0 \& 11 \& 10 \& 11 <br>
4 \& 11 \& 0 \& 15 \& 14 <br>
11 \& 10 \& 15 \& 0 \& 1 <br>
10 \& 11 \& 14 \& 1 \& 0\end{array}\right)\),
where row and columns are indexed as usual by individuals.
(i) If agglomerative single linkage clustering were to be performed, which individuals would be merged first and why?

Write your solutions here
(ii) Explain why in the first step the result is the same regardless of the linkage used.
(iii) Assume you are at a step in agglomerative clustering in which individuals 1,2,3 belong to one cluster and individuals 4,5 belong to another cluster. Using single linkage, find the distance between these two clusters.

Write your solutions here
(iv) Using average linkage, give the distance between clusters in Question (biii).

## Write your solutions here

Question 4 [23 marks]. The following data are the results of a classification analysis. The output includes the validation output Ytrue and the classifications obtained with three trained classification algorithms termed Y1, Y2 and Y3.

| \#\# |  |  | Ytrue | Y1 | Y2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#\# | $[1]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[3]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[10]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[11]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[12]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

(a) Complete the following confusion matrices.

| Write your solutions here |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Predicted (Y1) 0 |  | Predicted (Y2) 0 |
| True (Ytrue) $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ |  | True (Ytrue) $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | Predicted (Y3) 0 |  |  |
| True (Ytrue) $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 1\end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

(b) Compute the False Positive Rate (FPR) and True Positive Rate (TPR) for each confusion matrix, completing in the table below.
(c) Plot your results in the ROC graph below and briefly comment on the performance of classifiers. Which is the best classifier?


## Question 5 [18 marks].

(a) The Lasso criterion is $L=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{1}$. Explain what the components of the Lasso criterion are.
(b) Explain what are the solutions to lasso as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Also as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

## Write your solutions here

(c) The following table contains output from a lasso fit to model with $d=3$ variables and $n=20$ observations. For each row in the table, compute $s$, the proportion of shrinkage defined as $s=s(\lambda)=\|\beta(\lambda)\|_{1} / \max _{\lambda}\|\beta(\lambda)\|_{1}$ and write its value in the correct position to complete the table.

## Write your solutions here

| $\lambda$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $s$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.12057 | -0.31144 | -0.07388 |  |
| 1.16364 | 0.01818 | -0.23636 | 0 |  |
| 1.41935 | 0 | -0.21774 | 0 |  |
| 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

(d) Using your completed information, add the lasso paths to the following plot. In your plot, label each path according to its corresponding variable.

## Write your solutions here



Extra space for calculations

End of Paper - An appendix of 2 pages follows.

## Matrices and their decompositions for data handling

Data set: X
Sample covariance matrix of a centered data set: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$.
Karhunen-Loeve decomposition of the covariance matrix: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{A}^{T}$.
The total (sample) variance is $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}$
Singular value decomposition of $\mathbf{X}: \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U D V}^{T}$.

Object Size Notes
n - Number of observations
$p \quad-\quad$ Number of variables
$\mathbf{X} \quad n \times p \quad n>p$
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \quad p \times p \quad(i, j)$ element is the sample covariance between columns $i, j$ of $\mathbf{X}$
A $\quad p \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \quad p \times p$ Diagonal with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
$\mathbf{U} \quad n \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{I}$
D $\quad p \times p$ Diagonal with singular eigenvalues $d_{i}$ of $\mathbf{X}$
$\mathbf{V} \quad p \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$

As in lectures, it is assumed that the rank of $\mathbf{X}$ is $p$ so that $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ is invertible; that the diagonal entries in either $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ or $\mathbf{D}$ are all distinct and positive numbers.
For a square full rank diagonal matrix $\mathbf{W}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right)$, we have $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W}^{T}$; that $\mathbf{W}^{T} \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^{T}=\mathbf{W}^{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}^{2}, \ldots, w_{m}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{W}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / w_{1}, \ldots, 1 / w_{m}\right)$.

## Common distances $d_{i j}$ between points $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{j}$

| Name | Distance $d_{i j}$ | Equivalent notation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Manhattan | $\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\|x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right\|$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{1}$ |
| Euclidean | $\sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left(x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right)^{2}}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{2}=\sqrt{\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{2}^{2}}$ |
| Minkowski | $\left(\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\|x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right\|^{m}\right)^{1 / m}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{m}$ |
| Mahalanobis | $\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i .}-\mathbf{x}_{j} .\right)^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i .}-\mathbf{x}_{j .}\right)}$ |  |
| Absolute correlation | $\sqrt{1-\left\|\rho_{i j}\right\|}$ |  |

The Manhattan norm of a vector $\mathbf{x}$ is $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|x_{i}\right|$ (Manhattan distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and the origin); and the Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{x}$ is $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i}^{2}}$ (Euclidean distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and the origin).

|  | Classification |
| :---: | :--- |
| Name | Comment |
| $T P$ | True positive |
| $F P$ | False positive |
| $F N$ | False negative |
| $T N$ | True negative |
| $P=T P+F N$ | Positives |
| $N=F P+T N$ | Negatives |
| $T P R=\frac{T P}{P}$ | True positive rate (Sensitivity or Recall) |
| $F P R=\frac{F P}{N}$ | False positive rate |
| $F N R=\frac{F N}{P}$ | False negative rate |
| $T N R=\frac{T N}{N}$ | True negative rate (Specificity) |
| $\frac{T P}{T P+F P}$ | Precision |
| $2 \frac{\text { Precision } \cdot \text { Recall }}{\text { Precision }+ \text { Recall }}$ | F1 score |
| $\frac{T P+T N}{P+N}$ | Accuracy |
| $\frac{F P+F N}{P+N}$ | Error rate |

## Penalised regression

Lasso criterion:

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{1}
$$

Ridge regression criterion:

$$
R=\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

End of Appendix.
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## Question 1 [10 marks].

(a) Describe the problem of dimensionality reduction in unsupervised learning.
(b) List two techniques for this problem.

## Write your solutions here

(a) In the dimensionality reduction problem the data has a high number of dimensions [2] and data needs to be mapped into low dimensions [2] while still preserving relevant information [2].

Total (a) [6]
(b) Examples of techniques for this problem are Principal Component Analysis [2], Factor Analysis [2] and Multidimensional Scaling [2].

Only two examples are needed. Total (b) [4]

Total [10]

Seen in lectures, bookwork.

Question 2 [29 marks]. As part of Karhunen-Loeve expansion of the covariance matrix of a centered data set $\mathbf{X}$ with $n=100$ observations in $p=5$ variables, the following matrix was computed.

$$
\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
75.93 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 68.544 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 38.767 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 26.228 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.746
\end{array}\right)
$$

(a) Complete the following table and determine a number of components using an 80\% threshold.

## Write your solutions here

|  | Standard <br> deviation | Proportion of <br> variance | Cumulative <br> proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | 8.7138 | 0.3545 | 0.3545 |
| PC2 | 8.2791 | 0.32 | 0.6744 |
| PC3 | 6.2263 | 0.181 | 0.8554 |
| PC4 | 5.1213 | 0.1224 | 0.9778 |
| PC5 | 2.1785 | 0.0222 | 1 |

Looking at the column of 'Cumulative proportion' in the table above, we suggest 3 components which in this case explain $85.54 \%$ of the total variability.

Computations use eigenvalues, take square root; convert them to proportions then to cumulative proportions. Each column [3] to total for Table [9].
Number of components [3].

Total (a) [12]

Seen in lectures, coursework.
(b) Using the matrix $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ above, determine if the data was scaled to compute the covariance matrix and briefly explain why.
(c) Write (do not derive) the formula that links $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ with $\mathbf{D}$. Recall that $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ is the eigenvalue matrix of the Karhunen-Loeve decomposition of the covariance matrix $\Sigma$; and that $\mathbf{D}$ is the matrix of eigenvalues of the singular value decomposition of matrix $\mathbf{X}$.

## Write your solutions here

(b) We simply compute the trace of this matrix, which is $\sum_{i=1}^{5} \lambda_{i}=214.215$. As the sum is not equal to $p$, then the data was not scaled.

Comment [2], brief explanation [2]
Total (b) [4]
(c) The formula that links eigenvalue matrices is

$$
\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{D}^{2}
$$

Formula [6]
Total(c) [6]
Both items seen in lectures.
(d) Use the formula you wrote to determine numerically the eigenvalues $d_{i}$ of the singular value decomposition of the data matrix $\mathbf{X}$.

## Write your solutions here

The previous formula $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}=\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{D}^{2}$ links individual eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ from K-L to those $d_{i}$ of svd as $\lambda_{i}=d_{i}^{2} /(n-1)$ so that $d_{i}=\sqrt{(n-1) \lambda_{i}}$ [2]. We apply the formula directly so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{1}=\sqrt{(100-1) 75.93}=\sqrt{7517.07}=86.701 ; \\
& d_{2}=\sqrt{(100-1) 68.544}=\sqrt{6785.856}=82.376 ; \\
& d_{3}=\sqrt{(100-1) 38.767}=\sqrt{3837.933}=61.951 ; \\
& d_{4}=\sqrt{(100-1) 26.228}=\sqrt{2596.572}=50.957 \text { and } \\
& d_{5}=\sqrt{(100-1) 4.746}=\sqrt{469.854}=21.676
\end{aligned}
$$

Allow full marks if formula was -implicitly- used correctly then each eigenvalue [1] to total [5].

Total (d) [7]
Seen in lectures and coursework.

## Question 3 [20 marks].

(a) Explain what is meant by single linkage in agglomerative clustering.
(b) Consider the following distance matrix

| 1 |
| :--- |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 4 |
| 5 |\(\quad\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}1 \& 2 \& 3 \& 4 \& 5 <br>

0 \& 7 \& 4 \& 11 \& 10 <br>
7 \& 0 \& 11 \& 10 \& 11 <br>
4 \& 11 \& 0 \& 15 \& 14 <br>
11 \& 10 \& 15 \& 0 \& 1 <br>
10 \& 11 \& 14 \& 1 \& 0\end{array}\right)\),
where row and columns are indexed as usual by individuals.
(i) If agglomerative single linkage clustering were to be performed, which individuals would be merged first and why?

## Write your solutions here

(a) In single linkage, the distance between two clusters [1] is defined as the distance between the two closest [2] elements of each cluster. This is the "nearest neighbor" distance.

Total (a) [3]
(bi) At this point, the smallest distance between clusters in the table is 1 which is that between clusters ' 4 ' and ' 5 ' and thus these two clusters are joined [4].

Total (bi) [4]
Seen in lectures and coursework.
(ii) Explain why in the first step the result is the same regardless of the linkage used.
(iii) Assume you are at a step in agglomerative clustering in which individuals $1,2,3$ belong to one cluster and individuals 4,5 belong to another cluster. Using single linkage, find the distance between these two clusters.

## Write your solutions here

(bii) At the start, each individual is a cluster so the distance between clusters is a single entry in the distance matrix [1]. The minimum, maximum and average coincide in this case so there is no difference between linkages for this first step [2].

Total (bii) [3]
The distances involved are a subset of the distance matrix, indeed those in the intersection between rows $1,2,3$ and columns 4,5 . These distances are 11, 10, 15, $10,11,14$ [2]. The single linkage distance is the minimum of those distances so the distance asked is 10 [3].

Allow full marks if correct figure.
Total (biii) [5]
Seen in lectures, coursework.
(iv) Using average linkage, give the distance between clusters in Question (biii).

## Write your solutions here

(biv) Here the set of relevant distances is the same as in the previous question: 11, $10,15,10,11,14$ [2]. The average linkage uses the average of these values so the distance asked is 11.8333 [3].

Allow full marks if correct figure.
Total (biv) [5]
Seen in lectures, coursework.

Question 4 [23 marks]. The following data are the results of a classification analysis. The output includes the validation output Ytrue and the classifications obtained with three trained classification algorithms termed Y1, Y2 and Y3.

| \#\# |  |  | Ytrue | Y1 | Y2 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#3 |  |  |  |  |  |
| \#\# | $[1]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[3]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[10]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[11]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[12]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

(a) Complete the following confusion matrices.


|  |  | Predicted (Y3) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  | 0 | 1 |
| True (Ytrue) | 0 | 5 | 2 |
|  | 1 | 3 | 2 |

Each matrix [3] for Total (a) [9]
Seen in lectures, coursework.
(b) Compute the False Positive Rate (FPR) and True Positive Rate (TPR) for each confusion matrix, completing in the table below.
(c) Plot your results in the ROC graph below and briefly comment on the performance of classifiers. Which is the best classifier?


The best classifier is Y1, followed by Y3 whose performance is of a random classifier. The classifier Y2 performs the worst.

In table, each entry [1] so Total (b) [6]
Each point in the plot [2] so for the plot [6]. Best classifier or meaningful comment [2] thus Total (c) [8]

Seen in lectures and coursework.

## Question 5 [18 marks].

(a) The Lasso criterion is $L=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{1}$. Explain what the components of the Lasso criterion are.
(b) Explain what are the solutions to lasso as $\lambda \rightarrow 0$. Also as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

## Write your solutions here

(a) The criterion has the term $\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}$ which is the usual sum of squares of the error from standard regression [1].
The term $\|\beta\|_{1}$ is the absolute size of coefficient vector [1] and the parameter $\lambda$ is a non-negative quantity that controls the amount of penalization [1].

Each item [1] to Total (a) [3]
(b) When $\lambda \rightarrow 0$, the solution of Lasso is the ordinary least squares estimator [1]. As $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$, the solution of Lasso is $\beta=0$, that is shrinkage of all coefficients to zero [1].

Each item [1] to Total (b) [2]
Both items seen in lectures
(c) The following table contains output from a lasso fit to model with $d=3$ variables and $n=20$ observations. For each row in the table, compute $s$, the proportion of shrinkage defined as $s=s(\lambda)=\|\beta(\lambda)\|_{1} / \max _{\lambda}\|\beta(\lambda)\|_{1}$ and write its value in the correct position to complete the table.

## Write your solutions here

| $\lambda$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $s$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.12057 | -0.31144 | -0.07388 | 1 |
| 1.16364 | 0.01818 | -0.23636 | 0 | 0.50315 |
| 1.41935 | 0 | -0.21774 | 0 | 0.4304 |
| 5.6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Computation of $s$ involve the sums of absolute coefficients $0.5059,0.25455,0.21774$, 0 which are then divided by the maximum 0.5059 to give the values in the table.

Each correct value of s [1] and if all correct add [2] to Total (c) [6].
Seen in lectures and coursework.
(d) Using your completed information, add the lasso paths to the following plot. In your plot, label each path according to its corresponding variable.

## Write your solutions here



Each correct path [2] and if all correct add [1] to Total (d) [7].
Seen in lectures and coursework.

Extra space for calculations

End of Paper - An appendix of 2 pages follows.

## Matrices and their decompositions for data handling

Data set: X
Sample covariance matrix of a centered data set: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$.
Karhunen-Loeve decomposition of the covariance matrix: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{\Lambda} \mathbf{A}^{T}$.
The total (sample) variance is $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}$
Singular value decomposition of $\mathbf{X}: \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U D V}^{T}$.

Object Size Notes
n - Number of observations
$p \quad-\quad$ Number of variables
$\mathbf{X} \quad n \times p \quad n>p$
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \quad p \times p \quad(i, j)$ element is the sample covariance between columns $i, j$ of $\mathbf{X}$
A $\quad p \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \quad p \times p$ Diagonal with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
$\mathbf{U} \quad n \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{I}$
D $\quad p \times p$ Diagonal with singular eigenvalues $d_{i}$ of $\mathbf{X}$
$\mathbf{V} \quad p \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$

As in lectures, it is assumed that the rank of $\mathbf{X}$ is $p$ so that $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ is invertible; that the diagonal entries in either $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ or $\mathbf{D}$ are all distinct and positive numbers.
For a square full rank diagonal matrix $\mathbf{W}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right)$, we have $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W}^{T}$; that $\mathbf{W}^{T} \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^{T}=\mathbf{W}^{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}^{2}, \ldots, w_{m}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{W}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / w_{1}, \ldots, 1 / w_{m}\right)$.

## Common distances $d_{i j}$ between points $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{j}$

| Name | Distance $d_{i j}$ | Equivalent notation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Manhattan | $\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\|x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right\|$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{1}$ |
| Euclidean | $\sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left(x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right)^{2}}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{2}=\sqrt{\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{2}^{2}}$ |
| Minkowski | $\left(\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\|x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right\|^{m}\right)^{1 / m}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{m}$ |
| Mahalanobis | $\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i .}-\mathbf{x}_{j} .\right)^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i .}-\mathbf{x}_{j .}\right)}$ |  |
| Absolute correlation | $\sqrt{1-\left\|\rho_{i j}\right\|}$ |  |

The Manhattan norm of a vector $\mathbf{x}$ is $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|x_{i}\right|$ (Manhattan distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and the origin); and the Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{x}$ is $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i}^{2}}$ (Euclidean distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and the origin).

|  | Classification |
| :---: | :--- |
| Name | Comment |
| $T P$ | True positive |
| $F P$ | False positive |
| $F N$ | False negative |
| $T N$ | True negative |
| $P=T P+F N$ | Positives |
| $N=F P+T N$ | Negatives |
| $T P R=\frac{T P}{P}$ | True positive rate (Sensitivity or Recall) |
| $F P R=\frac{F P}{N}$ | False positive rate |
| $F N R=\frac{F N}{P}$ | False negative rate |
| $T N R=\frac{T N}{N}$ | True negative rate (Specificity) |
| $\frac{T P}{T P+F P}$ | Precision |
| $2 \frac{\text { Precision } \cdot \text { Recall }}{\text { Precision }+ \text { Recall }}$ | F1 score |
| $\frac{T P+T N}{P+N}$ | Accuracy |
| $\frac{F P+F N}{P+N}$ | Error rate |

## Penalised regression

Lasso criterion:

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{1}
$$

Ridge regression criterion:

$$
R=\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

End of Appendix.
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## Question 1 [29 marks].

A data set consists of measurements of amounts of chemical compounds found in material samples of a mineral processing facility. Seven measurements were available for each sample of a total of $n=200$ samples. The units of the measurements are milligrammes.
From a Principal Component Analysis of these data, the following are the PC loadings.

| \#\# | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# Na | 0.48335 | -0.00822 | 0.11289 | -0.22665 | 0.36347 | -0.09448 | -0.74910 |
| \#\# Au | 0.37907 | 0.07811 | -0.86478 | 0.31936 | -0.01328 | 0.01060 | 0.00901 |
| \#\# NO3 | 0.46602 | -0.00584 | 0.04666 | -0.45909 | -0.75041 | 0.00548 | 0.08190 |
| \#\# Al | 0.00564 | -0.70429 | -0.06960 | -0.00191 | 0.01109 | -0.69995 | 0.09513 |
| \#\# Cu | 0.48040 | -0.00519 | 0.12123 | -0.24015 | 0.52372 | 0.09342 | 0.64328 |
| \#\# Mg | 0.41794 | -0.03411 | 0.46375 | 0.76035 | -0.17366 | -0.00960 | 0.02684 |
| \#\# Zn | 0.00311 | -0.70469 | -0.05134 | 0.00872 | -0.00604 | 0.70156 | -0.09205 |

The corresponding eigenvalues of the Karhunen-Loeve decomposition of the covariance matrix of these data are $4.11178,1.98865,0.49036,0.29693,0.07676$, $0.02234,0.01318$.
(a) Each of the data columns was centered around its mean and standardised to have unit variance. From the output you have been given, briefly explain how we can confirm that the data was indeed standardized by column.

## Write your solutions here

(b) Complete the following table.

## Write your solutions here

|  | Standard <br> deviation | Proportion of <br> variance | Cumulative <br> proportion |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 |  |  |  |
| PC2 |  |  |  |
| PC3 |  |  |  |
| PC4 |  |  |  |
| PC5 |  |  |  |
| PC6 |  |  |  |
| PC7 |  |  |  |

(c) Using the table you just computed, suggest a number of components so that at least $80 \%$ of the total variability is explained.
(d) Interpret the components you suggested.

## Write your solutions here

## Question 2 [24 marks].

(a) Explain what is meant by single linkage in agglomerative clustering.
(b) Consider the following data matrix

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
2 & -1 & -3 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\
3 & -4 & -3 & -1 \\
-4 & 3 & -2 & -3 \\
-4 & 3 & -3 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

(i) Using Manhattan distance, complete the missing entries in the distance matrix below.

## Write your solutions here


(ii) Perform agglomerative cluster for these data using the distance matrix above and single linkage. As part of your procedure, compute and show updated distance matrices at every step of the clustering procedure.

## Write your solutions here

Write your solutions here
(c) Queen Mary University of London (2020)
(iii) Complete the dendrogram in the plot given below.

Write your solutions here


Question 3 [28 marks]. The following data are the validation results of a classification analysis. The output below includes the validation output Ytrue and the classifications obtained with four classification algorithms termed Y1, Y2, Y3 and Y4.

| \#\# | Ytrue |  |  |  |  | Y1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Y2 | Y3 | Y4 |  |  |  |  |
| \#\# | $[1]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[3]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[10]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

(a) Complete the following confusion matrices.

Write your solutions here

|  |  | Predicted (Y1) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 |  |
| True (Ytrue) | 0 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |


|  |  | Predicted (Y2) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 |  |
| True (Ytrue) | 0 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |


|  |  | Predicted (Y3) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  | 0 | 1 |  |
| True (Ytrue) | 0 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |


|  |  | Predicted (Y3) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
|  |  | 0 |  |
| True (Ytrue) | 0 |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |

(b) Compute the False Positive Rate (FPR) and True Positive Rate (TPR) for each confusion matrix, completing in the table below.
(c) Plot your results in the ROC graph below and briefly comment on the performance of classifiers. Which is the best classifier?


## Question 4 [19 marks].

(a) The following table contains output from a lasso fit to model with $d=5$ variables and $n=40$ observations. For each row in the table, compute $s$, the proportion of shrinkage defined as $s=s(\lambda)=\|\beta(\lambda)\|_{1} / \max _{\lambda}\|\beta(\lambda)\|_{1}$ and write its value in the correct position to complete the table.

## Write your solutions here

| $\lambda$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{4}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $s$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.08267 | -0.0257 | -0.12478 | -0.0834 | -0.07588 |  |
| 1.10315 | 0.04204 | 0 | -0.10618 | -0.05665 | -0.05547 |  |
| 2.29358 | 0 | 0 | -0.08636 | -0.0304 | -0.02125 |  |
| 3.14574 | 0 | 0 | -0.07455 | -0.00839 | 0 |  |
| 3.54098 | 0 | 0 | -0.06557 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |

(b) Using your completed information, add the lasso paths to the following plot. In your plot, label each path according to its corresponding variable.


Extra space for calculations
(c) Queen Mary University of London (2020)

End of Paper - An appendix of 2 pages follows.

## Matrices and their decompositions for data handling

Data set: $\mathbf{X}$
Sample covariance matrix of a centered data set: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$.
Karhunen-Loeve decomposition of the covariance matrix: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{A}^{T}$.
The total (sample) variance is $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}$
Singular value decomposition of $\mathbf{X}: \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U D V}^{T}$.

Object Size Notes
n - Number of observations
$p \quad-\quad$ Number of variables
$\mathbf{X} \quad n \times p \quad n>p$
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \quad p \times p \quad(i, j)$ element is the sample covariance between columns $i, j$ of $\mathbf{X}$
A $\quad p \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \quad p \times p$ Diagonal with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
$\mathbf{U} \quad n \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{I}$
D $\quad p \times p$ Diagonal with singular eigenvalues $d_{i}$ of $\mathbf{X}$
$\mathbf{V} \quad p \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$

As in lectures, it is assumed that the rank of $\mathbf{X}$ is $p$ so that $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ is invertible; that the diagonal entries in either $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ or $\mathbf{D}$ are all distinct and positive numbers.
For a square full rank diagonal matrix $\mathbf{W}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right)$, we have $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W}^{T}$; that $\mathbf{W}^{T} \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^{T}=\mathbf{W}^{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}^{2}, \ldots, w_{m}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{W}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / w_{1}, \ldots, 1 / w_{m}\right)$.

## Common distances $d_{i j}$ between points $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{j}$

| Name | Distance $d_{i j}$ | Equivalent notation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Manhattan | $\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\|x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right\|$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{1}$ |
| Euclidean | $\sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left(x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right)^{2}}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{2}=\sqrt{\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{2}^{2}}$ |
| Minkowski | $\left(\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\|x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right\|^{m}\right)^{1 / m}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{m}$ |
| Mahalanobis | $\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i .}-\mathbf{x}_{j} .\right)^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i .}-\mathbf{x}_{j .}\right)}$ |  |
| Absolute correlation | $\sqrt{1-\left\|\rho_{i j}\right\|}$ |  |

The Manhattan norm of a vector $\mathbf{x}$ is $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|x_{i}\right|$ (Manhattan distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and the origin); and the Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{x}$ is $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i}^{2}}$ (Euclidean distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and the origin).

## Classification

| Name | Comment |
| :---: | :--- |
| $T P$ | True positive |
| $F P$ | False positive |
| $F N$ | False negative |
| $T N$ | True negative |
| $P=T P+F N$ | Positives |
| $N=F P+T N$ | Negatives |
| $T P R=\frac{T P}{P}$ | True positive rate (Sensitivity or Recall) |
| $F P R=\frac{F P}{N}$ | False positive rate |
| $F N R=\frac{F N}{P}$ | False negative rate |
| $T N R=\frac{T N}{N}$ | True negative rate (Specificity) |
| $\frac{T P}{T P+F P}$ | Precision |
| $2 \frac{P r e c i s i o n ~ R e c a l l ~}{\text { Precision }+ \text { Recall }}$ | F1 score |
| $\frac{T P+T N}{P+N}$ | Accuracy |
| $\frac{F P+F N}{P+N}$ | Error rate |

## Penalised regression

Lasso criterion:

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{1}
$$

Ridge regression criterion:

$$
R=\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

## End of Appendix.
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## Question 1 [29 marks].

A data set consists of measurements of amounts of chemical compounds found in material samples of a mineral processing facility. Seven measurements were available for each sample of a total of $n=200$ samples. The units of the measurements are milligrammes.
From a Principal Component Analysis of these data, the following are the PC loadings.

| \#\# | PC1 | PC2 | PC3 | PC4 | PC5 | PC6 | PC7 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| \#\# Na | 0.48335 | -0.00822 | 0.11289 | -0.22665 | 0.36347 | -0.09448 | -0.74910 |
| \#\# Au | 0.37907 | 0.07811 | -0.86478 | 0.31936 | -0.01328 | 0.01060 | 0.00901 |
| \#\# NO3 | 0.46602 | -0.00584 | 0.04666 | -0.45909 | -0.75041 | 0.00548 | 0.08190 |
| \#\# Al | 0.00564 | -0.70429 | -0.06960 | -0.00191 | 0.01109 | -0.69995 | 0.09513 |
| \#\# Cu | 0.48040 | -0.00519 | 0.12123 | -0.24015 | 0.52372 | 0.09342 | 0.64328 |
| \#\# Mg | 0.41794 | -0.03411 | 0.46375 | 0.76035 | -0.17366 | -0.00960 | 0.02684 |
| \#\# Zn | 0.00311 | -0.70469 | -0.05134 | 0.00872 | -0.00604 | 0.70156 | -0.09205 |

The corresponding eigenvalues of the Karhunen-Loeve decomposition of the covariance matrix of these data are $4.11178,1.98865,0.49036,0.29693,0.07676$, $0.02234,0.01318$.
(a) Each of the data columns was centered around its mean and standardised to have unit variance. From the output you have been given, briefly explain how we can confirm that the data was indeed standardized by column.

## Write your solutions here

To determine if analysis was carried out standardising columns, we need to look at the total sum of eigenvalues [2]. This sum is

$$
4.11178+1.98865+0.49036+0.29693+0.07676+0.02234+0.01318=7
$$

As this total equals $p$, then the analysis was indeed performed in standardised columns [2].

Total (a) [4]
Seen in lectures and lab.
(b) Complete the following table.

## Write your solutions here

|  | Standard <br> deviation | Proportion of <br> variance | Cumulative <br> proportion |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PC1 | 2.0278 | 0.5874 | 0.5874 |
| PC2 | 1.4102 | 0.2841 | 0.8715 |
| PC3 | 0.7003 | 0.0701 | 0.9415 |
| PC4 | 0.5449 | 0.0424 | 0.984 |
| PC5 | 0.277 | 0.011 | 0.9949 |
| PC6 | 0.1495 | 0.0032 | 0.9981 |
| PC7 | 0.1148 | 0.0019 | 1 |

Square roots of eigenvalues give standard deviations; the proportion scales eigenvalues to percentages, from which the cumulative column is computed. Each column [5] to Total (b) [15]

Seen in lectures and lab.
(c) Using the table you just computed, suggest a number of components so that at least $80 \%$ of the total variability is explained.
(d) Interpret the components you suggested.

## Write your solutions here

(c) Looking at the column of 'Cumulative proportion' in the said Table, we suggest

2 components which in this case explain $87.15 \%$ of the total variability [4].
Total (c) [4]
(d) The following interpretation is generated by seeing the coefficients of PC loadings as weigthed averages or contrasts: PC1 is a weighted average of variables $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{NO} 3, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Mg} ; \mathrm{PC} 2$ is a contrast between variables Au and $\mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Zn} ; \mathrm{PC} 3$ is a contrast between variables $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and $\mathrm{Au}, \mathrm{Al}, \mathrm{Zn}$.

Each sensible interpretation [3] to Total (d) [6]
Seen in lectures and coursework.

## Question 2 [24 marks].

(a) Explain what is meant by single linkage in agglomerative clustering.
(b) Consider the following data matrix

$$
\mathbf{X}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
2 & -1 & -3 & 3 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\
3 & -4 & -3 & -1 \\
-4 & 3 & -2 & -3 \\
-4 & 3 & -3 & 2
\end{array}\right)
$$

(i) Using Manhattan distance, complete the missing entries in the distance matrix below.

## Write your solutions here

(a) In single linkage, the distance between two clusters is defined as the distance between the two closest elements of each cluster [3]. This is the "nearest neighbor" distance.

Total (a) [3]

$$
\mathrm{b}(\mathrm{i}):\left(\begin{array}{rrrrr}
0 & \boxed{7} & 8 & 17 & 11 \\
\boxed{7} & 0 & 15 & 16 & 12 \\
8 & 15 & 0 & 17 & 17 \\
17 & 16 & 17 & 0 & 6 \\
11 & 12 & 17 & 6 & 0
\end{array}\right),
$$

Distances are computed with the usual Manhattan distance, e.g.
$d_{12}=1+2+4+0=7$;
$d_{24}=5+2+3+6=16$
$d_{45}=0+0+1+5=6$
Each distance [1] all fine add [1] to Total (bi) [4]
Both items seen in lectures.
(ii) Perform agglomerative cluster for these data using the distance matrix above and single linkage. As part of your procedure, compute and show updated distance matrices at every step of the clustering procedure.

## Write your solutions here

(bii) The initial step clusters individuals ' 4 ' and ' 5 ' at the distance 6 . The updated distance matrix is

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 7 | 8 | 11 |
| 2 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 12 |
| 3 | 8 | 15 | 0 | 17 |
| 45 | 11 | 12 | 17 | 0 |

The next step merges clusters ' 1 ' and ' 2 ' at the distance 7. The updated table of distances at this stage is the following.

|  | 12 | 3 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | 0 | 8 | 11 |
| 3 | 8 | 0 | 17 |
| 45 | 11 | 17 | 0 |

The procedure continues by finding the minimum distance between clusters in the newly updated distance table. This minimum value is 8 at which point the clusters ' 12 ' and ' 3 ' are merged. This is the updated table of distances at this stage.

|  | 123 | 45 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 123 | 0 | 11 |
| 45 | 11 | 0 |

The last step of this agglomerative clustering process is the trivial merging of the clusters ' 123 ' and ' 45 ' at the distance 11.

Each updated step with table worth [4] marks to Total (bii) [12]
Seen in lectures and coursework.

Write your solutions here
(c) Queen Mary University of London (2020)
(iii) Complete the dendrogram in the plot given below.

## Write your solutions here



Correct diagram one mark per branch to Total (biii) [5]
Seen in lectures and coursework.

Question 3 [28 marks]. The following data are the validation results of a classification analysis. The output below includes the validation output Ytrue and the classifications obtained with four classification algorithms termed Y1, Y2, Y3 and Y4.

| \#\# | Ytrue |  |  |  |  | Y1 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Y2 | Y3 | Y4 |  |  |  |  |
| \#\# | $[1]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[2]$, | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[3]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[4]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[5]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[6]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[7]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[8]$, | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| \#\# | $[9]$, | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| \#\# | $[10]$, | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |

(a) Complete the following confusion matrices.


Each matrix [3] if all fine extra [2] to Total (a) [14].
Seen in lectures and coursework.
(b) Compute the False Positive Rate (FPR) and True Positive Rate (TPR) for each confusion matrix, completing in the table below.
(c) Plot your results in the ROC graph below and briefly comment on the performance of classifiers. Which is the best classifier?

## Write your solutions here

|  | Confusion matrix | FPR | TPR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Y1 | 0.14286 | 1 |
|  | Y2 | 0.85714 | 0 |
|  | Y3 | 0.28571 | 1 |
|  | Y4 | 0.57143 | 0.33333 |
|  |  |  |  |

The best classifier is Y1, followed by Y3 then Y4 whose performance is similar to a random classifier. The classifier Y2 performs the worst.

Each column of the Table [3] so Total (b) [6].
Plot correctly done [6]. Best classifier and/or meaningful comment [2] thus Total (c) $[8]$.

Seen in lectures and coursework.

## Question 4 [19 marks].

(a) The following table contains output from a lasso fit to model with $d=5$ variables and $n=40$ observations. For each row in the table, compute $s$, the proportion of shrinkage defined as $s=s(\lambda)=\|\beta(\lambda)\|_{1} / \max _{\lambda}\|\beta(\lambda)\|_{1}$ and write its value in the correct position to complete the table.

| $\lambda$ | $\beta_{1}$ | $\beta_{2}$ | $\beta_{3}$ | $\beta_{4}$ | $\beta_{5}$ | $s$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 0 | 0.08267 | -0.0257 | -0.12478 | -0.0834 | -0.07588 | 1 |
| 1.10315 | 0.04204 | 0 | -0.10618 | -0.05665 | -0.05547 | 0.66341 |
| 2.29358 | 0 | 0 | -0.08636 | -0.0304 | -0.02125 | 0.35169 |
| 3.14574 | 0 | 0 | -0.07455 | -0.00839 | 0 | 0.21135 |
| 3.54098 | 0 | 0 | -0.06557 | 0 | 0 | 0.1671 |
| 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Computation of $s$ involve the sums of absolute coefficients $0.39243,0.26034$, $0.13801,0.08294,0.06557,0$ which are then divided by the maximum 0.39243 to give the values in the table.

Each correct value of s [1] and if all correct add [2] to Total (c) [8]
Seen in lectures and coursework.
(b) Using your completed information, add the lasso paths to the following plot. In your plot, label each path according to its corresponding variable.

## Write your solutions here



Each correct path [2] and if all correct add [1] to Total (d) [11]
Seen in lectures and coursework.

Extra space for calculations
(c) Queen Mary University of London (2020)

End of Paper - An appendix of 2 pages follows.

## Matrices and their decompositions for data handling

Data set: $\mathbf{X}$
Sample covariance matrix of a centered data set: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\frac{1}{n-1} \mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$.
Karhunen-Loeve decomposition of the covariance matrix: $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}=\mathbf{A} \boldsymbol{\Lambda} \mathbf{A}^{T}$.
The total (sample) variance is $\operatorname{tr}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma})=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \lambda_{i}$
Singular value decomposition of $\mathbf{X}: \mathbf{X}=\mathbf{U D V}^{T}$.

Object Size Notes
n - Number of observations
$p \quad-\quad$ Number of variables
$\mathbf{X} \quad n \times p \quad n>p$
$\boldsymbol{\Sigma} \quad p \times p \quad(i, j)$ element is the sample covariance between columns $i, j$ of $\mathbf{X}$
A $\quad p \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{a}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{A}^{T} \mathbf{A}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$
$\boldsymbol{\Lambda} \quad p \times p$ Diagonal with eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$
$\mathbf{U} \quad n \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{u}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{U}^{T} \mathbf{U}=\mathbf{I}$
D $\quad p \times p$ Diagonal with singular eigenvalues $d_{i}$ of $\mathbf{X}$
$\mathbf{V} \quad p \times p \quad$ Eigenvectors $\mathbf{v}_{i}$ are columns; $\mathbf{V}^{T} \mathbf{V}=\mathbf{V} \mathbf{V}^{T}=\mathbf{I}$

As in lectures, it is assumed that the rank of $\mathbf{X}$ is $p$ so that $\mathbf{X}^{T} \mathbf{X}$ is invertible; that the diagonal entries in either $\boldsymbol{\Lambda}$ or $\mathbf{D}$ are all distinct and positive numbers.
For a square full rank diagonal matrix $\mathbf{W}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{m}\right)$, we have $\mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W}^{T}$; that $\mathbf{W}^{T} \mathbf{W}=\mathbf{W} \mathbf{W}^{T}=\mathbf{W}^{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left(w_{1}^{2}, \ldots, w_{m}^{2}\right)$ and $\mathbf{W}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1 / w_{1}, \ldots, 1 / w_{m}\right)$.

## Common distances $d_{i j}$ between points $\mathbf{x}_{i}$ and $\mathbf{x}_{j}$

| Name | Distance $d_{i j}$ | Equivalent notation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Manhattan | $\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\|x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right\|$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{1}$ |
| Euclidean | $\sqrt{\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left(x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right)^{2}}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{2}=\sqrt{\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{2}^{2}}$ |
| Minkowski | $\left(\sum_{l=1}^{p}\left\|x_{i l}-x_{j l}\right\|^{m}\right)^{1 / m}$ | $\left\\|\mathbf{x}_{i}-\mathbf{x}_{j}\right\\|_{m}$ |
| Mahalanobis | $\sqrt{\left(\mathbf{x}_{i .}-\mathbf{x}_{j} .\right)^{T} \mathbf{\Sigma}^{-1}\left(\mathbf{x}_{i .}-\mathbf{x}_{j .}\right)}$ |  |
| Absolute correlation | $\sqrt{1-\left\|\rho_{i j}\right\|}$ |  |

The Manhattan norm of a vector $\mathbf{x}$ is $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{1}=\sum_{i=1}^{p}\left|x_{i}\right|$ (Manhattan distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and the origin); and the Euclidean norm of $\mathbf{x}$ is $\|\mathbf{x}\|_{2}=\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{p} x_{i}^{2}}$ (Euclidean distance between $\mathbf{x}$ and the origin).

## Classification

| Name | Comment |
| :---: | :--- |
| $T P$ | True positive |
| $F P$ | False positive |
| $F N$ | False negative |
| $T N$ | True negative |
| $P=T P+F N$ | Positives |
| $N=F P+T N$ | Negatives |
| $T P R=\frac{T P}{P}$ | True positive rate (Sensitivity or Recall) |
| $F P R=\frac{F P}{N}$ | False positive rate |
| $F N R=\frac{F N}{P}$ | False negative rate |
| $T N R=\frac{T N}{N}$ | True negative rate (Specificity) |
| $\frac{T P}{T P+F P}$ | Precision |
| $2 \frac{P r e c i s i o n ~ R e c a l l ~}{\text { Precision }+ \text { Recall }}$ | F1 score |
| $\frac{T P+T N}{P+N}$ | Accuracy |
| $\frac{F P+F N}{P+N}$ | Error rate |

## Penalised regression

Lasso criterion:

$$
L=\frac{1}{2}\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{1}
$$

Ridge regression criterion:

$$
R=\|\mathbf{Y}-\mathbf{X} \beta\|_{2}^{2}+\lambda\|\beta\|_{2}^{2} .
$$

## End of Appendix.

