

Questions – Week 4 – Covariates and Proportional Hazard Models

Solutions

Q1

- (a) a covariate is any factor that might affect the rate of mortality or survival
- (b) The biggest single weakness is that on the data collection date, workers who have recently suffered an accident will not be present causing the data to be biased. *You should be able to list other weaknesses related to the specific choice of covariates.*

(c) for the different covariates one possibility would be:

- months of experience – keep as numeric value in covariate matrix
- electrician qualification – 1=yes, 0=no
- hat colour – 0=white, 1=yellow, 2=other
- witnessed accidents – 0=0, 1=1, 2= more than 1

(d) advantages

- simpler likelihood equation
- might be easier to communicate the relationship

disadvantages

- lose some information by giving up the specific data
- the scoring system is subjective
- different modellers will come up with different scoring systems

Q2

- (i) the baseline hazard applies to a life with all $z=0$ so a patient in Spain under age 55 treated immediately upon diagnosis

$$(ii) (a) z_1 = 0 \quad z_2 = 2 \quad z_3 = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \lambda_{SSb}(t) &= \lambda_0(t) e^{0+2 \times 0.4 - 1 \times 0.3} \\ &= \lambda_0(t) e^{0.5} \end{aligned}$$

$$(b) S(t) = e^{-\int_0^t \lambda_i(s) ds}$$

$$= e^{-\int_0^t \lambda_0(s) e^{0.5} ds}$$

$$= \exp\left[-\int_0^t \lambda_0(s) ds\right] e^{0.5}$$

(iii) for the Italian patient

$$z_1 = 1 \quad z_2 = 1 \quad z_3 = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore \lambda_{I65}(t) &= \lambda_0(t) e^{0.03+0.4-0.3} \\ &= \lambda_0(t) e^{0.13} \end{aligned}$$

3 months is 13 weeks

$$S_{I65}(13) = \exp\left[-\int_0^{13} \lambda_0(s) ds\right] e^{0.13}$$

$$= 0.95$$

$$\therefore \exp\left[-\int_0^{13} \lambda_0(s) ds\right] = 0.95 e^{-0.13}$$

So for the SSB life is (ii)

$$S_{SSB}(13) = \exp\left[-\int_0^{13} \lambda_0(s) ds\right] e^{0.5}$$

from (ii) (b)

$$= (0.95 e^{-0.13}) e^{0.5}$$

$$= \underline{\underline{0.928}}$$

Q3

(i) Model 1 has 3 covariates: age, time, BMI

Model 2 has 6 covariates: age, time, BMI, hospital ID, blood pressure, smoker

let L_3 be the maximised likelihood statistic for model 1

and L'_6 is the maximised likelihood statistic for model 2

then the likelihood ratio statistic is $-2(\log L_3 - \log L'_6)$

(ii) we compare this with critical values of χ^2 on $6-3 = 3$ degrees of freedom