

MTH6112 Actuarial Financial Engineering  
Coursework Week 11

1. An analyst is using a two-state continuous-time model to study the credit risk of zero-coupon bonds issued by different companies. The risk-neutral transition intensity function is:

- $\tilde{\lambda}_A(s) = 0.0148$  for Company  $A$ , and
- $\tilde{\lambda}_B(s) = 0.01s^2$  for Company  $B$ ,

where  $s$  measures time in years from now. The analyst observes that the credit spread on a 3 year zero-coupon bond just issued by Company  $B$  is twice that on a 3-year zero-coupon bond just issued by Company  $A$ . Given that the average recovery rate in the event of default,  $\delta$ , where  $0 < \delta < 1$ , is the same for both companies, calculate  $\delta$ . What should be the relation between the recovery rates of these two companies for there not to be arbitrage in the market?

*Remark.* The credit spread on a zero-coupon bond is the difference between the yield on the bond and the yield on a similar bond issued by the government. I.e., for company  $i$  it is equal to  $R_i(t, T) - r$ , where  $r$  is the risk-free interest rate and

$$R_i(t, T) = -\frac{1}{T-t} \log B(t, T).$$

The price of a zero-coupon bond in a two-state model was derived in the Lecture and is equal to

$$B(t, T) = e^{-r(T-t)} \left( \delta + (1 - \delta) e^{-\int_t^T \tilde{\lambda}(s) ds} \right).$$

**Solution:**

For the spot rate curve,  $R(t, T)$ , one has

$$R(t, T) = -\frac{1}{T-t} \log B(t, T).$$

Let  $C_i$  be the credit spread on a 3 year zero-coupon bond just issued by

company  $i$ . Then by the definition of the credit spread we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_i &= R_i(0, 3) - r = -\frac{1}{3} \log \left[ e^{-3r} \left( \delta + (1 - \delta) e^{-\int_0^3 \tilde{\lambda}_i(s) ds} \right) \right] - r \\ &= -\frac{1}{3} \log \left( \delta + (1 - \delta) e^{-\int_0^3 \tilde{\lambda}_i(s) ds} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The analyst observes that the credit spread on a bond just issued by Company  $B$  is twice that on a bond just issued by Company  $A$ , thus

$$-\frac{1}{3} \log \left( \delta + (1 - \delta) e^{-\int_0^3 \tilde{\lambda}_B(s) ds} \right) = -\frac{2}{3} \log \left( \delta + (1 - \delta) e^{-\int_0^3 \tilde{\lambda}_A(s) ds} \right). \quad (1)$$

The above is an equation in one variable  $\delta$ . Let us first calculate corresponding integrals.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^3 \tilde{\lambda}_A(s) ds &= 0.0148 \int_0^3 1 ds = 0.0148s \Big|_0^3 = 0.0444, \\ \int_0^3 \tilde{\lambda}_B(s) ds &= 0.01 \int_0^3 s^2 ds = 0.01 \frac{s^3}{3} \Big|_0^3 = 0.09. \end{aligned}$$

By multiplying (1) by  $-3$  and then exponentiating one gets

$$\delta + (1 - \delta) e^{-0.09} = \left( \delta + (1 - \delta) e^{-0.0444} \right)^2.$$

**Remark:** Please bear in mind, that one needs to keep precise values in this problem. Otherwise you will get unrealistic results.

To shorten notations let us introduce  $\alpha = e^{-0.0444}$  and  $\beta = e^{-0.09}$ . The equation can be rewritten as

$$\delta^2 (1 - \alpha)^2 + \delta (2\alpha - 2\alpha^2 + \beta - 1) + \alpha^2 - \beta = 0.$$

Discriminant of the above is equal to

$$D = (\beta - \alpha^2 - (1 - \alpha)^2)^2 - 4(\alpha^2 - \beta)(1 - \alpha)^2 = (\beta - \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2)^2.$$

And the solution to equation is then given by

$$\begin{cases} \delta_1 &= \frac{1 - \beta + 2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha + \beta - \alpha^2 + (1 - \alpha)^2}{2(1 - \alpha)^2} = 1 \\ \delta_2 &= \frac{1 - \beta + 2\alpha^2 - 2\alpha - \beta + \alpha^2 - (1 - \alpha)^2}{2(1 - \alpha)^2} = \frac{\alpha^2 - \beta}{(1 - \alpha)^2}. \end{cases}$$

**Remark:** Solution  $\delta_1 = 1$  should not be a surprise. This just confirms that in the case of no default, the credit spread is zero for any company.

Plugging now corresponding numbers, we obtain

$$\delta = \frac{e^{-0.0888} - e^{-0.09}}{(1 - e^{-0.0444})^2} = 0.5818.$$

2. This question is covered in the Slides of this week. Please dirty your hands and do it independently to check if you are able to calculate them.

Let  $\xi_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  be independent random variables taking the values  $\pm 1$  with probability  $\mathbb{P}[\xi_1 = 1] = 1/2$ .

We denote by  $\mathcal{F}_n$  the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$ . Further we denote

$$S_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i.$$

Finally, let  $\tau$  be a random variable taking values in  $\mathbb{N}$ , with

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau] < \infty,$$

and  $\tau$  being independent of all  $\xi_i$ . Compute the following conditional expectations.

- a)  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi_3} | \xi_1, \xi_2]$
- b)  $\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$
- c)  $\mathbb{E}[S_n^2 - n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$
- d)  $\mathbb{E}[e^{S_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$
- e)  $\mathbb{E}[S_n^2 | S_{n-1}]$
- f)  $\mathbb{E}[S_\tau^2 | \tau]$

**Solution:**

- a)  $\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi_3} | \xi_1, \xi_2]$

In this case we deal with the conditional expectation with respect to random variables, and thus we "know the information" about their values. Saying rigorously, all functions of  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  are measurable and we can use Property **Exp.3**. At the same time  $\xi_3$  is independent of  $\xi_1, \xi_2$  and we can use Property **Exp.4**. This leads to

$$\mathbb{E}[e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi_3} | \xi_1, \xi_2] \stackrel{\mathbf{Exp.3}}{=} e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\xi_3} | \xi_1, \xi_2] \stackrel{\mathbf{Exp.4}}{=} e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2} \mathbb{E}[e^{-\xi_3}].$$

For the last average we have

$$\mathbb{E} [e^{-\xi_3}] = e^{-1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} + e^{+1} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \cosh(1),$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E} [e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2 - \xi_3} | \xi_1, \xi_2] = e^{\xi_1 + \xi_2} \cosh(1).$$

b)  $\mathbb{E}[S_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$

In this case we deal with the conditional expectation with respect to a  $\sigma$ -algebra. Thus we need to understand first what is measurable with respect to this  $\sigma$ -algebra and what is independent. In simple words, which variables this  $\sigma$ -algebra keeps an "information" about. It follows from the problem statement that this  $\sigma$ -algebra does keep an "information" about first  $n - 1$  variables. Therefore we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [S_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E} [S_{n-1} + \xi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \stackrel{\text{Exp.1}}{=} \mathbb{E} [S_{n-1} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.3}}{=} S_{n-1} + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \stackrel{\text{Exp.4}}{=} S_{n-1} + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n]. \end{aligned}$$

For the last average we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\xi_n] = (1) \cdot \frac{1}{2} + (-1) \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 0,$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E} [S_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = S_{n-1}.$$

c)  $\mathbb{E}[S_n^2 - n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$

Analogously to the above, we calculate the expectation given an information about first  $n - 1$  variables. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [S_n^2 - n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &\stackrel{\text{Exp.1}}{=} \mathbb{E} [(S_{n-1} + \xi_n)^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - n \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.1}}{=} \mathbb{E} [S_{n-1}^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + 2\mathbb{E} [S_{n-1}\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - n \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.3}}{=} S_{n-1}^2 + 2S_{n-1}\mathbb{E} [\xi_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n^2 | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] - n \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.4}}{=} S_{n-1}^2 + 2S_{n-1}\mathbb{E} [\xi_n] + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n^2] - n. \end{aligned}$$

Mean value of  $\xi_n$  was calculated above and is equal to 0. For the second moment we have

$$\mathbb{E} [\xi_n^2] = 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2} = 1,$$

and therefore

$$\mathbb{E} [S_n^2 - n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = S_{n-1}^2 - (n - 1).$$

**Rremark.** In the above two examples one can notice the same phenomena. Indeed we have shown for two different processes, namely  $X_n = S_n$  and  $X_n = S_n^2 - n$ , and  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$  the validity of following relation

$$\mathbb{E} [X_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] = X_{n-1}.$$

d)  $\mathbb{E}[e^{S_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}]$

Analogously to the above we split the exponent into two parts: one containing variables  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$  and another containing  $\xi_n$  and then use the Properties **Exp.3**, **Exp.4**.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [e^{S_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] &= \mathbb{E} [e^{S_{n-1}} e^{\xi_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \stackrel{\text{Exp.3}}{=} e^{S_{n-1}} \mathbb{E} [e^{\xi_n} | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.4}}{=} e^{S_{n-1}} \mathbb{E} [e^{\xi_n}] = e^{S_{n-1}} \cosh(1). \end{aligned}$$

e)  $\mathbb{E}[S_n^2 | S_{n-1}]$

In this case we need to emphasize that the conditional expectation with respect to  $S_{n-1}$  is not the same as the conditional expectation with respect to  $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}$ . Expectation of the form  $\mathbb{E} [\cdot | S_{n-1}]$  is the one which is taken with respect to a  $\sigma$ -algebra that "keeps the information" about  $S_{n-1}$  only, but not about independent variables  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_{n-1}$ . But we still can successfully use the same technique to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [S_n^2 | S_{n-1}] &\stackrel{\text{Exp.1}}{=} \mathbb{E} [S_{n-1}^2 | S_{n-1}] + 2\mathbb{E} [S_{n-1}\xi_n | S_{n-1}] + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n^2 | S_{n-1}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.3}}{=} S_{n-1}^2 + 2S_{n-1}\mathbb{E} [\xi_n | S_{n-1}] + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n^2 | S_{n-1}] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.4}}{=} S_{n-1}^2 + 2S_{n-1}\mathbb{E} [\xi_n] + \mathbb{E} [\xi_n^2] = S_{n-1}^2 + 1. \end{aligned}$$

f)  $\mathbb{E}[S_\tau^2 | \tau]$

This is a more complicated example. Namely we have a random number of terms forming  $S_\tau$ . Thus we start from the definition of a conditional expectation via conditional distribution. Because  $\tau$  is independent of all  $\xi_n$  we can fix the value of  $\tau$  to be equal  $n$ . Then the variable  $S_n$  has some distribution. We are interested in its second moment

$$\mathbb{E} [S_n^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \sum_{j,k} \xi_j \xi_k \right] = \sum_j \mathbb{E} [\xi_j^2] + \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbb{E} [\xi_j \xi_k] = n + \sum_{j \neq k} \mathbb{E} [\xi_j] \mathbb{E} [\xi_k] = n.$$

This means that

$$\mathbb{E} [S_\tau^2 | \tau = n] = n = \tau,$$

and thus

$$\mathbb{E} [S_\tau^2 | \tau] = \tau.$$

3. This question is also covered in the Slides of Week 11. Make sure you are able to solve it.

- a) Let  $W_t$  be a standard Brownian Motion/Wiener Process and  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be a corresponding natural filtration. Let  $B_t = B_0 + \mu t + \sigma W_t$  be a BM with corresponding drift and volatility. Show that  $W_t$  is a martingale.

**Solution:**

$W_t$  is a martingale because of

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [W_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E} [W_t - W_s + W_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \stackrel{\text{Exp.1}}{=} \mathbb{E} [W_t - W_s | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E} [W_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.3, past}}{=} \mathbb{E} [W_t - W_s | \mathcal{F}_s] + W_s \stackrel{\text{Exp.4, future}}{=} \mathbb{E} [W_t - W_s] + W_s = W_s. \end{aligned}$$

But  $B_t$  is not a martingale unless  $\mu$  is equal to zero. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [B_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E} [B_t - B_s + B_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \stackrel{\text{Exp.1}}{=} \mathbb{E} [B_t - B_s | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E} [B_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.3}}{=} \mathbb{E} [B_t - B_s | \mathcal{F}_s] + B_s \stackrel{\text{Exp.4}}{=} \mathbb{E} [B_t - B_s] + B_s = \mu(t - s) + B_s \neq B_s, \quad \text{for } \mu \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

- b) Show that under the same assumption,  $W_t^2$  is a not martingale, but  $W_t^2 - t$  is.

**Solution:**

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E} [W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E} [(W_t - W_s + W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.1}}{=} \mathbb{E} [(W_t - W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] + 2\mathbb{E} [W_s (W_t - W_s) | \mathcal{F}_s] + \mathbb{E} [W_s^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.3}}{=} \mathbb{E} [(W_t - W_s)^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] + 2W_s \mathbb{E} [W_t - W_s | \mathcal{F}_s] + W_s^2 \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.4}}{=} \mathbb{E} [(W_t - W_s)^2] + 2W_s \mathbb{E} [W_t - W_s] + W_s^2 = t - s + W_s^2. \end{aligned}$$

At the same time if one introduces  $X_t$  to be equal  $W_t^2 - t$ , then it follows from the above

$$\mathbb{E} [X_t | \mathcal{F}_s] = \mathbb{E} [W_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_s] - t = W_s^2 - s = X_s,$$

and thus  $X_s$  is a martingale with respect to a filtration  $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

- c) Let  $S_t = e^{B_t}$  be a Geometric Brownian Motion starting at  $S_0 = e^{B_0}$  and having drift  $\mu$  and volatility  $\sigma$ . Show that this process is not a martingale in general, but is a martingale for  $\mu = -\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ .

**Solution:**

This follows from the below

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}[S_t | \mathcal{F}_s] &= \mathbb{E}[e^{\mu(t-s) + \sigma(W_t - W_s)} S_s | \mathcal{F}_s] \stackrel{\text{Exp.3}}{=} e^{\mu(t-s)} S_s \mathbb{E}[e^{\sigma(W_t - W_s)} | \mathcal{F}_s] \\ &\stackrel{\text{Exp.4}}{=} e^{\mu(t-s)} S_s \mathbb{E}[e^{\sigma(W_t - W_s)}] = S_s e^{\mu(t-s) + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(t-s)} = S_s e^{(\mu + \frac{\sigma^2}{2})(t-s)}.\end{aligned}$$