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1. If the data is normally distributed

$$
y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right)
$$

where $\sigma$ is known and $\mu$ has prior distribution $\mu \sim N\left(\mu_{0}, \sigma_{0}^{2}\right)$, we saw that the posterior distribution $p(\mu \mid y)$ is

$$
\mu \mid y \sim N\left(\mu_{1}, \sigma_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mu_{1}=\frac{\mu_{0} / \sigma_{0}^{2}+n \bar{y} / \sigma^{2}}{1 / \sigma_{0}^{2}+n / \sigma^{2}}, \sigma_{1}^{2}=\frac{1}{1 / \sigma_{0}^{2}+n / \sigma^{2}} .
$$

Suppose we take this model as model $M_{2}$, and also consider model $M_{1}$ in which $\mu$ is known to be equal to $\mu_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}: y_{i} \sim N\left(\mu_{0}, \sigma^{2}\right), i=1, \ldots, n \\
& M_{2}: y_{i} \sim N\left(\mu, \sigma^{2}\right), i=1, \ldots, n ; \mu \sim N\left(\mu_{0}, \sigma_{0}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In model $M_{1}, \mu_{0}$ is fixed; for both models, $\sigma$ is known.
It can be shown that

$$
p\left(y \mid M_{2}\right)=\frac{\sigma_{1} p\left(y \mid M_{1}\right)}{\sigma_{0} \exp \left(-\frac{\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma_{1}^{2}}\right)} .
$$

(a) What is the Bayes factor $B_{12}$ for comparing models 1 and 2?
(b) Show that for sufficiently large values of $\sigma_{0}$

$$
B_{12} \approx \frac{\sqrt{n} \sigma_{0}}{\sigma} \exp \left(-\frac{n\left(\bar{y}-\mu_{0}\right)^{2}}{2 \sigma^{2}}\right) .
$$

For the data, let ABC be the last three digits of your 9 digit ID number. Take $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}=(20+A, 20+B, 20+C, 28,30)$. Take $\mu_{0}=25$ and $\sigma=3$.
(c) Calculate the posterior mean and standard deviation of $\mu$ under model $M_{2}$. Do this for $\sigma_{0}=10$, and then repeat with $\sigma_{0}=100$.
(d) For the same values of $\sigma_{0}$ as in (1c), calculate the Bayes factor $B_{12}$, using both the exact formula and the approximation.
(e) Assuming that the models have equal prior probabilities, calculate the posterior probabilities that each model is the correct model, $p\left(M_{1} \mid y\right)$ and $p\left(M_{2} \mid y\right)$. Do this for each of the two values of $\sigma_{0}$. Use the exact Bayes factor, not the approximation.
(f) Comment briefly on the results of parts (1c) and (1e).
2. Suppose that the observed data is $y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}$, which we assume is a sample from a Poisson distribution. Two models are under consideration: model $M_{1}$ is that the distribution that generated the data is Poisson with mean 1 ; model $M_{2}$ is that the data were generated by a Poisson distribution with mean $\lambda$, where $\lambda$ has a $\operatorname{Gamma}(\alpha, \beta)$ prior distribution.
(a) Suppose that $y_{1}=\cdots=y_{n}=0$ and that $\alpha=1, \beta=1$. Show that in this case the Bayes factor $B_{12}$ for comparing the two models is given by

$$
B_{12}=(n+1) e^{-n} .
$$

If $n=10$, what is the Bayes factor? If we also take as prior model probabilities $p\left(M_{1}\right)=1 / 2$ and $p\left(M_{1}\right)=1 / 2$, what is the posterior probability of model $M_{1}$ ?
(b) Show that for general data and $\alpha=1, \beta=1$, the Bayes factor is given by

$$
B_{12}=\frac{(n+1)^{S+1} e^{-n}}{S!}, \text { where } S=\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} .
$$

[This could be done by direct integration, which would involve manipulating gamma functions. Alternatively one could rearrange the Bayes theorem formula as was done for the normal example result that is quoted in question (1).]

