

# Lecture 11B

## MTH6102: Bayesian Statistical Methods

Eftychia Solea

Queen Mary University of London

2023

# Today's agenda

## Today's lecture

- Bayesian model selection

## Revision next week

- Past papers
- Extra problems for the exam

# More than one model

- Let  $y$  be the observed data.
- Suppose that we have two candidate statistical models that might fit the data  $y$ , models  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .
- Here, we assume that one of these models generated the data  $y$ .
- Each model has a vector of parameters  $\theta_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$ .
- **Model selection:** We are interested in testing which model  $M_1$  or  $M_2$  fits the data  $y$  better.

# Examples of more than one model

- Data:  $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$  (continuous).

$$M_1 : y_i \sim N(0, \sigma^2), \theta_1 = (\sigma) \quad \text{vs} \quad M_2 : y_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2), \theta_2 = (\mu, \sigma)$$

- We are interested in deciding whether or not  $\mu$  is 0.

# Examples of more than one model

- Regression models:  $y_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma^2), i = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\sigma$  is known.

$$M_1 : \mu_i = \beta_0, \theta_1 = (\beta_0, \sigma) \quad \text{vs} \quad M_2 : \mu_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_{1i}, \theta_2 = (\beta_0, \beta_1, \sigma)$$

- We are interested in deciding whether or not  $\beta_1$  is 0.

# Hypothesis tests: frequentist

- In the frequentist framework, we have a null and alternative hypothesis.

$$H_0 : \mu = 0 \quad H_1 : \mu \neq 0$$

- Test hypotheses using p-value: Probability of statistic at least as extreme as the observed value, if  $H_0$  is true.

- The Bayesian framework does not use p-values.
- Probability statements are based on the posterior distribution conditional on the model  $M_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$



# Notation for inference in one model

- Recall the Bayes' theorem

$$p(\theta | y) = \frac{p(\theta) p(y | \theta)}{p(y)}$$

- Conditional on the model  $M_k$ , Bayes' theorem becomes

$$p(\theta_k | y, M_k) = \frac{p(\theta_k | M_k) p(y | \theta_k, M_k)}{p(y | M_k)}, \quad k = 1, 2$$

where

$$p(y | M_j) = \int p(\theta_j | M_j) p(y | \theta_j, M_j) d\theta_j, \quad j = 1, 2$$

This is the probability of the data given model  $M_j$  is true.

# Bayes' theorem among models

- The term  $p(y | M_k)$  can be used in Bayes' theorem for looking probabilities of different models (hypotheses).
- Bayes' theorem for model  $M_k$  (hypothesis)

$$p(M_k | y) = \frac{p(M_k) p(y | M_k)}{p(y)}, \quad k = 1, 2$$

- $p(M_k | y)$  is the posterior probability that model  $M_k$  is correct given the data  $y$ .
- These probabilities add up to 1:  $\sum_{k=1}^2 p(M_k | y) = 1$
- This provides a Bayesian method for choosing between models  $M_1$  and  $M_2$

# Posterior probability of each model

- Hypotheses: We are testing two models: model  $M_1$  and model  $M_2$
- Prior probability: The probability of each model  $M_k$ ,  $k = 1, 2$  prior to collecting the data. In this case, we have

$$p(M_1) \quad \text{and} \quad p(M_2).$$

- Data: the result of the experiment. In this case,  $y$ .
- Likelihood: The probability of the data given model  $M_j$  is true,  $p(y | M_j)$ . In this case,

$$p(y | M_1) \quad \text{and} \quad p(y | M_2),$$

where

$$p(y | M_j) = \int p(\theta_j | M_j) p(y | \theta_j, M_j) d\theta_j, \quad j = 1, 2$$

# Posterior probability of each model

- Posterior probability: The probability of each model  $M_k$  given the data  $y$ . In this case,

$$p(M_1 | y) \quad \text{and} \quad p(M_2 | y).$$

- By Bayes' theorem,

$$p(M_k | y) = \frac{p(M_k) p(y | M_k)}{p(y)}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

- The denominator is

$$p(\text{data}) = p(y) = \sum_{j=1}^2 p(M_j) p(y | M_j).$$

# Prior distribution for models

- We need to specify prior probabilities for each model,  $p(M_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ .

- We could choose a discrete uniform distribution

$$p(M_j) = \frac{1}{r}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

- (But we do not have to choose this distribution)

# Two models

So, we have by Bayes' theorem,

$$p(M_k | y) = \frac{p(M_k) p(y | M_k)}{p(y)}, \quad k = 1, 2.$$

- Suppose we assume one of two models is correct,  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .
- We want to decide which model fits the data  $y$  well.
- We choose  $M_1$  or not depending on whether its **posterior odds** are greater or less than its **prior odds**.

- The **odds** of event  $E$  versus event  $E^c$  are the ratio of their probabilities  $P(E)/P(E^c)$ .

- So the odds of  $E$  is

$$O(E) = \frac{P(E)}{P(E^c)}.$$

- Let  $P(E) = p$  and  $P(E^c) = 1 - p$ , then  $O(E) = \frac{p}{1-p}$ .

# Odds: Examples

- For a fair coin the odds of  $H$  (heads) is  $O(H) = 1$ . We say the odds of heads are 1 to 1 or 50-50.
- For a standard die, the odds of rolling 4 are  $\frac{1/6}{5/6} = 1/5$ . We say that odds are 1 to 5 for rolling a 4.



- We compute,

$$\frac{p(M_1 | y)}{p(M_2 | y)} = \frac{p(M_1) p(y | M_1)}{p(M_2) p(y | M_2)}$$

- Also

$$p(M_2) = 1 - p(M_1),$$
$$p(M_2 | y) = 1 - p(M_1 | y)$$

- The **prior odds** of model  $M_1$  vs model  $M_2$ :

$$\frac{p(M_1)}{p(M_2)} = \frac{p(M_1)}{1 - p(M_1)}$$

- The **posterior odds** of model  $M_1$  vs model  $M_2$ :

$$\frac{p(M_1 | y)}{p(M_2 | y)} = \frac{p(M_1 | y)}{1 - p(M_1 | y)}$$

- Using,

$$\frac{p(M_1 | y)}{p(M_2 | y)} = \frac{p(M_1) p(y | M_1)}{p(M_2) p(y | M_2)}$$

we have

$$\text{posterior odds of Model } M_1 = \text{prior odds of Model } M_1 \times \frac{p(y | M_1)}{p(y | M_2)}$$

- The factor

$$B_{12} = \frac{p(y | M_1)}{p(y | M_2)}$$

is called a **Bayes factor**.

- So the Bayes factor is the ratio of the likelihoods.
- We have:

Posterior odds of Model  $M_1$  = prior odds of Model  $M_1$   $\times$  **Bayes factor**

- For a hypothesis  $H$  (e.g Model  $M_1$ ) versus  $H^c$  (e.g Model  $M_2$ ), the **Bayes factor** is

$$B_{12} = \frac{p(y | H)}{p(y | H^c)}$$

- We have:

Posterior odds of  $H =$  prior odds of  $H \times$  **Bayes factor**

- The Bayes factor is

$$\begin{aligned} B_{12} &= \frac{p(y | M_1)}{p(y | M_2)} \\ &= \frac{\int p(\theta_1 | M_1) p(y | \theta_1, M_1) d\theta_1}{\int p(\theta_2 | M_2) p(y | \theta_2, M_2) d\theta_2} \end{aligned}$$

- $p(\theta_k | M_k)$  and  $p(y | \theta_k, M_k)$  are the prior and likelihood for model  $M_k$ .

Posterior odds of Model  $M_1 =$  prior odds of Model  $M_1 \times$  Bayes factor

- The Bayes factor tells us whether the data provides evidence for or against Model  $M_1$  (hypothesis)
  - Bayes factor  $B_{12} > 1$  suggests the posterior odds are greater than the prior odds. So the data provides evidence for model  $M_1$  (hypothesis). Model  $M_1$  is more probable.
  - Bayes factor  $B_{12} < 1$  suggests the posterior odds are less than the prior odds. So the data provides evidence against model  $M_1$  (hypothesis). Model  $M_2$  is more probable.
  - If  $B_{12} = 1$  then the prior and posterior odds are equal. So the data provides no evidence either way.

# Bayes factors and strength of evidence

- Rules of thumb for the size of the Bayes factor have been suggested  
- no need to remember these.
- E.g.:

Range of $B_{12}$	Evidence
1 to $10^{-\frac{1}{2}}$	slight evidence against $M_1$
$10^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ to $10^{-1}$	moderate evidence against $M_1$
$10^{-1}$ to $10^{-2}$	strong evidence against $M_1$
$< 10^{-2}$	decisive evidence against $M_1$



# Example

- We flip a coin 5 times and observe  $k = 5$  heads. We want to know if the coin is fair, or if it is biased towards heads. Let  $q$  be the probability of success.
- Let be two models  $M_1$  and  $M_2$

$$M_1 : k \sim \text{binomial}(5, 0.5), \quad M_2 : k \sim \text{binomial}(5, q).$$

- We will use the Bayes factor to choose between Models  $M_1$  and  $M_2$ .

- Suppose that model  $M_1$  has a single parameter  $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- Prior distribution  $\theta_1 \sim N(0, \sigma_0^2)$ .

- $$p(y | M_1) = \int p(\theta_1 | M_1) p(y | \theta_1, M_1) d\theta_1$$

- In typical problems, the likelihood  $p(y | \theta_1, M_1)$  approaches zero for  $\theta_1$  outside some range  $(-A, A)$ .
- For large enough  $\sigma_0$

$$p(\theta_1 | M_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} e^{-\theta_1^2/(2\sigma_0^2)} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} \text{ for } -A < \theta_1 < A$$

- Hence for large enough  $\sigma_0$  (flat, uninformative prior for  $\theta_1$ ), the Bayes factor is

$$B_{12} \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_0} \frac{\int p(y | \theta_1, M_1) d\theta_1}{\int p(\theta_2 | M_2) p(y | \theta_2, M_2) d\theta_2}$$

- So if e.g. we replace a very large  $\sigma_0$  by  $100 \sigma_0$ , then  $B_{12}$  is divided by 100.
- However, the posterior distribution within model  $M_1$  will hardly change, as the posterior is approximately proportional to the likelihood for large  $\sigma_0$ .

# Alternative approaches to model comparison

- Using Bayes factors and posterior probabilities of models can depend on the prior distributions, more so than inference within each model.
- There are alternatives for checking or comparing models which combine Bayesian and frequentist ideas.
- E.g. posterior predictive checks.
- We are not covering these.

- An alternative is: don't choose among models.
- Expand one model to make it flexible enough.
- Models with many parameters can be easier to deal with in the Bayesian framework:
  - conceptually, can go from joint posterior to marginal posterior distribution;
  - having slightly informative prior distributions helps if there is not enough data to estimate all parameters.