Lecture 11A MTH6102: Bayesian Statistical Methods

Eftychia Solea

Queen Mary University of London

2023

Today's agenda

Today's lecture

 Learn how to use the law of total probability to compute posterior predictive probabilities.

Review: Predictive probabilities

- Posterior predictive probability describes how likely are different outcomes of a future experiment.
- We have observed data (result of the experiment) $y \sim p(y \mid \theta)$, dependent on parameters θ .
- Then we update our prior distribution for θ , $p(\theta)$, to the posterior distribution $p(\theta \mid y)$.

Posterior predictive probabilities

- \bullet Suppose we plan to perform the experiment again to observe new data x
- We want to compute the posterior predictive distribution $p(x \mid y)$ of x given the observed data y.
- Posterior predictive probabilities are used to predict future data x
 when the experiment is performed again, and they are computed
 after obsevring data y and updating prior to posterior.

Predictive distributions: discrete prior, discrete data

- Discrete observed data: $y \sim p(y \mid \theta)$, with θ unknown
- Discrete likelihood: $p(y \mid \theta)$.
- Discrete hypothesis θ with values θ_1 , θ_2 , ... θ_K .
- Prior pmf $p(\theta_i)$ of θ , $p(\theta_i) = p(\theta = \theta_i)$, i = 1, ..., K.
- Posterior pmf $p(\theta_i \mid y) = \frac{p(y|\theta_i)p(\theta_i)}{p(y)}$, $i = 1, \dots, K$.

Hypothesis	prior	likelihood	Bayes numerator	posterior
θ	$p(\theta)$	$p(y \theta)$	$p(y \theta)p(\theta)$	$p(\theta y)$
$\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}$	$p(\theta_1)$	$p(y \theta_1)$	$p(y \theta_1) \ p(\theta_1)$	$p(\theta_1 y)$
θ_2	$p(\theta_2)$	$p(y \theta_2)$	$p(y \theta_2) \ p(\theta_2)$	$p(\theta_2 y)$
:	:	:	:	:
$\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle K}$	$p(\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle K})$	$p(y \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle K})$	$p(y \theta_{\scriptscriptstyle K}) \ p(\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle K})$	$p(\theta_{\scriptscriptstyle K} y)$
Total	1	NOT SUM TO 1	p(y)	1

Predictive distributions: discrete prior, discrete data

By the Law of total probability,

$$p(y) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} p(y|\theta_i) p(\theta_i),$$

is called the prior predictive probability.

• Prior predictive probabilities. Assign a probability to an outcome of the experiment. They are computed **before we observe any data**.

Predictive distributions: discrete prior, discrete data

- Let x: future data from the same experiment. We assume that x and y are independent given θ.
- ullet By, the **law of total probability**, the posterior predictive probability of x given the observed data y is

$$p(x|y) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} p(x|\theta_i) p(\theta_i|y).$$

Board example: Three type of coins

There are three type of coins in the drawer with probabilities 0.5, 0.6 and 0.9 of heads, respectively. Each coin is equally likely

Data: Pick one and toss 5 times. You get 1 head out of 5 tosses.

- (a) Compute the posterior probabilities for the type of coin
- (b) Compute the posterior predictive distributions of observing heads in a future toss.
- (c) Compute the posterior predictive distributions of observing 2 heads in 5 future coin tosses.

Board example: Three type of coins

Bayesian updating table

Hypothesis	prior	likelihood	Bayes numerator	posterior
θ	$p(\theta)$	$p(y \theta) \sim binomial(5,\theta)$	$p(y \theta)p(\theta)$	$p(\theta y)$
$\theta_1 = 0.5$	$p(\theta_1) = 1/3$	$p(y = 1 \theta_1) = 0.15625$	$p(y = 1 \theta_1) \ p(\theta_1) = 0.0521$	$p(\theta_1 y=1) = 0.669$
$\theta_2 = 0.6$	$p(\theta_2) = 1/3$	$p(y = 1 \theta_2) = 0.0768$	$p(y = 1 \theta_2) \ p(\theta_2) = 0.0256$	$p(\theta_2 y=1) = 0.329$
$\theta_3 = 0.9$	$p(\theta_3) = 1/3$	$p(y = 1 \theta_3) = 0.00045$	$p(y=1 \theta_3) \ p(\theta_3) = 0.00015$	$p(\theta_3 y=1) = 0.00193$
Total	1	NOT SUM TO 1	p(y=1) = 0.07785	1

• Prior predictive probability:
$$p(y=1) = p(y=1|\theta_1)p(\theta_1) + p(y=1|\theta_2)p(\theta_2) + p(y=1|\theta_3)p(\theta_3) = 0.07785$$

Board example: Three type of coins

- Does the order of the 1 head and 4 tails affect the posterior distribution of the coin type?
 - (a) Yes
 - (b) No.
- Does the order of the 1 head and 4 tails affect the posterior predictive distribution of the next flip?
 - (a) Yes
 - (b) No.

Board example

- Suppose that y is the number of expensive goods in a shop over 24 days. So $y \sim \text{Poisson}(24\theta)$ where $\theta = 1/2$, $\theta = 1/4$ or $\theta = 1/8$.
- Suppose the prior pmf is

$$p(\theta = 1/2) = p(1/2) = 0.2, \quad p(\theta = 1/4) = p(1/4) = 0.5,$$

$$p(\theta = 1/8) = p(1/8) = 0.3.$$

- We observe y = 10 expensive goods were sold in the last 24 days.
 - ① Compute the posterior pmf for θ .
 - ② Compute the posterior predictive distribution that x=10 number of goods will be sold in the next 24 days.

Predictive distributions: continuous prior, discrete data

- Continuous parameter θ in the range [a, b].
- Prior: $p(\theta)$, $\theta \in [a, b]$.
- Discrete data, y. Likelihood $p(y|\theta)$.
- ullet By, the **law of total probability**, the prior predictive probability of y is

$$p(\mathsf{data}) = p(y) = \int_a^b p(y|\theta) \, p(\theta) \, d\theta,$$

where the integral is computed over the entire range of θ .

 \bullet Note: p(y) is a probability mass function, i.e., p(y) = P(Y=y)

Predictive distributions: continuous prior, discrete data

- Posterior: $p(\theta|y) = \frac{p(\theta) \times p(y|\theta)}{p(y)}$
- ullet x: future data of the same experiment. We assume that x and y are independent given heta
- ullet By, the **law of total probability**, the posterior predictive probability of x (given y) is

$$p(x|y) = \int_a^b p(x|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta.$$

Predictive distributions: continuous prior, discrete data

Example

We have a coin with unknown probability θ of heads.

Prior: $p(\theta) = 2\theta$, $\theta \in [0, 1]$.

- Find the prior predictive probability of throwing heads on the first toss.
- Suppose the first flip was heads. Find the posterior predictive probabilities of both heads and tails on the second flip.

Example: beta prior/ binomial data

- Data, $k \sim \mathsf{binomial}(n, q)$
- Prior, $q \sim \text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)$.
 - Find the posterior predictive probability to observe success on the next Bernoulli trial
 - ullet Find the posterior predictive probability to observe new x successes on the next m Bernoulli trials.

Board example

Data: 10 patients have 6 successes. $\theta \sim \text{beta}(5,5)$

- Find the posterior distribution of θ .
- Find the posterior predictive probability of success with the next patient.

Posterior predictive distribution: continuous prior, continuous data

- Continuous parameter θ in the range [a, b].
- Prior pdf: $p(\theta)$, $\theta \in [a, b]$.
- Continuous data, y. Likelihood $p(y|\theta)$.
- The prior predictive pdf of y is

$$p(y) = \int_a^b p(y|\theta) p(\theta) d\theta,$$

where the integral is computed over the entire range of θ .

• Note: p(y) is a pdf.

Posterior predictive distribution: continuous prior, continuous data

- Posterior pdf: $p(\theta|y)$
- x: future data of the same experiment.
- The posterior predictive distribution of x is

$$p(x|y) = \int_a^b p(x|y,\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta.$$

- As usual, we usually assume x and y are conditionally independent given θ . That is, $p(x|y,\theta) = p(x|\theta)$.
- In this case.

$$p(x|y) = \int_{-b}^{b} p(x|\theta) p(\theta|y) d\theta.$$

Posterior predictive distribution

The posterior predictive distribution for x given the observed data y is

$$p(x \mid y) = \int p(x \mid \theta) \ p(\theta \mid y) \ d\theta$$

- This is the probability distribution for unobserved or future data x.
- This distribution includes two types of uncertainty:
 - the uncertainty remaining about θ after we have seen y;
 - the random variation in x.

Board example: Exponential data/Gamma prior

- The time until failure for a type of light bulb is exponentially distributed with parameter $\theta > 0$, where θ is unknown.
- We observe n bulbs, with failure times t_1, \ldots, t_n .
- We assume a Gamma (α, β) prior distribution for θ , where $\alpha > 0$ and $\beta > 0$ are known.
 - $oldsymbol{0}$ Determine the predictive posterior distribution for future data x

Finding the posterior predictive distribution

$$p(x \mid y) = \int p(x \mid \theta) \ p(\theta \mid y) \ d\theta$$

- In conjugate examples, one can usually derive $p(x \mid y)$.
- \bullet It is generally easier to find the mean and variance of $p(x\mid y)$ than deriving the full distribution.

Conditional mean and variance in general

- ullet Suppose that X and W are general random variables.
- Then

$$E(X) = E(E(X \mid W))$$
 law of iterated expectation

and

$$Var(X) = Var(E(X \mid W)) + E(Var(X \mid W))$$
 law of total variance

ullet In Bayesian inference, we replace W with parameters and X with the new data we would like to predict.

Mean and variance of posterior predictive distribution

• For new data x and parameter(s) θ

$$E(x) = E(E(x \mid \theta))$$

$$Var(x) = Var(E(x \mid \theta)) + E(Var(x \mid \theta))$$

Mean and variance of posterior predictive distribution

 Add conditioning on observed data y, since we want posterior predictions

$$E(x\mid y)=E(E(x\mid \theta,y))\quad \text{law of iterated expectation}$$

$$Var(x\mid y)=Var(E(x\mid \theta,y))+E(Var(x\mid \theta,y))\quad \text{law of total variance}$$

• These are the posterior predictive mean and posterior predictive variance of x, respectively.

Example: beta prior, binomial data

- Data, $k \sim \mathsf{binomial}(n, q)$
- Prior, $q \sim \text{beta}(\alpha, \beta)$.
- New data, $x \sim \text{binomial}(m, q)$, m is known.
 - (1) Find the posterior predictive mean and variance of x

Using simulation (Monte Carlo)

- Suppose we know the posterior distribution $p(\theta \mid y)$, or we have a sample from it.
- Then it is easy to use simulation to generate a sample from the posterior predictive distribution of a new data-point x.
- Because we know the distribution of x for any given value of θ : it's the same as the distribution of the original data y.

Simulating the posterior predictive distribution

Suppose that we have a sample from the posterior distribution

$$\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_M$$

- We can simulate the posterior predictive distribution $p(x \mid y)$.
- We just generate

$$x_j$$
 from $p(x \mid \theta_j, y) = p(x \mid \theta_j), j = 1, 2, \dots, M$

Then

$$x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_M$$

is a sample from the posterior predictive distribution $p(x \mid y)$.

(Since

$$(x_1, \theta_1), (x_2, \theta_2), \dots, (x_M, \theta_M)$$

is a sample from $p(x, \theta \mid y) = p(\theta \mid y) p(x \mid \theta, y)$.



Simulating the posterior predictive distribution

- When do we have a sample from $p(\theta \mid y)$?
- ullet Almost always, because we use MCMC to make inferences about θ .
- Or in simpler conjugate cases, we can directly generate an independent sample from $p(\theta \mid y)$.
- The latter is an example of simple Monte Carlo.

Using the the posterior predictive sample

- Suppose we have generated a sample from the posterior predictive distribution x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_M .
- We can summarize the sample for whatever interests us:
 - Posterior predictive mean, median, variance just summarize sample x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_M
 - Prediction intervals, e.g. with 95% probability, x will be in some interval- just take the 0.025 and 0.975 sample quantiles of the sample x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_M .
 - Posterior predictive probability that x=0 just count what proportion of sample are 0.
 - Posterior predictive probability that x > c, for some c count what proportion of sample are > c.