

MTH5130 2022-2023 January exam

Shu Sasaki

1st December 2022

A1 [A similar example seen]

Firstly, we observe that

$$35x + 55y + 77z = 35x + 11 \cdot (5y + 7z) = 1.$$

We solve $35X + 11Y = 1$ and $5y + 7z = Y$ [5].

By Euclid's algorithm or otherwise, we find that a solution to $35X + 11Y = 1$ is given for example by $(X, Y) = (-5, 16)$ [3].

On the other hand, to solve $5y + 7z = Y = 16$, we solve $5y + 7z = 1$ and multiply its solution (not necessarily unique, of course) by 16. It is easy to spot a solution to $5y + 7z = 1$; by Euclid's algorithm or otherwise, we see that $(y, z) = (3, -2)$ does the job. It therefore follows that $(y, z) = (48, -32)$ is a solution to $5y + 7z = 16$ [3].

Combining all these together, $(x, y, z) = (-5, 48, -32)$ is a solution to $35x + 55y + 77z$ [4].

A2

(a) [A similar example seen] Yes, 7 is a primitive root mod 11 [1].

It follows from Fermat's Last Theorem that $7^{p-1} = 7^{10} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. By Lemma 19 that the order of 7 mod 11 is a divisor of 10, i.e. either 1, 2, 5 or 10. Since

$$7^2 = 49 \equiv 5, \quad 7^4 \equiv 5^2 = 25 \equiv 3, \quad 7^5 \equiv 3 \cdot 7 = 21 \equiv 10,$$

the order of 7 mod 11 would have to be 10 [3]. This means that 7 is a primitive root mod 11.

(b) [A similar example seen] Yes, 25 is a quadratic residue mod 11 [1].

This simply follows from observing that 25 is a square whether it is modulo 11 or not, or computing the Legendre symbol

$$\left(\frac{25}{11}\right) \stackrel{R1}{=} \left(\frac{5}{11}\right)^2 = 1$$

[3].

(c) [A similar example seen] No, 2 is not a square mod 9 [1].

Since 9 is not a prime number, it is not possible to use Legendre symbol to answer the question. We simply list all square numbers mod 9:

| | | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| z | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |
| z^2 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 0 | 4 | 1 |

Since 2 is not in the list mod 9, it is not a square mod 9 [3].

(d) [A similar example seen] Yes [1]. Firstly, observe that $1013 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ and $\left(\frac{17}{1013}\right) = -1$. It therefore follows from Proposition 29 ([2] for the reference) that $17^{\frac{1013-1}{4}} = 17^{253}$ is a solution to $x^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{1013}$ [1].

Q3. (a) [A similar example seen] We firstly compute $r = [\overline{1; 2}]$:

$$r = [1; 2, r] = 1 + \frac{1}{2 + \frac{1}{r}} = 1 + \frac{r}{2r + 1} = \frac{3r + 1}{2r + 1}$$

[3].

Hence r satisfies the quadratic equation

$$2r^2 - 2r - 1 = 0$$

[1].

By the quadratic formula, r is $\frac{1 \pm \sqrt{3}}{2}$, but by definition $r > 1$, hence $r = \frac{1 + \sqrt{3}}{2}$ [2].

Substituting this into

$$[1; 1, r] = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{r}},$$

we obtain $1 + \frac{\sqrt{3}}{3}$ [2].

(b) [A similar example seen] Theorem 42 ([2]) asserts that any convergent r_n , with $n \geq 2$, defines a good (rational) approximation to a given number. For example, $r_2 = [2; 1, 2] = \frac{8}{3}$ is a good approximation to $[2; 1, 2, 1, 1, 4, \dots]$ [4].

(c)[partly seen] This is Theorem 45. Suppose that the given irrational number r has continued fraction $[\overline{\alpha; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}}]$ of cycle length $l \geq 1$ (to clarify, by $l = 1$, we mean $[\overline{\alpha}]$).

By assumption, we know that $r = [\alpha; \alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_{l-1}, r]$ for $l \geq 1$. It then follows from Lemma 40 (which can be proved by induction) [6] (reference to the lemma qualifies for the full 6 marks) that

$$r = \frac{rs_{l-1} + s_{l-2}}{rt_{l-1} + t_{l-2}}$$

where $\frac{s_n}{t_n}$ denote the n -th convergent to r . It follows from this that r satisfies

$$t_{l-1}r^2 + (t_{l-2} - s_{l-1})r - s_{l-2} = 0,$$

where, by definition, $t_{l-1} > 0$ [3]. Since the continued fraction is infinite, r is not rational and this forces r to be irrational (i.e. the discriminant is non-zero) [1].

A4.

(a) [A similar example seen] We run the algorithm to find $\sqrt{23} = [4; \overline{1, 3, 1, 8}]$:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
\alpha = \lfloor \sqrt{23} \rfloor = 4 & \Rightarrow & \rho_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{23} - 4} = \frac{\sqrt{23} + 4}{7} \\
& \swarrow & \\
\alpha_1 = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{23} + 4}{7} \rfloor = 1 & \Rightarrow & \rho_2 = \frac{1}{\frac{\sqrt{23} + 4}{7} - 1} = \frac{\sqrt{23} + 3}{2} \\
& \swarrow & \\
\alpha_2 = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{23} + 3}{2} \rfloor = 3 & \Rightarrow & \rho_3 = \frac{1}{\frac{\sqrt{23} + 3}{2} - 3} = \frac{\sqrt{23} + 3}{7} \\
& \swarrow & \\
\alpha_3 = \lfloor \frac{\sqrt{23} + 3}{7} \rfloor = 1 & \Rightarrow & \rho_4 = \frac{1}{\frac{\sqrt{23} + 3}{7} - 1} = \sqrt{23} + 4 \\
& \swarrow & \\
\alpha_4 = \lfloor \sqrt{23} + 4 \rfloor = 8 & \Rightarrow & \rho_5 = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{23} + 4) - 8} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{23} - 4} = \rho_1 \\
& \swarrow & \\
\alpha_5 = \alpha_1 & \Rightarrow & \rho_5 = \rho_2 \\
& \swarrow & \\
& & \vdots
\end{array}$$

[8]

(b) [A similar example seen] From (a), the cycle length is $l = 4$, hence (s_3, t_3) is the fundamental solution [1].

As the convergents are:

$$\begin{array}{l}
\frac{s_1}{t_1} = \frac{\alpha_1 s_0 + s_{-1}}{\alpha_1 t_0 + t_{-1}} = \frac{1 \cdot 4 + 1}{1 \cdot 1 + 0} = \frac{5}{1}, \\
\frac{s_2}{t_2} = \frac{\alpha_2 s_1 + s_0}{\alpha_2 t_1 + t_0} = \frac{3 \cdot 5 + 4}{3 \cdot 1 + 1} = \frac{19}{4}, \\
\frac{s_3}{t_3} = \frac{\alpha_3 s_2 + s_1}{\alpha_3 t_2 + t_1} = \frac{1 \cdot 19 + 5}{1 \cdot 4 + 1} = \frac{24}{5}, \\
\dots
\end{array}$$

we see that the fundamental solution is $(24, 5)$ [3].

(c) [A similar example seen] Since $7 = 2l - 1$ (with cycle length $l = 4$), it follows from Theorem 48 [3] that the 7-th convergent are given by

$$(24 + 5\sqrt{23})^2 = 1151 + 240\sqrt{23}$$

[4], i.e. $(1151, 240)$ [1].

A5 [A similar example seen]

Observe that since

$$x^2 + y^2 = 116 = 5^2 \cdot 29,$$

[2] it suffices to solve $x^2 + y^2 = 29$ (and multiply a solution by 5).

Step 1: Find z such that $z^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{29}$. By trial and error, we find that $\left(\frac{2}{29}\right) = -1$ by R3 for example ($29 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$). Hence it follows from Proposition 29 that

$$z = 2^{\frac{29-1}{4}} = 2^7 = 128 \equiv 12$$

mod 29 satisfies $z^2 \equiv -1 \pmod{29}$ [2].

Step 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= \left\lfloor \frac{12}{29} \right\rfloor = 0 \Rightarrow \rho_1 = \frac{1}{\frac{12}{29} - 0} = \frac{29}{12} \\ &\quad \swarrow \\ \alpha_1 &= \left\lfloor \frac{29}{12} \right\rfloor = 2 \Rightarrow \rho_2 = \frac{1}{\frac{29}{12} - 2} = \frac{12}{5} \\ &\quad \swarrow \\ \alpha_2 &= \left\lfloor \frac{12}{5} \right\rfloor = 2 \Rightarrow \rho_3 = \frac{1}{\frac{12}{5} - 2} = \frac{5}{2} \\ &\quad \swarrow \\ \alpha_3 &= \left\lfloor \frac{5}{2} \right\rfloor = 2 \Rightarrow \rho_4 = \frac{1}{\frac{5}{2} - 2} = 2 \\ &\quad \swarrow \\ \alpha_4 &= \lfloor 2 \rfloor = 2 \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\frac{12}{29} = [0; 2, 2, 2, 2]$ [2].

It follows from this that the convergents to $\frac{z}{p} = \frac{12}{29}$ are:

$$r_1 = [0; 2] = \frac{1}{2}, r_2 = [0; 2, 2] = \frac{2}{5}, r_3 = [0; 2, 2, 2] = \frac{5}{12}, r_4 = [0; 2, 2, 2, 2] = \frac{12}{29}$$

[2].

Step 3: Since $t_2 = 5 < \sqrt{29} < t_3 = 12$, we see that $(x, y) = (5, 29 \cdot 2 - 12 \cdot 5) = (5, -2)$ is a solution to $x^2 + y^2 = 29$. It therefore follows that a solution to $x^2 + y^2 = 725$ is $(25, -10)$ [2].

A6

(a) [A similar example seen] $\frac{26}{3}$ lies in $\mathbb{Q} - \mathbb{Z}$, hence it is not an algebraic integer. [1]. It is proved in lectures that the algebraic integers in \mathbb{Q} are exactly \mathbb{Z} [2].

(b) [A similar example seen] π is a transcendental number [2], therefore not algebraic [1].

(c) [A similar example seen] If $d \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, the subring of algebraic integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ is $\mathbb{Z}\left[\frac{1 + \sqrt{d}}{2}\right]$ (Proposition 62) [1], but there is no pair of integers (a, b) that satisfies

$$1 + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{2} = a + b \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{21}}{2} \right)$$

(necessarily $b = 1$) [1]. Hence $1 + \frac{\sqrt{21}}{2}$ is not an algebraic integer [1].

(d) [A similar example seen] Yes **[1]**, as it is a root of the monic polynomial $x^2 + x + 1$ **[2]**. Alternatively, one can make appeal to Proposition 62 that the ring of integers in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-3})$ is $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}]$ (as $-3 \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$) and

$$-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2} = (-1) + 1 \cdot \frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1 + \sqrt{-3}}{2}].$$

(e). [not seen] If we let $\alpha = 1 + \sqrt[3]{3}$, we see that $\alpha^3 - 3\alpha^2 + 3\alpha - 4 = 0$ **[2]**. This is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients, hence α is an algebraic integer **[1]**.