

Lecture 9B

MTH6102: Bayesian Statistical Methods

Eftychia Solea

Queen Mary University of London

2023

Today's agenda

Today's lecture

- Review
- Metropolis-Hastings in Bayesian inference to generate samples from the posterior pdf.

MH for Bayesian inference

- **Goal:** Generate a sample $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ from the posterior pdf, $p(\theta | y)$.
- $p(\theta | y)$ is called the **target distribution**.
- Last time we saw that posterior densities can be complicated when not using a conjugate prior distribution.
- It is difficult to find the normalising constant with a non-conjugate prior distribution, and hence we cannot simulate directly from $p(\theta | y)$.

MH for Bayesian inference

- In these cases, MCMC are helpful.
- **Metropolis-Hastings** is a special case of a MCMC that can generate a sample $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ that is **approximately** from $p(\theta | y)$.
- The sample $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ is a Markov chain whose distribution converges to $p(\theta | y)$ (under some conditions).

Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

The algorithm constructs $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ as follows.

Start with arbitrary θ_1 . Suppose we have generated $\{\theta_1, \dots, \theta_i\}$. To generate θ_{i+1} do the following

- 1 Generate a proposal random variable ψ from distribution $q(\psi | \theta_i)$.
- 2 Compute the acceptance probability

$$r = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{p(\psi | y)q(\theta_i | \psi)}{p(\theta_i | y)q(\psi | \theta_i)} \right\}.$$

- 3 Set

$$\theta_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \psi & \text{with probability } r, \\ \theta_i & \text{with probability } 1 - r. \end{cases}$$

In practice, generate $U \sim U[0, 1]$. If $U < r$, set $\theta_{i+1} = \psi$, otherwise set $\theta_{i+1} = \theta_i$.

Metropolis-Hastings algorithm terminology

- q is the **proposal distribution**: At each step, we propose a new rv ψ using the conditional distribution $q(\cdot | \theta_i)$ that depends on θ_i (not on the past).

- MH **accepts** ψ with probability

$$r = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{p(\psi | y)q(\theta_i | \psi)}{p(\theta_i | y)q(\psi | \theta_i)} \right\}$$

called the **acceptance probability**.

- r reflects how likely it is that ψ is from $p(\theta | y)$.

Symmetric Metropolis-Hastings algorithm

- The simplest case uses a **symmetric proposal distribution**, that is $q(\psi | \theta_i) = q(\theta_i | \psi)$.

- In this case, the acceptance probability simplifies to

$$r = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{p(\psi | y)}{p(\theta_i | y)} \right\}.$$

- Does not involve the proposal density at all.

- Some common examples of symmetric q : $\psi \sim N(\theta, b^2)$,
 $\psi \sim U[\theta - a, \theta + a]$ for some $a > 0$, *Student's t -distribution*

In general, any proposal of the form

$$q(\psi|\theta) = f(|\psi - \theta|),$$

where f is a 0 mean density, symmetric about 0
is a symmetric proposal distribution. This is because
 $|\psi - \theta| = |\theta - \psi|$

Metropolis-Hastings algorithm for Bayesian inference

- In Bayesian inference, the posterior density is

$$p(\theta | y) = \frac{p(\theta) p(y | \theta)}{\int p(\theta) p(y | \theta) d\theta} = \frac{p(\theta) p(y | \theta)}{T}.$$

- It's difficult to find the normalizing constant

$$T = \int p(\theta) p(y | \theta) d\theta.$$

- We don't need to find this: The acceptance probability **does not depend on the normalizing constant**

$$\begin{aligned} r &= \min \left\{ 1, \frac{p(\psi | y) q(\theta_i | \psi)}{p(\theta_i | y) q(\psi | \theta_i)} \right\} \\ &= \min \left\{ 1, \frac{p(\psi) p(y | \psi) q(\theta_i | \psi)}{p(\theta_i) p(y | \theta_i) q(\psi | \theta_i)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{p(\psi | p(y|\theta))}{p(\theta_i | p(y|\theta_i))}$$

- so we only need to know $p(\theta | y)$ up to a constant.

Metropolis-Hastings algorithm for Bayesian inference

Define $g(\theta) = p(\theta) p(y | \theta)$, the non-normalized posterior density or the Bayes numerator.

Generate $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots$ as follows:

- Start with θ_1 , where $g(\theta_1) > 0$.
- For each $i > 1$:
 - Generate $\psi \sim q(\psi | \theta_i)$.
 - Let

$$r = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{g(\psi) q(\theta_i | \psi)}{g(\theta_i) q(\psi | \theta_i)} \right\}.$$

- Set

$$\theta_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \psi & \text{with probability } r \\ \theta_i & \text{with probability } 1 - r \end{cases}$$

Bayes numerator

Metropolis-Hastings algorithm for Bayesian inference

- Metropolis-Hastings algorithm generates a sequence $\theta^{(1)}, \dots$, of dependent or correlated θ values.
 - e.g., θ_{i+1} is correlated with θ_i because ψ has been rejected.
- Also, $\theta^{(1)}, \dots$, is Markov chain since each ψ is generated from $q(\psi | \theta_i)$ that depends on the last accepted value θ_i .
- In practice we cannot run the Markov chain forever but for some large number of steps N .

Metropolis-Hastings algorithm for Bayesian inference

- But we can still use the sample $\theta^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ to make inferences about the posterior.
- Under mild conditions, the empirical distribution of $\theta^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ will approximate well the posterior for large N .
- We can view $\theta^{(i)}, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ as a sample from the posterior $p(\theta|y)$.
- Hence, we can approximate posterior means, quantiles and other posterior quantities of interest using $\{\theta^{(1)}, \dots, \theta^{(N)}\}$ for large N .

Example: binomial data/beta prior

- Let $k = 12 \sim \text{binomial}(40, q)$, where q is the probability of success.
 - $q \sim \text{beta}(2, 2)$.
- 1 Apply the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm to simulate from the posterior $p(q|k)$ using normal proposal distribution with ~~with~~ standard deviation $b = 0.05$.
 - 2 Plot the histogram of the chain and compare it with the true posterior
 - 3 Compute the sample posterior mean, sample posterior median and sample equal-tail interval and compare with the true posterior summaries.

Example: beta prior/binomial data

$$\text{Likelihood: } p(x|z) \sim \binom{n}{x} z^x (1-z)^{n-x}$$

$$\text{prior: } p(z) \sim \text{beta}(a, b)$$

The posterior, $p(z|x)$, is

$$p(z|x) \propto \text{prior} \times \text{likelihood}$$

$$= p(z) \times p(x|z)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\text{Beta}(a, b)} z^{a-1} (1-z)^{b-1} \binom{n}{x} z^x (1-z)^{n-x}$$

$$g(z) \text{ (Bayes numerator)}$$

MH

Start with z_1 randomly. For each $i \gg$

① Generate $\psi \sim N(z_i, b^2)$

② Compute the acceptance probability

$$\gamma = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{g(\psi)}{g(z_i)} \frac{z(z_i|\psi)}{z(\psi|z_i)} \right\}$$

(symmetric normal)

$$= \min \left\{ 1, \frac{\psi^{a-1} (1-\psi)^{b-1} \psi^x (1-\psi)^{n-x}}{z_i^{a-1} (1-z_i)^{b-1} z_i^x (1-z_i)^{n-x}} \right\}$$

③ Let $u \sim U[0, 1]$. If $u < \gamma$, then $z_{i+1} = \psi$. Otherwise, $z_{i+1} = z_i$.

Working on the log scale

- The likelihood is typically a product of many terms.

$$p(y | \theta) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i | \theta)$$

- For numerical stability, we usually do the computations using the log of the posterior density.
- So calculate

$$\log(p(y | \theta)) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log(p(y_i | \theta))$$

Symmetric MH using the log scale

- Define $\mathcal{L}(\theta) = \log(p(\theta) p(y | \theta)) = \log(p(\theta)) + \log(p(y | \theta))$, the log of the posterior density (up to a constant).
log prior (pointing to $\log(p(\theta))$)
log likelihood (pointing to $\log(p(y | \theta))$)
- To work on the log scale, the part of the algorithm with the acceptance probability changes.
- Define

$$\delta = \min(0, \mathcal{L}(\psi) - \mathcal{L}(\theta_{i-1}))$$

acceptance probability on the log-scale

- Generate $u \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 1)$
- Set

$$\theta_{i+1} = \begin{cases} \psi & \text{if } \log(u) < \delta \\ \theta_i & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

If the proposal is symmetric, then the probability of acceptance is

$$r = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{g(\psi)}{g(\theta_i)} \right\} = \min \left\{ 1, \frac{p(\psi) p(y|\psi)}{p(\theta_i) p(y|\theta_i)} \right\}$$

On the log-scale, we compute

$$\delta = \min \left\{ 0, \frac{\log(g(\psi))}{g(\theta_i)} \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ 0, \log g(\psi) - \log g(\theta_i) \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ 0, \underbrace{\left[\log p(\psi) + \log p(y|\psi) \right]}_{L(\psi)} - \underbrace{\left[\log p(\theta_i) + \log p(y|\theta_i) \right]}_{L(\theta_i)} \right\}$$

$$= \min \left\{ 0, L(\psi) - L(\theta_i) \right\}$$

If $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \stackrel{iid}{\sim} p(y|\theta)$, then

$$\log p(y|\theta) = \log \prod_{i=1}^n p(y_i|\theta) = \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(y_i|\theta)$$

Example: Normal example with known variance

- Y_1, \dots, Y_n iid from $N(\theta, \sigma^2)$ where σ^2 is known.
- $\theta \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$ with τ^2 known. *and μ known*
- Apply the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm on the log-scale to simulate from the posterior $p(\theta | y_1, \dots, y_n)$ after observing $Y = y = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$.
- Use $q(\psi | \theta) \sim N(\theta, b^2)$ with $b = 2$, and $q(\psi | \theta) \sim U(\theta - 4, \theta + 4)$.

Example: Normal/Normal

$$y_1, \dots, y_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\theta, \sigma^2)$$

$$\theta \sim N(\mu, \tau^2)$$

MH Set θ_1 randomly. For each $i > 1$,

1) Generate $\psi \sim N(\theta_i, b^2)$

2) Compute the probability of acceptance

$$\delta = \min \left\{ 0, \frac{\mathcal{L}(\psi)}{\mathcal{L}(\theta_i)} \right\},$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi) = \log p(\psi) + \log p(y|\psi)$$

$$= \log p(\psi) + \sum_{i=1}^n \log p(y_i|\psi)$$

where

$$p(\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\tau^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(\psi - \mu)^2}{2\tau^2} \right\}$$

$$p(y_i|\psi) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{(y_i - \psi)^2}{2\sigma^2} \right\}$$

③ $U \sim U[0,1]$. If $\log(U) < \delta$, set $\theta_{i+1} = \psi$
Otherwise $\theta_{i+1} = \theta_i$

Board example: binomial data/beta prior

- Let $k = 12 \sim \text{binomial}(40, q)$, where q is the probability of success.
- $q \sim \text{beta}(2, 2)$.
- Apply the Metropolis-Hastings algorithm on the log-scale to simulate from the posterior $p(q|k)$ using normal proposal distribution with standard deviation $b = 0.06$.