

Week 9

What we'll cover (lecture 1)

A] Eigenvalues: characteristic polynomial

B] Some important properties of the characteristic polynomial and the eigenvectors

A] The following statements are equivalent

(a) λ is an eigenvalue of a $n \times n$ matrix A

(b) $(A - \lambda I)\underline{x} = \underline{0}$ has a non-trivial solution

(c) The nullspace $N(A - \lambda I)$ is non-trivial

(d) $(A - \lambda I)$ is singular

(e) $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$

(a) \Rightarrow (b) $A\underline{x} = \lambda\underline{x} \Rightarrow \underline{0} = A\underline{x} - \lambda\underline{x} = A\underline{x} - \lambda I\underline{x} \Rightarrow$
with $\underline{x} \neq \underline{0}$ $(A - \lambda I)\underline{x} = \underline{0}$

(b) \Rightarrow (c) obvious, (d) and (e) follow from the
Invertible Matrix Theorem

It is useful to start from statement (e) and
look at the determinant of $(A - \lambda I)$ as a

function of λ . By construction it is a polynomial $P_A(\lambda)$ of degree n called characteristic polynomial

of A : $P_A(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$ (definition)

The characteristic equation is $P_A(\lambda) = 0$ and

Root of the characteristic equation are exactly the eigenvalues of A

Remarks:

- (i) A $n \times n$ matrix can have at most n different eigenvalues (since $P_A(\lambda)$ has degree n).
- (ii) A $n \times n$ real matrix may not have n eigenvalues

Examples: $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ has no real eigenvalues; $\lambda = 1$ is

the only eigenvalue for the identity matrix I .

$$P_A(\lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -\lambda & -1 \\ 1 & -\lambda \end{pmatrix} = \lambda^2 + 1 \Rightarrow P_A(\lambda) = 0 \Leftrightarrow \lambda^2 = -1$$

(Instead there always is one complex eigenvalue,

thanks to the "Fundamental Theorem of Algebra").

- Once you have found an eigenvalue λ , you can find a corresponding eigenvector by solving the

linear system $A\underline{x} = \lambda\underline{x}$ for the particular λ you are considering.

$$\text{Ex 1]} \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & -6 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_\lambda(A) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -7-\lambda & -6 \\ 9 & 8-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (-7-\lambda)(8-\lambda) + 54;$$

$$P_\lambda(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \lambda^2 - \lambda - 2 = 0 \Rightarrow (\lambda - 2)(\lambda + 1) = 0$$

Clearly there are two eigenvalues $\lambda_1 = -1$, $\lambda_2 = 2$

Let us look for the corresponding eigenvectors

$\lambda_1 = -1$. $(A - \lambda_1 I)\underline{x} = 0$: the matrix associated to

this linear system is

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -7+1 & -6 & 0 \\ 9 & 8+1 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -6 & -6 & 0 \\ 9 & 9 & 0 \end{array} \right) \stackrel{\text{REF}}{\sim} \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Thus a solution is $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\text{Check } \begin{pmatrix} -7 & -6 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \end{pmatrix} = (-1) \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \checkmark$$

The null space of $(A + \lambda_1 I)$ is $\text{span} \left(\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha \\ \alpha \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

This is the eigenspace corresponding to λ_1 and any non-trivial vector in this space is an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_1 = 1$.

Similarly for $\lambda_2 = 2$ we have

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -7 & -2 & 0 \\ 9 & 8-2 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} -9 & -6 & 0 \\ 9 & 6 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{cc|c} 1 & 2/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Thus a solution is $\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}$ and

$\text{Span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \right\} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 2\alpha \\ -3\alpha \end{pmatrix} \right\}$ is the corresponding eigenspace.

$$\text{check: } \begin{pmatrix} -7 & -6 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -14 + 18 \\ 18 - 24 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 4 \\ -6 \end{pmatrix} = 2 \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \neq$$

Comment 1: Different matrices can have the same characteristic polynomial. Consider for instance

$$A' = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & +6 \\ -9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -7 & -6 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have $\det(A' - \lambda I) = \det(A - \lambda I)$

B] This is a general property of similar matrices

Recall A' and A are similar iff there exists a matrix P such that $A' = P^{-1} A P$ (in the example above $P = P^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$).

Theorem: Similar matrices have the same characteristic polynomial. Let $A' = P^{-1} A P$, we have

$$P_{A'}(\lambda) = \det(A' - \lambda I) = \det(P^{-1} A P - \lambda I) = \det[P^{-1} (A - \lambda I) P] = \det(P^{-1}) \underbrace{\det(A - \lambda I)}_{P_A(\lambda)} \det(P)$$

$$\text{Since } \det(P^{-1}) \det(P) = \det(P^{-1} P) = \det I = 1$$

$$\text{we have } P_{A'}(\lambda) = P_A(\lambda) \quad \checkmark$$

Comment 2: notice that the eigenvectors of the λ_1 eigenspace are linearly independent from those of the λ_2 eigenspace. Example

$$\underline{x}_{\lambda_1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}; \quad \underline{x}_{\lambda_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow \alpha_1 \underline{x}_1 + \alpha_2 \underline{x}_2 = 0$$

$$\text{iff } \alpha_i = 0 \quad \left(\text{for instance } \det \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} = 1 \neq 0 \right).$$

This is a general property

Theorem: If $\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n$ are eigenvectors corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$ with $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j \forall i, j$, then $\{\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n\}$ are linearly independent.

Proof by induction. Start from $n=2$ and consider $\underline{v} = \alpha_1 \underline{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \underline{v}_2$. We have either $\lambda_1 \neq 0$ or $\lambda_2 \neq 0$ (or both)

$$A(\underline{v}) = \alpha_1 A(\underline{v}_1) + \alpha_2 A(\underline{v}_2) = \alpha_1 \lambda_1 \underline{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \underline{v}_2$$

should $\underline{v} = 0$ for some α_i , we'd have

$$\alpha_1 \underline{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \underline{v}_2 = 0 \quad \begin{array}{l} \text{multiply the 1st eq. by the} \\ \lambda_i \neq 0 \text{ and subtract the} \\ \text{2nd eq.} \Rightarrow \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} \alpha_1 (\lambda_2 - \lambda_1) \underline{v}_1 = 0 \\ \text{or} \\ \alpha_2 (\lambda_1 - \lambda_2) \underline{v}_2 = 0 \end{array}$$

$$\alpha_1 \lambda_1 \underline{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \lambda_2 \underline{v}_2 = A(\underline{v}=0) = 0$$

Since by hypothesis $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ and $\underline{v}_1 \neq 0, \underline{v}_2 \neq 0$ then both equations on the right hold: for instance, the first one implies $\alpha_1 = 0$ and from $\alpha_1 \underline{v}_1 + \alpha_2 \underline{v}_2 = 0$ we get $\alpha_2 = 0$ which is the content of the second one. Thus $\underline{v} = 0$ iff so the \underline{v}_i 's are linearly independent.

Then suppose that the theorem holds for $\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_{n-1}$.

You can prove that it holds for $\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n$ by using a similar approach as above

$\underline{v} = \alpha_1 \underline{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \underline{v}_n$. If $\underline{v} = \underline{0}$ then

$$\alpha_1 \underline{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \underline{v}_n = \underline{0}$$
$$\alpha_1 \lambda_1 \underline{v}_1 + \dots + \alpha_n \lambda_n \underline{v}_n = \underline{0} \Rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \alpha_i (\lambda_n - \lambda_i) \underline{v}_i = \underline{0}$$

Since $\lambda_n - \lambda_i \neq 0$ and $\underline{v}_i \neq \underline{0} \forall i$ then $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_{n-1} = 0$

which implies $\alpha_n = 0$ and so $\{\underline{v}_1, \dots, \underline{v}_n\}$ are linearly independent.

Comment: coming back to the example above, we have

$$\underline{x}_{\lambda_1} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \underline{x}_{\lambda_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ Consider } P = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ i.e.}$$

a matrix whose column vectors are linearly independent eigenvectors. In our case $\text{rank}(P) = \dim(V) = 2$.

P is invertible $P^{-1} = - \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. We have

$$P^{-1}AP = - \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -7 & -6 \\ 9 & 8 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$- \begin{pmatrix} -3 & -2 \\ 2 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ i.e. } P^{-1}AP \text{ is}$$

a diagonal matrix whose entries are the eigenvalues!

What we'll cover (lecture 2-3)

A] Diagonalization

B] Eigenvalues/eigenvectors of abstract linear maps

C] New structures for vector spaces

Let us see why we should expect that the matrix

$P^{-1}AP$ above is diagonal. We have

$$(e_1) P \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{an eigenvector} \\ \text{for } \lambda_1 \end{array}$$

$$(e_2) P \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 2 \\ 1 & -3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ -3 \end{pmatrix} \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{an eigenvector} \\ \text{for } \lambda_2 \end{array}$$

In other words P is the transition matrix from the eigenvector basis $E = \{ \underline{v}_1, \underline{v}_2 \}$ to the canonical

basis $C = \{ \underline{x}_1, \underline{x}_2 \}$ of \mathbb{R}^2 : $P = [\text{id}]_C^E = P_{E,C}$

Then P takes the coordinates of \underline{v}_1 according to the basis E (i.e. $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$) to the coordinates of the same vector according to the basis C (where

A reads $\begin{pmatrix} -7 & -6 \\ 8 & 9 \end{pmatrix}$), see (e₁). Similarly for \underline{v}_2 .

So $A' = P^{-1}AP$ is just an example of the change of basis formula see in Week 8

$$P^{-1} A P \leftrightarrow \begin{bmatrix} \text{id} \end{bmatrix}_E^C [A]_C \begin{bmatrix} \text{id} \end{bmatrix}_C^E = [A]_E^E \rightarrow A'_{ij}$$

seeing A' as the same linear transformation defined by A , but now in the E -basis. In this basis we have

$$A \underline{v}_1 = \lambda_1 \underline{v}_1 \xrightarrow{E\text{-basis}} A' \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A' = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & * \\ 0 & * \end{pmatrix}$$

$$A \underline{v}_2 = \lambda_2 \underline{v}_2 \xrightarrow{E\text{-basis}} A' \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \lambda_2 \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow A' = \begin{pmatrix} * & 0 \\ * & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\text{Thus } A' = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

\Rightarrow This is a general property, which I state without a formal proof. Theorem: a $n \times n$ matrix is diagonalisable (i.e. it is similar to a diagonal matrix) iff it has n -linearly independent vectors:

$$P^{-1} A P = D \leftarrow \text{diagonal}$$

The column vectors of P are the eigenvectors of A (in the C -basis) and the non-trivial entries of D are the eigenvalues.

$$E_x \ 1] \quad A = \begin{pmatrix} -7 & 3 & -3 \\ -9 & 5 & -3 \\ 9 & -3 & 5 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$P_A(\lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -7-\lambda & 3 & -3 \\ -9 & 5-\lambda & -3 \\ 9 & -3 & 5-\lambda \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$(-7-\lambda) \left((5-\lambda)^2 - 9 \right) - 3 \left(-9(5-\lambda) + 27 \right) - 3 \left(+27 - 9(5-\lambda) \right) =$$

$$(-7-\lambda) \left((5-\lambda)+3 \right) \left((5-\lambda)-3 \right) + 54 \left((5-\lambda)-3 \right) =$$

$$(2-\lambda) \left((-7-\lambda)(8-\lambda) + 54 \right) = (2-\lambda) (\lambda^2 - \lambda - 2) =$$

$$(2-\lambda) \left((\lambda-2)(\lambda+1) \right) = -(\lambda-2)^2 (\lambda+1)$$

The eigenvalues are $\lambda_1 = -1$, $\lambda_2 = 2$

The eigenvectors corresponding to λ_1 satisfy

$$(A + I) \underline{v} = 0 \Rightarrow \text{non-trivial elements of } N(A+I)$$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} -7-\lambda & 3 & -3 & 0 \\ -9 & 5-\lambda & -3 & 0 \\ 9 & -3 & 5-\lambda & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} -6 & 3 & -3 & 0 \\ -9 & 6 & -3 & 0 \\ 9 & -3 & 6 & 0 \end{array} \right) \stackrel{\text{REF}}{\sim} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$\underline{x} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ is a solution and } N(A+I) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

Similarly for λ_2 we have $(A - 2I) \underline{v} = 0 \Rightarrow$

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} -7-\lambda_2 & 3 & -3 & 0 \\ -9 & 5-\lambda_2 & -3 & 0 \\ 9 & -3 & 5-\lambda_2 & 0 \end{array} \right) = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} -9 & 3 & -3 & 0 \\ -9 & 3 & -3 & 0 \\ 9 & -3 & 3 & 0 \end{array} \right) \xrightarrow{\text{REF}} \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & -1/3 & 1/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Then $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix}$ are independent solutions

$$\text{and } N(A - 2I) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ 0 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

We have 3 linearly independent eigenvectors so

A is diagonalisable

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 2/3 & -1/3 \\ 1 & -1/3 & 2/3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -7 & 3 & -3 \\ -9 & 5 & -3 \\ 9 & -3 & 5 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Ex 2 Are all matrices diagonalisable? NO!

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad P_A(\lambda) = (1-\lambda)^2$$

It has one eigenvalue $\lambda_1 = 1$. The eigenvectors

are in $N(A - I) = N \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. This

space is one-dimensional $N(A) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

So there is just one eigenvector

Ex 3: $A = \begin{pmatrix} -6 & 3 & -2 \\ -7 & 5 & -1 \\ 8 & -3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \Rightarrow P_A(\lambda) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -6-\lambda & 3 & -2 \\ -7 & 5-\lambda & -1 \\ 8 & -3 & 4-\lambda \end{pmatrix}$

$$P_A(\lambda) = -\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2 - 4 = -(\lambda+1)(\lambda^2 - 4\lambda + 4) =$$

\swarrow $\lambda = -1$ is a solution \rightarrow

$$= -(\lambda+1)(\lambda-2)^2 \dots \text{same } P_A(\lambda) \text{ as before!}$$

However $N(A - 2I)$ is obtained from

$$\left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} -8 & 3 & -2 & 0 \\ -7 & 3 & -1 & 0 \\ 8 & -3 & 2 & 0 \end{array} \right) \text{ REF } \sim \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Only one independent solution $N(A - 2I) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$

Thus A it is not diagonalisable!

It is still interesting to write A in a basis

that contains as many eigenvectors as possible

$$N(A - \lambda_1 I) \text{ follows from } \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} -5 & 3 & -2 & 0 \\ -7 & 6 & 1 & 0 \\ 8 & -3 & 5 & 0 \end{array} \right) \text{ REF } \sim \left(\begin{array}{ccc|c} 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

$$N(A+I) = \text{span} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

$$\text{Choose } E = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} -1 \\ -2 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

not an
eigen vector

$$A' = P^{-1} A P = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 12 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } P = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The matrix A' is upper triangular!

(We'll come back to this point...)

Theorem: The eigenvalues of a triangular matrix are its diagonal entries

The proof is straightforward from $P_A(A)$

B] Let us consider an abstract real vector space V and a linear map $L: V \rightarrow V$. We defined the eigenvector/eigenvalue equation in this case as well

$$L \underline{v} = \lambda \underline{v} \text{ with } \underline{v} \in V \text{ \& } \underline{v} \neq 0, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$$

We can find the eigenvalues simply introducing a basis C for V and solving the problem for

the associated matrix $A = [L]_C^C$.

Remark: The eigenvalues of A do not depend on the choice of basis; different choices yield similar matrices and so the same characteristic polynomial. Then one can find the eigenvectors \underline{x}_i of A as above and reconstruct the abstract vectors \underline{v}_i such that $[\underline{v}_i]_C = \underline{x}_i$

c] Let us now introduce a new structure defined on the elements of a real vector space V : the norm.

Definition: A norm is map from V to \mathbb{R} satisfying the following properties

(a1) • the norm of $\underline{v} \in V$ (indicated by $\|\underline{v}\|$) is non-negative $\|\underline{v}\| \geq 0 \quad \forall \underline{v} \in V$

(a2) • $\|\underline{v}\| = 0$ iff $\underline{v} = \underline{0}$

(a3) • $\|\alpha \underline{v}\| = |\alpha| \|\underline{v}\|$ for any $\underline{v} \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$

(a4) • $\|\underline{v} + \underline{w}\| \leq \|\underline{v}\| + \|\underline{w}\|$ for any $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in V$ (triangle inequality)

Ex 1] A norm in \mathbb{R}^2 , $\underline{v} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{pmatrix}$, is

$$\|\underline{x}\| = (x_1^2 + x_2^2)^{1/2}$$

(21)-(23) obvious. (24) see later

Ex 2] Another norm in \mathbb{R}^2 $\|\underline{x}\| = |x_1| + |x_2|$

(21)-(23) obvious. (24) let $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\underline{x} - \underline{y}\| &= \left\| \begin{pmatrix} x_1 - y_1 \\ x_2 - y_2 \end{pmatrix} \right\| = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2| \leq \\ &(|x_1| + |y_1|) + (|x_2| + |y_2|) \leq \|\underline{x}\| + \|\underline{y}\| \quad \forall \end{aligned}$$

Definition: a unit vector is a vector with norm 1

If $\underline{v} \neq \underline{0}$ then $\frac{1}{\|\underline{v}\|} \underline{v}$ is a unit vector (check it!)

Definition: the distance between $\underline{x}, \underline{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with respect to the norm $\|\cdot\|$ is $d(\underline{x}, \underline{y}) = \|\underline{x} - \underline{y}\|$,

sometime I'll refer to $\|\underline{x} - \underline{y}\|$ also as the length of $\underline{x} - \underline{y}$

A finer structure is that of an inner product of a (real) vector space V . It is a map from

$V \times V$ to \mathbb{R} (indicated with $\langle \underline{v}, \underline{w} \rangle \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\underline{v}, \underline{w} \in V$) with the following properties

$$(b_1) \cdot \langle \underline{v}, \underline{w} \rangle = \langle \underline{w}, \underline{v} \rangle$$

$$(b_2) \cdot \langle \underline{v}_1 + \underline{v}_2, \underline{w} \rangle = \langle \underline{v}_1, \underline{w} \rangle + \langle \underline{v}_2, \underline{w} \rangle$$

$$(b_3) \cdot \langle \alpha \underline{v}, \underline{w} \rangle = \alpha \langle \underline{v}, \underline{w} \rangle$$

$$(b_4) \cdot \langle \underline{v}, \underline{v} \rangle \geq 0 \quad \forall \underline{v} \in V$$

$$(b_5) \cdot \langle \underline{v}, \underline{v} \rangle = 0 \quad \text{iiff} \quad \underline{v} = \underline{0}$$