

In the problem sheet solutions, I usually write in quite a lot of detail giving lots of explanation and links to other material from the module. Since this is an assessment I have written these solutions in a somewhat terser style. You can think of these as the minimum needed for the marker to give full marks with no hesitation. Of course you might get away with a bit less detail. Also there are often several ways to do a question, so your answer may not look like mine but still be worth full marks. I will give brief individual feedback along with marking and you are welcome to ask about your work by email or in a learning support hour.

1.

(a)

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0.45 & 0.45 & 0.09 & 0.01 \\ 0.2 & 0.8 & 0 & 0 \\ 0.5 & 0 & 0 & 0.5 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- (b) In Markov chain terms, this is asking for the expectation of the number of visits to state 1 before absorption in state 4. We can calculate this using first-step analysis (Theorem 3.2).
- (c) Let $w(1) = 1$, $w(2) = w(3) = 0$ and Let $V = \sum_{t=0}^{T-1} w(X_t)$ be the number of visits to state 1. Let $b_i = \mathbb{E}(V \mid X_0 = i)$ be the expected number of visits to state 1 given that we start in state i . Clearly $b_4 = 0$ and using Theorem 3.2 (or conditioning on the first step) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} b_1 &= 1 + 0.45b_1 + 0.45b_2 + 0.09b_3 \\ b_2 &= 0.2b_1 + 0.8b_2 \\ b_3 &= 0.5b_1 \end{aligned}$$

From the second equation $0.2b_2 = 0.2b_1$ so $b_1 = b_2$.

Using this and the third equation in the first equation:

$$b_1 = 1 + \frac{9}{20}b_1 + \frac{9}{20}b_1 + \frac{9}{200}b_1$$

So $\frac{11}{200}b_1 = 1$ and $b_1 = \frac{200}{11}$.

- (d) This says that for the lifetime of the machine up to when it explodes, the machine spends more days running smoothly than it does running with adjustments needed.

2.

- (a) This Markov chain is regular as there is a 2-step path between any two states (equivalently, the square of the transition matrix has no 0 entries). So by Theorem 4.7 it has a limiting distribution. Now that we know the limiting distribution exists, Theorem 4.6 tells us that it is also the unique equilibrium distribution so we can find it by solving $\mathbf{w}P = \mathbf{w}$ (where P is the transition matrix).
- (b) The matrix equation $\mathbf{w}P = \mathbf{w}$ gives:

$$\begin{aligned} w_1 &= \frac{1}{2}w_1 + \frac{1}{7}w_2 \\ w_2 &= \frac{1}{2}w_1 + \frac{1}{3}w_3 + \frac{2}{3}w_4 \\ w_3 &= \frac{3}{7}w_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_3 + \frac{1}{6}w_4 \\ w_4 &= \frac{3}{7}w_2 + \frac{1}{3}w_3 + \frac{1}{6}w_4 \end{aligned}$$

From the last two equations $w_3 = w_4$. From the first equation $w_2 = \frac{7}{2}w_1$. From these and the second equation

$$\frac{7}{2}w_1 = \frac{1}{2}w_1 + w_3$$

and so $w_3 = 3w_1$. So the limiting distribution has the form

$$(w_1 \quad \frac{7}{2}w_1 \quad 3w_1 \quad 3w_1)$$

Since $\mathbf{w}$ must be a probability vector we have $\frac{21}{2}w_1 = 1$ so $w_1 = \frac{2}{21}$. So

$$\mathbf{w} = \left(\frac{2}{21} \quad \frac{7}{21} \quad \frac{6}{21} \quad \frac{6}{21} \right) = \left(\frac{2}{21} \quad \frac{1}{3} \quad \frac{2}{7} \quad \frac{2}{7} \right)$$

- (c) By the definition of a limiting distribution $p_{1,1}^{(t)}$ and $p_{2,1}^{(t)}$ both tend to $w_1 = \frac{2}{21}$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. So these two probabilities will both be very close to $\frac{2}{21}$.
- (d) The chance of the economy being in recession many months in the future will be very close to $\frac{2}{21}$. Knowing the state of the economy now will not affect this prediction.

- (e) If we observe the economy for a long time then we expect the proportion of months for which it is in recession to be about $\frac{2}{21}$. (This is a consequence of Theorem 4.9.)

(An alternative answer (this time using Theorem 5.3) would be: Suppose that the economy is in recession, the expectation of the number of months until it is in recession again is $\frac{21}{2}$.

3. This question is really too individual to give a model answer for; I'm interested to read what you picked out. Here are a few things that might occur as mathematical tips.

- Draw a transition graph to see what is going on.
- Do a special case first (eg $t = 1$ rather than all t).
- Make sure that you understand the definitions of all mathematical terms in the question.
- Look up relevant background material in your first and/or second year notes.
- ... many other possibilities.

Please let me know if you have any comments or corrections

Robert Johnson
r.johnson@qmul.ac.uk