# Lecture 6A MTH6102: Bayesian Statistical Methods

Eftychia Solea

Queen Mary University of London

2023

Today's lecture will cover

- Learn the different types of prior information.
- Be able to make a reasonable choice of prior, based on external data.

- Bayesians make inferences using the posterior and therefore always need a prior.
- Important question: Where does one get the prior  $p(\theta)$ ?
- If a prior is not known with certainty the Bayesian must try to make a reasonable choice. There are many ways to do this and people might make different choices.
- It is a good practice to do a sensitivity analysis to explore how posterior is affected by differences in prior.

### Uninformative or noninformative prior distributions

- Suppose we have no idea of what the prior might be.
- In this case, we can define some sort of "noninformative prior" also known as vague
- No unique way of specifying an uninformative prior distribution.
- An obvious candidate for a noninformative prior is to use a flat prior, i.e., uniform over some range

 $p(\theta) \propto c$ 

where c > 0.

It is flat relative to the likelihood.

# Uninformative or noninformative prior distributions

- With a flat prior, the posterior p(θ|y) is proportional to the likelihood as functions of θ, so they have the same shape (but not necessarily the same scale)
- For some simple problems e.g beta/binomial or normal/normal, a flat prior gives similar answers to likelihood-based inference (classical statistics).

Uniform prior density on 0 to 1 for a probability q as an example of a flat prior. Data is k "successes" out of n trials. Recall the uniform is the beta(1,1) density

 With uniform prior, posterior mean for q is
k+1

$$\frac{1}{n+2}$$

• This pulls estimates away from 0 or 1 if k is close to 0 or n.



## Example: Uniform prior/binomial likelihood

Bent coin with unknown probability  $\theta$ . Flat prior:  $p(\theta) = 1$  on [0, 1]Data: toss 27 times and get 15 heads.

• The posterior density beta(16,13) is proportional to the binomial likelihood

$$p(\theta|k=15) \propto \theta^{15} (1-\theta)^{12}$$

- As functions of  $\theta, \ p(\theta|k=15)$  and the binomial likelihood have the same shape.
- With *n* large the binomial likelihood becomes symmetric and peaked around the MLE
- With *n* large the posterior mean approaches to the MLE.

#### Example: Uniform prior/binomial likelihood

Left: posterior density, Right: likelihood plotted as functions of  $\theta$ 



- An improper prior is one that doesn't have a finite integral which makes it improper density.
- ${\, \bullet \, }$  Examples are flat priors  $p(\theta) \propto c \mbox{ on } 0$  to  $\infty$  since

$$\int_0^\infty p(\theta)d\theta = c \int_0^\infty 1d\theta = \infty.$$

- In many cases you can still use Bayes theorem and the resulting "posterior distribution" does have a finite integral.
- In general, improper priors are not a problem as long as the resulting posterior is a well-defined density.
- We only use proper priors in this module.

- Suppose a parameter must be positive, e.g. a standard deviation σ.
- We could choose a uniform prior on [0, c] for some large c (otherwise this would lead to an improper prior)
- *c* would be chosen as larger than any plausible value for *σ*.



#### What about transformations of $\theta$ ?

- If we specify a uniform prior on [0,c] for  $\sigma,$  what is the prior for e.g.  $\sigma^2=g(\sigma)?$
- Recall, the shape of a probability density changes under non-linear monotonic transformations of the random variable.
- Suppose we have continuous random variables X and Y with pdf  $f_y(x)$  and  $f_y(y)$ , respectively. Let Y = g(X), where g is a monotonic function, then

$$f_Y(y) = \left| \frac{d}{dy} g^{-1}(y) \right| f_X(g^{-1}(y))$$

- So if  $f_X$  is constant and g is non-linear, then  $f_Y$  is not constant.
- Flat priors are not invariant under nonlinear transformations.
- A flat prior on  $\theta$  does not imply a flat prior on  $\psi = g(\theta)$ .

# Example

• Uniform prior for  $\sigma$  on [0, c].

• The prior for  $\sigma^2$  is not uniform.



$$\frac{1}{2\sqrt{\sigma^2}}$$

on  $[0, c^2]$ .

 $\Rightarrow A \text{ flat prior on } \sigma \text{ does}$ not imply a flat prior on  $\sigma^2$ .



• Uniform prior for  $\sigma$  on [0, c]. 0.8 Probability density 0.6-• The prior for  $\log(\sigma)$  is not uniform 0.4 It's proportional to  $e^{\log(\sigma)}$ 0.2 on  $[-\infty, \log(c)]$ . 0.0+ -2 2 -4 0

1.0 -

 $log(\sigma)$ 

- Let  $x \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$
- Flat prior: f(p) = 1,  $p \in (0, 1)$
- This flat prior represents our lack of information about p before the experiment.
- Now, let  $\psi = \log \frac{p}{1-p}$ , the log of odds.
- What is the prior of  $\psi$ ?
- But if we use a flat prior about θ, we would like to use a flat prior for ψ. So could we use a flat prior for ψ?

- Jeffrey Harrison came up with a rule for creating noninformative priors that are invariant under nonlinear, smooth and monotonic transformations g.
- Let x data generated from the likelihood,  $p(x|\theta)$
- The Jeffreys prior,  $p_J(\theta)$  of  $\theta$  is a noninformative prior of  $\theta$  defined by

 $p_J(\theta) = c_1 \sqrt{I(\theta)},$ 

where  $c_1 > 0$  and  $I(\theta)$  is the Fisher information function given by (under some regularity conditions)

$$I(\theta) = -E\left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2}\log p(X|\theta)\right]$$

and  $p(X|\theta)$  is the likelihood.

- If  $\int_{\theta} \sqrt{I(\theta)} d\theta < \infty$ , then  $c_1$  is taken to be  $\left(\int_{\theta} \sqrt{I(\theta)} d\theta\right)^{-1}$  so that  $p(\theta)$  is a proper density.
- Otherwise, if the integral is infinite, the constant c<sub>1</sub> is left unspecified and the prior p(θ) is an improper prior pdf of θ.

• Jeffreys' prior is invariant to smooth monotone transformations of the parameter,  $\psi = g(\theta)$ , since

$$I(\psi) = I(\theta) \left(\frac{d\theta}{d\psi}\right)^2$$

٠

• Hence, a Jeffreys prior for  $\theta$  leads to a Jeffreys prior for  $\psi=g(\theta)$  for g smooth monotone transformations

# Jeffrey prior for beta/binomial model

- Let x ~ Binomial(n, q), where q is the probability of success.
- Show that the Jeffreys' prior is Beta(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>, <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub>) (similar to uniform or beta(1,1)),

 $p(q) \propto q^{-1/2} (1-q)^{-1/2}$ 

 What is the posterior mean of q under the Binomial likelihood and Jeffreys prior?

$$\frac{k+1/2}{n+1}$$



Bent coin with unknown probability  $\theta$ . Jeffreys prior for  $\theta$  on [0, 1]Data: toss 27 times and get 15 heads.

• What is the posterior distribution and posterior mean of *q* under the Binomial likelihood and Jeffreys prior?

Let  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  iid from  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  where  $\sigma^2$  is known.

Show that the Jeffreys prior for the normal likelihood is

$$p(\mu) = c_1 \sqrt{n/\sigma^2}, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

for some constant  $c_1 > 0$ .

- Is this a proper prior or improrer prior?
- Derive the posterior density for  $\mu$  under the normal likelihood  $N(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and Jeffreys prior for  $\mu$ .

- Informative priors include some judgement concerning plausible values of the parameters based on external information.
- Informative priors can be based on pure judgement, a mixture of data and judgement, or external data alone.
- An informative prior distribution os one in which the probability mass is concentrated in some subset of the possible range for the parameters.

- There are many ways to build an informative prior. For example, using summary statistics, published estimates, intervals or standard errors.
- We can match these quantities to the mean, median standard deviation or percentiles of the prior distribution.

- Let  $t_1, \ldots, t_n \sim \mathsf{Exp}(\lambda)$  denote the lifetimes of lightbulbs.
- The gamma distribution provides a conjugate prior for  $\lambda$  (failure rate)
- Suppose we have external information from other similar bulbs with observed failure rates  $r_1, \ldots, r_K$ .
- Let m and u be the mean and variance of  $r_1, \ldots, r_K$ , respectively.
- We want to build a gamma( $\alpha, \beta$ ) distribution that for  $\lambda$  using this prior information.

#### Example: Building an informative prior

- $\bullet\,$  We can use the method of moments to match the mean and the variance of the gamma distribution with the corresponding m and u
- That is

$$m = \frac{\alpha}{\beta}, \quad u = \frac{\alpha}{\beta^2}$$

• Solve for  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ 

$$\beta = \frac{m}{u}, \quad \alpha = \frac{m^2}{u}$$

• Thus, our prior for  $\lambda$  is gamma $(\frac{m^2}{u}, \frac{m}{u})$ .

- Instead of trying to make the prior completely uniformative, an alternative is to convey some information about the plausible range of the parameters, e.g., exclude implausible values.
- Otherwise let the data speak for themselves.
- For models with large numbers of parameters, adding a little prior information may help with numerical stability.