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## Today's agenda

Today's lecture will

- Compute posterior distribution for transformed parameters and multiple parameters
- Compute posterior estimates and intervals for transformed parameters and multiple parameters.


## Transformed parameters

- Suppose we have arrived at a posterior distribution $p(\theta \mid y)$ for a parameter $\theta$.
- Let $\psi=g(\theta)$ be a monotonic, increasing transformation of $\theta$, e.g., $\psi=\log (\theta), \sqrt{\theta}$ or $\theta^{3}$.
- Questions:
- How do we make inferences about $\psi$ ?
- Which posterior summary statements about $\theta$ carry over to $\psi$ ?
-E.g. if $\tilde{\theta}$ is the posterior mean for $\theta$, is $g(\tilde{\theta})$ the posterior mean for $\psi$ ?


## Transforming random variables

- The shape of a probability density changes under nonlinear transformations of the random variable.
- Let $g$ be a monotonic function.
- Suppose we have random variables $X$ and $Y$ with $Y=g(X)$.
- Their pdfs are related by

$$
f_{X}(x)=\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| f_{Y}(g(x))
$$

## Example: Posterior of transformed parameters

- Bent coin with probability of success $\theta$
- Flat prior on $\theta: p(\theta)=1$ for all $\theta \in[0,1]$.
- $k=5$ heads in $n=6$ tosses.
- Find the posterior distribution of $\theta$
- Find the posterior distribution of $\psi=\theta^{3}$.


## Mean of transformed parameters

- Mean is not preserved by the transformation since for a nonlinear $g$

$$
E(g(X)) \neq g(E(X))
$$

- The posterior density changes shape, so the mode is not preserved by the transformation.
- Also the endpoints of the highest posterior density credible intervals are not preserved.


## Quantiles of transformed parameters

- Quantiles are preserved under nonlinear monotone transformations, so median is preserved.
- If $\theta_{m}$ be the posterior median for $\theta$, then $g\left(\theta_{m}\right)$ is the posterior median for $\psi$.
- Similarly, equal tail credible intervals are preserved
- If $\left(q_{0.025}, q_{0.975}\right)$ is a 0.95 -credible intervals for $\theta$, then $\left(g\left(q_{0.025}, g\left(q_{0.975}\right)\right)\right.$ is a 0.95 -credible intervals for $\psi$.


## More than one parameter

- We have covered one-parameter examples so far.
- We have considered conjugate priors - the simplest examples have one unknown parameter.
- Computational methods allow models with many parameters.
- And priors don't need to be conjugate.


## Multiple parameters

- Let $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{K}\right)$ be a vector of parameters.
- Then we can still use Bayes' theorem to compute the joint posterior

$$
p\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{K} \mid y\right) \propto p\left(\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{K}\right) p\left(y \mid \theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{K}\right)
$$

- We still base our estimates on the joint posterior $p(\theta \mid y)$.
- For predictions of future data, we use the entire joint distribution.


## Marginal distribution

- For point estimates of individual parameters, we typically use the marginal distribution.
- For example, if $\theta=\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3}\right)$, the marginal posterior distribution for $\theta_{1}$ is

$$
p\left(\theta_{1} \mid y\right)=\iint p\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \theta_{3} \mid y\right) d \theta_{2} d \theta_{3}
$$

- The computational methods used for Bayesian inference make going from joint to marginal distribution easy.
- No need to explicitly evaluate the integral.


## Example: comparing two Binomials

- In a clinical trial, suppose we have $n_{1}$ control patients and $n_{2}$ treatment patients.
- $x_{1}$ control patients survive and $x_{2}$ treatment patients survive.
- Then

$$
X_{1} \sim \operatorname{binomial}\left(n_{1}, p_{1}\right) \quad X_{2} \sim \operatorname{binomial}\left(n_{2}, p_{2}\right)
$$

- We want to estimate $\tau=P\left(p_{2}<p_{1}\right)$.


## Example: comparing two Binomials

- The prior is $f\left(p_{1}, p_{2}\right)=1, \quad 0<p_{1}<1,0<p_{2}<1$
- The posterior is

$$
f\left(p_{1}, p_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \propto p_{1}^{x_{1}}\left(1-p_{1}\right)^{n_{1}-x_{1}} p_{2}^{x_{2}}\left(1-p_{2}\right)^{n_{2}-x_{2}}
$$

- Notice that $p_{1}, p_{2}$ live on a square, and that

$$
f\left(p_{1}, p_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \propto f\left(p_{1} \mid x_{1}\right) f\left(p_{2} \mid x_{2}\right),
$$

where $f\left(p_{1} \mid x_{1}\right)=p_{1}^{x_{1}}\left(1-p_{1}\right)^{n_{1}-x_{1}}, f\left(p_{2} \mid x_{2}\right)=p_{2}^{x_{2}}\left(1-p_{2}\right)^{n_{2}-x_{2}}$.

- Thus, $p_{1}$ and $p_{2}$ are independent under the posterior


## Simulation

- Notice that $f\left(p_{1} \mid x_{1}\right) \sim \operatorname{beta}\left(x_{1}+1, n_{1}-x_{1}+1\right)$, $f\left(p_{2} \mid x_{2}\right) \sim \operatorname{beta}\left(x_{2}+1, n_{2}-x_{2}+1\right)$
- Let $P_{11}, \ldots, P_{1 B}$ a random sample from beta $\left(x_{1}+1, n_{1}-x_{1}+1\right)$
- Let $P_{21}, \ldots, P_{2 B}$ a random sample from beta $\left(x_{2}+1, n_{2}-x_{2}+1\right)$
- Then $\left(P_{1 i}, P_{2 i}\right), i=1, \ldots, B$ is a sample from $f\left(p_{1}, p_{2} \mid x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$.
- We estimate $\tau$ by counting the proportion of pairs $\left(P_{1 i}, P_{2 i}\right)$ such that $P_{2 i}<P_{1 i}$

