

## lecture 2 Congruence equation

Solve for  $2x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$

Trivial & Error:

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{5}, \quad 2x \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}, \quad 2x \equiv 2 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}, \quad 2x \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}, \quad 2x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$$

Tedious!

In this case, one can do

$$x = [3]_5 \times [2]_5^{-1},$$

but this is not possible when 5 is replaced by a non-prime number

Prop 3:  $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$  &  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . The equation

$ax \equiv d \pmod{n}$  is solvable iff

$$\text{gcd}(a, n) \mid d.$$

Ex: The previous example:  $\text{gcd}(2, 5) = 1$   
&  $1 \mid 3$ .

## Proof

Let  $\exists x$  s.t.

$$ax \equiv d \pmod{n}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow n \mid ax - d \Leftrightarrow \exists q \in \mathbb{Z}$$

$$\text{s.t. } ax + qn = d.$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \text{gcd}(a, n) \mid d.$$

Prop 2

last week

Ex: Solve  $6x \equiv 5 \pmod{4}$ .

Not solvable as  $\text{gcd}(6, 4) = 2 \nmid 5$

Ex: Solve  $6x \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

solvable as  $\text{gcd}(6, 4) = 2 \mid 2$ .

To find the solution we need to find  $q$  s.t.  $6x + 4q = 2$

$$\Leftrightarrow 3x + 2q = 1 \Leftrightarrow 3x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$\Rightarrow x = [3]_2^{-1} = 1.$$

# The Chinese Remainder theorem

What about a system of congruence equation? e.g.

$$\begin{aligned}5x &\equiv 3 \pmod{9} \\ 6x &\equiv 5 \pmod{7}\end{aligned}$$

What's the general way to determine whether the above system is solvable

Theorem (CRT for 2 equations)

Let  $\text{GCD}(m, n) = 1$ . Then there is a solution to

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv a \pmod{m} \\ x &\equiv b \pmod{n}\end{aligned}$$

$a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$

The solution is unique mod  $mn$ .

Proof: (Constructive proof)

As  $\text{GCD}(m, n) = 1$ ,  $\exists r, s$  s.t.

$$mr + ns = 1$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}mr &\equiv 1 \pmod{n} \\ ns &\equiv 1 \pmod{m}\end{aligned}$$

Let  $x = mr + ns a$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv ns a \pmod{m} \\ &\equiv 1 \cdot a \equiv a \pmod{m} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $x \equiv b \pmod{n}$ .

To check uniqueness, let  $x$  &  $y$  are solutions to the above system.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } \left. \begin{aligned} x &\equiv a \pmod{m} \\ y &\equiv a \pmod{m} \end{aligned} \right\} &\Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{m} \\ &\Downarrow \\ &m \mid x - y \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,  $n \mid x - y$ .

Using the following exercise we check that  $mn \mid x - y$  as  $\text{GCD}(m, n) = 1$   
 $\Rightarrow x \equiv y \pmod{mn}$

Exercise: Let  $\text{GCD}(m, n) = 1$  and  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ . If  $m \mid a$  &  $n \mid a$ , then  $mn \mid a$

Hint: Use fundamental theorem of arithmetic.

More generally, we have

Theorem (Non-examinable proof)

Let  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_p \in \mathbb{N}$  s.t.

$$\text{GCD}(n_i, n_j) = 1 \quad \forall 1 \leq i \neq j \leq p.$$

Let  $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $\exists x$  unique up to modulo  $n_1 \times \dots \times n_p$  s.t.

$$x \equiv a_i \pmod{n_i}$$

# Non ad-hoc:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} x \equiv a \pmod{m} \\ x \equiv b \pmod{n} \end{array} \right\} \text{re-write}$$

$$r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{Z},$$

$$x = a + r_1 m = b + r_2 n$$

$$r_1 m - r_2 n = b - a$$

solvable as  $\text{GCD}(m, n) = 1 \mid b - a$

# Not always true in  $\text{GCD}(m, n)$

is not 1.

$$x \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \quad \text{has no sol}^n.$$

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$$

If  $4 \mid x - 2 \Rightarrow x = 2 + 4q_1 \Rightarrow x$  is even

$$\text{If } 6 \mid (x-3) \Rightarrow x = 3 + 6r_2 \Rightarrow x \text{ is odd.}$$

Exercise : Solve

$$x \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$$

$$x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}$$

$$x \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$$

CRT for first two equations :

$$2 \times 3 + (-1) \times 5 = 1$$

$$\text{So } x = 2 \times 3 \times 4 + (-1) \times 5 \times 1$$

$$= 24 - 5 = 19$$

Any  $x \equiv 19$  satisfies first two equations.

For the third equation we solve

$$x \equiv 19 \pmod{10}$$

$$x \equiv -2 \pmod{7}$$

$$\text{We have } 10 \times (-2) + 7 \times 3 = 1$$

$$\text{So } x = 10 \times (-2) \times (-2) + 7 \times 3 \times 19$$

$$= 40 + 399 = 439.$$

Theorem:  $\exists$  infinitely many prime numbers  $\rightarrow p \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$

Pf: Let  $\exists$  finitely many  $p_1, \dots, p_k$  that are  $\equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ .

Consider  $N = 4p_1 \times \dots \times p_k - 1$

Note: 1)  $N \equiv -1 \pmod{4} \Rightarrow 2 \nmid N$

2)  $p_i \nmid N \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq k$

So if  $N$  is a prime then we arrive at a contradiction.

Let  $N$  be not a prime. By FTA

$N = q_1 \times \dots \times q_m$ ,  $q_j$  prime.

By the above  $q_j$  must be  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

as  $q_j \neq 2 \Rightarrow$  odd

$q_j \not\equiv -1 \pmod{4}$

But then  $N \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Contradiction!

Remark: Try to prove similarly that  $\exists$  infinitely many prime  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ .

## # Digression (Non-exam)

1) One needs deep mathematics (Complex analysis) to see that  $\exists$  infinitely many prime of the form  $p \equiv a \pmod{q}$  with  $\gcd(a, q) = 1$ .

Google : Dirichlet theorem on primes in arithmetic progression

2)  $\exists$  infinitely many primes.

$$\# \{ p : p \leq x \} \approx \frac{x}{\log x}$$

OTOH there is slight bias in the counts

$$\# \{ p \leq x : p \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \}$$

$$\# \{ p \leq x : p \equiv -1 \pmod{4} \}$$

Difference of the above  $\approx \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x} \log \log \log x$



## Exercise

The goal is to show that  $\exists$  infinitely many primes that are  $(-1) \pmod{4}$

1) Assume  $p_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , are primes s.t.  $p_i \equiv -1 \pmod{4}$

$$\text{Let } N = 4 p_1 \times p_2 \times \dots \times p_k - 1$$

show that if  $p$  is a prime factor of  $N$  then  $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$

2) Assume  $\exists$  only finitely many primes that are  $\equiv -1 \pmod{4}$ . Using

(1) arrive at a contradiction

3) Can you prove similarly that there are infinitely many primes  $\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ?

4) Use the same argument to prove there are infinite by many primes, but not using (2).