## MTH6140 Linear Algebra II

## **Coursework 10 Solutions**

**1.** (a) Suppose  $w, w' \in V$  and  $c \in \mathbb{K}$ . We need to show that  $\alpha^*(w + w') = \alpha^*(w) + \alpha^*(w')$  and  $\alpha^*(cw) = c\alpha^*(w)$ . For all  $v \in V$  we have

$$v \cdot \alpha^*(w + w') = \alpha(v) \cdot (w + w')$$
  
=  $\alpha(v) \cdot w + \alpha(v) \cdot w'$   
=  $v \cdot \alpha^*(w) + v \cdot \alpha^*(w')$   
=  $v \cdot (\alpha^*(w) + \alpha^*(w')),$ 

where the first and third equalities are from the definition of adjoint, the second and fourth use linearity of inner product. Also

$$v \cdot \alpha^*(cw) = \alpha(v) \cdot (cw) = c(\alpha(v) \cdot w) = c(v \cdot \alpha^*(w)) = v \cdot (c\alpha^*(w)).$$

Again, the first and third equalities are from the definition of adjoint, the second and fourth use linearity of inner product.

Note that if  $v \cdot w = v \cdot w'$  for all  $v \in V$  then w = w'. This is because the representation of a linear functional  $\varphi : V \to \mathbb{R}$  in the form  $\varphi(v) = v \cdot w$ , for some fixed  $w \in V$ , is unique.

(b) For all  $v \in V$  we have

$$v \cdot \alpha^{**}(w) = v \cdot (\alpha^{*})^{*}(w) = \alpha^{*}(v) \cdot w = v \cdot \alpha(w),$$

and the claim follows, as before.

**2.** A matrix representing a self-adjoint linear map is symmetric, so that the missing entries in rows 1, 2 and 3 of matrix A are respectively -1, -3 and 2.

The columns of a matrix representing an orthogonal linear map form an orthonormal basis. Letting  $B = (b_{ij})$  and considering the first column we find that  $b_{11}^2 + b_{21}^2 + b_{31}^2 + b_{41}^2 = 1$ , i.e.,  $b_{11}^2 = 1 - (\frac{5}{6})^2 - (\frac{1}{6})^2 - (\frac{1}{6})^2 = \frac{1}{4}$ . It follows that  $b_{11} = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ . Similar reasoning applied to columns 2, 3 and 4 yields  $b_{23} = \pm \frac{1}{6}$ ,  $b_{32} = \pm \frac{1}{6}$  and  $b_{44} = \pm \frac{5}{6}$ .

We just need to determine the signs. Since column 2 is orthogonal to column 1, we know that  $b_{11}b_{12} + b_{21}b_{22} + b_{31}b_{32} + b_{41}b_{42} = 0$ . By trial and error, this constraint forces the sign of  $b_{11}$  to be negative. Applying similar reasoning to the remaining columns yields

$$b_{11} = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad b_{23} = \frac{1}{6}, \quad b_{32} = \frac{1}{6}, \quad b_{44} = -\frac{5}{6}$$

- **3.** (a) Cancellation does not hold for direct sums, so the final step is not valid. In more detail, it is possible for a vector space V to have subspaces U, U' and W such that  $U \neq U'$  and  $V = U \oplus W = U' \oplus W$ . In  $\mathbb{R}^2$  an example might be  $U = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$ ,  $U' = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$  and  $W = \langle \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \rangle$ .
  - (b) Let u be an arbitrary vector in U. According to the definition of orthogonal complement every vector  $u' \in U^{\perp}$  is orthogonal to u, i.e.,  $u \cdot u' = 0$ . We deduce, again from the definition of orthogonal complement, that  $u \in (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . Since  $u \in U$  was arbitrary, we must have  $U \subseteq (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . It's not so easy to show inclusion in the other direction directly, so we take a more oblique approach. By Proposition 7.3, we know that  $\dim(U) + \dim(U^{\perp}) = n$ , where  $n = \dim(V)$ . By the same token,  $\dim(U^{\perp}) + \dim((U^{\perp})^{\perp}) = n$ . Putting these two identities together yields  $\dim(U) = \dim((U^{\perp})^{\perp})$ .

Take a basis  $\mathcal{B}$  for U. Since  $U \subseteq (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$  we can extend  $\mathcal{B}$  to a basis  $\mathcal{B}'$  of  $(U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ . But dim $(U) = \dim((U^{\perp})^{\perp})$ , so in fact  $\mathcal{B} = \mathcal{B}'$ . It follows that  $U = (U^{\perp})^{\perp}$ .

**4.** (a) For  $u = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 & a_2 & a_3 & a_4 \end{bmatrix}^\top \in \mathbb{F}_2^4$  to be a member of  $U^\perp$  we require

 $u \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\top} = u \cdot \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\top} = 0.$ 

These conditions are satisfied precisely when  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $a_3 = a_4$ . Therefore,  $U^{\perp}$  is spanned by  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$  and  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}^{\top}$ . In other words,  $U = U^{\perp}$ !

- (b) Proposition 7.3 leads us to expect  $U \oplus U^{\perp} = \mathbb{F}_2^4$ . What has gone wrong? The answer is hinted at in the question. The suggested "inner product" is not valid, as it fails to be positive definite: there are non-zero vectors v, for example  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , such that  $v \cdot v = 0$ . This causes the Gram-Schmidt procedure to fail (where?), which in turn invalidates Proposition 7.3 when applied to vector spaces over the field  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Proposition 7.3 holds for real vector spaces and, with a suitable definition of inner product, for complex vector spaces too.
- 5. (a) From the definition of the inner product on  $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} v \cdot w &= (v' + iv'') \cdot (w' + iw'') \\ &= (v' \cdot w') - i(v' \cdot w'') + i(v'' \cdot w') + v'' \cdot w'' \\ &= (w' \cdot v') - i(w'' \cdot v') + i(w' \cdot v'') + w'' \cdot v'' \\ &= (w' \cdot v' + w'' \cdot v'') + i(w' \cdot v'' - w'' \cdot v') \end{aligned}$$

and

$$w \cdot v = (w' + iw'') \cdot (v' + iv'')$$
  
=  $(w' \cdot v') - i(w' \cdot v'') + i(w'' \cdot v') + w'' \cdot v''$   
=  $(w' \cdot v' + w'' \cdot v'') - i(w' \cdot v'' - w'' \cdot v').$ 

So  $w \cdot v = \overline{v \cdot w}$ .

(b) That  $\alpha^{\mathbb{C}}$  is self-adjoint follows from the sequence of equalities:

$$v \cdot \alpha^{\mathbb{C}}(w) = (v' + iv'') \cdot \alpha^{\mathbb{C}}(w' + iw'')$$
  
=  $(v' + iv'') \cdot (\alpha(w') + i\alpha(w''))$   
=  $v' \cdot \alpha(w') - i(v' \cdot \alpha(w'')) + i(v'' \cdot \alpha(w')) + v'' \cdot \alpha(w'')$   
=  $\alpha(v') \cdot w' - i(\alpha(v') \cdot w'') + i(\alpha(v'') \cdot w') + \alpha(v'') \cdot w''$   
=  $(\alpha(v') + i\alpha(v'')) \cdot (w' + iw'')$   
=  $\alpha^{\mathbb{C}}(v) \cdot w.$ 

6. The characteristic polynomial of A is

$$p_A(x) = \det(xI - A) = \begin{vmatrix} x - 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x - 3 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & x - 3 \end{vmatrix} = (x - 2)^2 (x - 4).$$

So the eigenvalues are 2 (with multiplicity 2) and 4.

Letting  $u = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \end{bmatrix}$ , the solutions to (A - 4I)u = 0 satisfy a = 0 and b + c = 0. So we may take

$$v_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0\\ 1/\sqrt{2}\\ -1/\sqrt{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

as the first of our orthonormal set of eigenvectors.

The solutions to (A - 2I)u = 0 satisfy b - c = 0. So we have a 2-dimensional eigenspace with a possible orthonormal basis

$$v_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and  $v_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

(There is flexibility in the choice of  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ : we just need two orthonormal vectors whose second and third coordinates are equal, and the above is a natural choice.)

The required matrix P has  $v_1$ ,  $v_2$  and  $v_3$  as its columns:

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1/\sqrt{2} & -1/\sqrt{2} \\ 1/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1/2 \\ -1/\sqrt{2} & 1/2 & 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$$

You may verify that  $P^{-1}AP = P^{\top}AP = D$ , where

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) Since α is self-adjoint it may be written as α = λ<sub>1</sub>π<sub>1</sub> + ··· + λ<sub>r</sub>π<sub>r</sub>, where the π<sub>i</sub> are (orthogonal) projections satisfying π<sub>i</sub>π<sub>j</sub> = 0 for all i ≠ j. Define the linear map β by β = (λ<sub>1</sub>)<sup>1/3</sup>π<sub>1</sub> + ··· + (λ<sub>r</sub>)<sup>1/3</sup>π<sub>r</sub>. Note that the cube roots of all the eigenvalues exist and are unique. (This doesn't work for square roots, which may not be real!) Now note that β<sup>3</sup> = α, using π<sub>i</sub><sup>2</sup> = π<sub>i</sub> and and π<sub>i</sub>π<sub>j</sub> = 0. Alternatively, choose a basis relative to which the matrix representing α is diagonal. Now show that you can take the cube root of the diagonal matrix.
  - (b) In general, the cube root of  $\alpha$  is not unique, since the projections  $\pi_i$  are not unique. for example, both  $I_3$  and the matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

from Assignment 5 are representations of cube roots of the identity map.

(c) If  $\beta$  is required to be self-adjoint then the solution is unique. However, I don't know any simple demonstration of this fact.