

LTCC, MTP: Conditional concepts. ①

Let Ξ be a random variable on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$
with definite $\mathbb{E}\Xi \Leftrightarrow$ for

$$\Xi = \Xi_+ - \Xi_-, \quad \Xi_{\pm} = \min(\pm \Xi, 0)$$

either $\mathbb{E}\Xi_+ < \infty$ or $\mathbb{E}\Xi_- < \infty$ (or both).

Consider set function

$$Q(A) = \int_A \Xi \, d\mathbb{P} \quad A \in \mathcal{F}.$$

We may write $Q = Q_+ - Q_-$, where

$$Q_{\pm} = \int_A \Xi_{\pm} \, d\mathbb{P}.$$

We have for disjoint $A_1, A_2, \dots \in \mathcal{F}$

$$Q_+(A) = \mathbb{E}(\Xi_+ 1_A) = \mathbb{E}\left(\sum_n \Xi_+ 1_{A_n}\right) = \sum_n \mathbb{E}(\Xi_+ 1_{A_n})$$

$A = \cup A_n$
by monotone convergence

$$\sum_n \mathbb{E}(\Xi_+ 1_{A_n}) = \sum_n Q_+(A_n)$$

$\Rightarrow Q_+$ is σ -additive, same way Q_- σ -additive
hence Q σ -additive.

Q is a signed measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F})

Moreover,

$$\mathbb{P}(A) = 0 \Rightarrow Q(A) = 0 \quad \text{for } A \in \mathcal{F}$$

We say: Q is absolutely continuous w.r.t. \mathbb{P} ,
written $Q \ll \mathbb{P}$.

Converse is true.

②

Theorem (Radon-Nikodym) On measurable space

(Ω, \mathcal{F}) , let

μ be σ -finite measure

$\nu = \nu_+ - \nu_-$ signed measure, s.t.

either $\nu_+(\Omega) < \infty$ or $\nu_-(\Omega) < \infty$.

If $\nu \ll \mu$ then there exists \mathcal{F} -measurable function f such that

$$\nu(A) = \int_A f(\omega) \mu(d\omega).$$

Up to a set of zero μ -measure, such f is unique.

Notation: $f = \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$ - Radon-Nikodym derivative (or density).

Example X r.v. on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , $F(x) = P(X \leq x)$.

A density function satisfying

$$F(x) = \int_{-\infty}^x f(y) dy$$

exists if and only if

$$\nu(B) = 0, B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}) \Rightarrow P(X \in B) = 0.$$

↑

Lebesgue measure

Example (Discrete measures). $\Omega = \mathbb{N}$, $X(\omega) = \omega$ (2nd)

$P = (p_i, i \in \mathbb{N})$
 $Q = (q_i, i \in \mathbb{N})$ } probability mass functions

$$E_P g(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(j) p_j$$

$$E_Q g(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(j) q_j$$

now suppose $p_j = 0 \Rightarrow q_j = 0$, and let

$$\xi(j) = \frac{q_j}{p_j} \quad \text{if } p_j \neq 0 \quad (*)$$

undefined, or any value if $p_j = 0$.

Then

$$E_Q g(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} g(j) \xi_j p_j = E_P [\xi g(X)]$$

Condition (*) means $P \Rightarrow Q$, and the

r.v. ξ is the Radon-Nikodym derivative.

$$\xi = \frac{dQ}{dP}$$

Example (To be detailed) Girsanov's Theorem on
abs. continuity of BM with drift w.r.t. standard BM.

Definition Two measures μ, ν on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) are ③
mutually singular if there exist $A, B \in \mathcal{F}$ s.t.
 $\mu(A^c) = 0, \nu(B^c) = 0$, and $A \cap B = \emptyset$.

Example $\Omega = \{0, 1\}^\infty$ ($\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots$)

P_1 coin-tossing with success prob. p_1

P_2 — " ————— $P_2, P_1 \neq P_2$

$$A = \left\{ (\omega_1, \omega_2, \dots) : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\omega_1 + \dots + \omega_n}{n} = p_1 \right\}$$

$$B = \left\{ \text{--- " ---} = p_2 \right\}$$

By the Law of Large Numbers,

P_1 and P_2 are mutually singular.

Theorem (Lebesgue decomposition) For σ -finite
measures μ and ν on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) there exists
a unique (up to null sets) decomposition

$$\nu = \nu_a + \nu_s$$

where

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \nu_a \ll \mu \\ \nu_a, \nu_s \text{ mutually singular,} \\ \mu, \nu_s \text{ mutually singular.} \end{array} \right.$$

For ξ r.v. on (Ω, \mathcal{F}, P) , and $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ sub- σ -algebra ④
 we wish to define conditional expectation
 $E(\xi | \mathcal{G})$.

Simple situation: \mathcal{G} is generated by A_1, \dots, A_n , disjoint
 partition $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i = \Omega$; $A_i \cap A_j = \emptyset$, $i \neq j$

For $B \in \mathcal{F}$
$$P(B | A_i) = \frac{P(B \cap A_i)}{P(A_i)}$$

$$D(B | \mathcal{G}) = \sum_{i=1}^n D(B | A_i) \mathbb{1}_{A_i} \text{ random variable}$$

$$E[D(B | \mathcal{G})] = \sum_{i=1}^n D(B | A_i) P(A_i) = P(B)$$

now let $\xi = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j \mathbb{1}_{B_j}$,

define
$$E\left[\xi | \mathcal{G}\right] = \sum_{j=1}^m x_j P(B_j | \mathcal{G}).$$

Then ξ is \mathcal{G} -measurable: on A_i assumes

value $\sum_{j=1}^m x_j P(B_j | A_i)$

and satisfies

$$E[E(\xi | \mathcal{G})] = E\xi$$

But we need to also consider conditional probability / expectation w.r.t. events of zero probability ⑤

Example Choose $\xi \sim \text{Uniform}[0,1]$, given $\xi = x$ toss a coin w.p. x for "heads" n times

$$\mathbb{P}(k \text{ heads} \mid \xi = x) = \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k} \quad (\text{logically!})$$

\nearrow
event of 0 prob.

Definition For ξ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$, $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ we define

$E(\xi_+ \mid \mathcal{G})$ by conditions

(a) $E(\xi_+ \mid \mathcal{G})$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable

(b) for $A \in \mathcal{G}$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\xi_+ 1_A] &= E[E(\xi_+ \mid \mathcal{G}) \cdot 1_A] \\ &\stackrel{\parallel}{=} \int_A \xi_+ d\mathbb{P} && \stackrel{\parallel}{=} \int_A E(\xi_+ \mid \mathcal{G}) d\mathbb{P}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $E(\xi_- \mid \mathcal{G})$.

If $\min(E(\xi_+ \mid \mathcal{G}), E(\xi_- \mid \mathcal{G})) < \infty$ a.s.

we set

$$E(\xi \mid \mathcal{G}) = E(\xi_+ \mid \mathcal{G}) - E(\xi_- \mid \mathcal{G}).$$

Why cond. expectation exists?

(6)

Suppose $\xi \geq 0$, consider

$$Q(A) = \int_A \xi dP \quad A \in \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}$$

— this is a σ -additive measure on $(\Omega, \mathcal{G}) \Rightarrow$
by the Radon-Nikodym theorem

$Q(A) = \int_A f dP$ for some \mathcal{G} -measurable
nonnegative function f , so we set $f =: \underline{E(\xi | \mathcal{G})}$,

that is

$$E(\xi | \mathcal{G}) = \frac{dQ}{dP}.$$

— $E(\xi | \mathcal{G})$ is a random variable, defined ^{uniquely} up to
sets of zero probability.

We write $E(\xi | \text{some data})$ to mean
 $E(\xi | \sigma(\text{this data}))$, e.g. for r.v.'s ξ, η

$$E(\xi | \eta) = E(\xi | \sigma(\eta))$$

for instance $E(\xi | \xi) = \xi$; (ξ is $\sigma(\xi)$ -meas)

Socero properties:

(7)

$$(i) E(\xi | \mathcal{G}) = \xi \text{ if } \xi \text{ is } \mathcal{G}\text{-measurable}$$

$$(ii) E(\xi \eta | \mathcal{G}) = \xi E(\eta | \mathcal{G}) \text{ if } \xi \text{ is } \mathcal{G}\text{-measurable}$$

$$(iii) \mathcal{G}_1 \subset \mathcal{G}_2 \Rightarrow E(E(\xi | \mathcal{G}_2) | \mathcal{G}_1) = E(\xi | \mathcal{G}_1) \text{ a.s.}$$

(tower property)

$$(iii') \mathcal{G}_1 \supset \mathcal{G}_2$$

$$E(E(\xi | \mathcal{G}_2) | \mathcal{G}_1) = E(\xi | \mathcal{G}_2) \text{ a.s.}$$

$$(iv) E(E(\xi | \mathcal{G})) = E\xi$$

Convergence results for E extend to $E(\cdot | \mathcal{G})$.

Theorem (Dominated convergence). Suppose $|\xi_n| \leq \eta$
 $E\eta < \infty$ and $\xi_n \rightarrow \xi$ a.s. ($n \rightarrow \infty$).

$$\text{Then } E(\xi_n | \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow E(\xi | \mathcal{G}) \text{ a.s.}$$

Conditional probability

$$P(A | \mathcal{G}) = E(\mathbb{1}_A | \mathcal{G}) \text{ is a random variable.}$$

Example ξ, η jointly distributed with density \textcircled{B}

$$f_{\xi, \eta}(x, y).$$

$$\text{Let } f_{\xi | \eta = y}(x) = \frac{f_{\xi, \eta}(x, y)}{f_{\eta}(y)}.$$

Then $E(\xi | \eta)$ is a random variable, obtained by computing

$$E(\xi | \eta = y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x f_{\xi | \eta = y}(x) dx$$

$f_{\eta}(y)$ some function

then setting $E(\xi | \eta) = \eta$.

more generally

$$E(g(\xi) | \eta = y) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) f_{\xi | \eta = y}(x) dx$$

$f_{\eta}(y)$

$$E(g(\xi) | \eta) = \eta$$

Sufficiency in Statistics.

Setup: $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathbb{P}_\theta, \theta \in \Theta))$

← family of prob. measures
 θ "unknown" parameter.

Definition A sub- σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is sufficient for family $(\mathbb{P}_\theta, \theta \in \Theta)$, if for all $A \in \mathcal{F}$

$$\mathbb{P}_\theta (A | \mathcal{G}) (\omega) = P(A, \omega) \quad (\mathbb{P}_\theta\text{-a.s.})$$

↑ does not depend on θ .

Thm (Factorisation Thm). Let \mathbb{P}_θ 's be abs. cont. with respect to σ -finite measure μ on (Ω, \mathcal{F}) ,

$$f_\theta(\omega) = \frac{d\mathbb{P}_\theta}{d\mu}(\omega) \quad \text{R-N derivative.}$$

A σ -algebra $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$ is sufficient if

$$f_\theta(\omega) = g_\theta(\omega) \cdot h(\omega)$$

where $\uparrow g_\theta(\omega)$ is \mathcal{G} -measurable.

Example $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$, $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\theta > 0$ (6)

Let λ n-dim. Lebesgue measure

$$\frac{dP_\theta}{d\lambda}(\omega) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\theta^n} & \text{if } 0 \leq x_i \leq \theta, i=1, \dots, n \\ 0 & \text{othw.} \end{cases}$$

$\omega = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$

Then x_1, \dots, x_n i.i.d. Uniform $[0, \theta]$.

$$f_\theta(\omega) = g_\theta(T(\omega)) h(\omega) \text{ for}$$

$$h(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \mathbb{1}(x_1, \dots, x_n \geq 0)$$

$$g_\theta(t) = \frac{1}{\theta^n} \mathbb{1}(0 \leq t \leq \theta)$$

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \max(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$\mathcal{G} = \sigma(T)$ sufficient σ -algebra

T is sufficient statistic.

Example Exponential family $\Omega = \mathbb{R}^n$

$$P_\theta(d\omega) = P_\theta(dx_1) \dots P_\theta(dx_n) \quad \omega = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

$$P_\theta(dx) = \alpha(\theta) e^{\beta(\theta) S(x)} f(x) \lambda(dx), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$P_\theta(dx_1, \dots, dx_n) = \alpha^n(\theta) e^{\beta(\theta) [S(x_1) + \dots + S(x_n)]} f(x_1) \dots f(x_n) dx_1 \dots dx_n$$

$$T(x_1, \dots, x_n) = S(x_1) + \dots + S(x_n)$$

suff. statistic.

Example: Exponential(θ)-distribution.