

Macro for Policy

Taxes and investment

Lecturer: Dr. Tatsuro Senga School of Economics and Finance



- 1. Investment in the UK
- 2. Basic investment model (the User Cost of Capital and Tobin's Q model)
- 3. Nonconvex adjustment costs and financial frictions
- 4. Uncertainty

Aggregate investment in the UK

Figure 1: Business investment fell in Quarter 1 2021 and is now 18.4% below Quarter 4 2019 levels

UK business investment, chained volume measure, seasonally adjusted Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 1997 to Quarter 1 (2021)



"Business investment is estimated to have fallen by 11.9% in Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2021; in comparison with pre-pandemic levels in Quarter 4 (Oct to Dec) 2019, it is 18.4% lower."



Investment under uncertainty

Figure 3: The coronavirus has led to growing uncertainty among UK businesses

Percentage of QCAS comments reporting words associated with uncertainty, Quarter 4 (Oct to Dec) 2017 to Quarter 1 (Jan to Mar) 2021



"The coronavirus has led to many businesses delaying or cancelling their investment; the number of respondents mentioning investment delays rose by a further 2.3% in Quarter 1 2021 from the 25.3% seen in Quarter 4 2020."

Source: Office for National Statistics – Business Investment provisional results





- 1. Investment in the UK
- 2. Basic investment model (the User Cost of Capital and Tobin's Q model)
- 3. Nonconvex adjustment costs and financial frictions
- 4. Uncertainty

The basic model of investment

• Production function:

$$y_t = k_t^{\alpha}$$
, $0 < \alpha < 1$

• Capital accumulation:

 $k_{t+1} = (1-\delta)k_t + i_t$

• Quadratic adjustment costs:

$$-\frac{\phi}{2}(\frac{i_t}{k_t})^2k_t$$

Individual firm's problem, discounting future at interest rate r

$$\max_{\{i_t,k_{t+1}\}} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[k_s^{\alpha} - i_s - \frac{\phi}{2}\right]$$

such that

$$k_{t+1} = (1-\delta)k_t + i_t$$



The basic model of investment

$$\max_{\substack{\{i_t,k_{t+1}\}\\s=t}} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[k_s^{\alpha} - i_s - \frac{\phi}{2}\right]$$

such that
$$k_{t+1} = k_t + i_t \quad (\delta = 0)$$

• Let q_t be the current-valued Lagrange multiplier:

$$L_{t} = \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[k_{s}^{\alpha} - i_{s} - \frac{\phi}{2}\left(\frac{i_{s}}{k_{s}}\right)^{2} k_{s} - q_{s}[k_{s+1} - k_{s} - i_{s}]\right]$$

$$\left(\frac{i_s}{k_s}\right)^2 k_s \bigg]$$

The basic model of investment

$$L_{t} = \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[k_{s}^{\alpha} - i_{s} - \frac{\phi}{2}\left(\frac{i_{s}}{k_{s}}\right)^{2} k_{s} - q_{s}[k_{s+1} - k_{s} - i_{s}]\right]$$

• First order conditions:

$$\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial i_s} = -1 - \phi\left(\frac{i_s}{k_s}\right) + q_s \quad \longrightarrow \quad q_s - 1 = \phi\left(\frac{i_s}{k_s}\right)$$

$$\frac{\partial L_t}{\partial k_{s+1}} = -q_s + \frac{1}{1+r} \left(\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{i_s}{k_s} \right)^2 + q_{s+1} \right)$$

$$\longrightarrow q_s = \frac{1}{1+r} \left(\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{i_s}{k_s} \right)^2 + q_{s+1} \right)$$

Investment Euler equation

$$q_s = \frac{1}{1+r} \left(\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{i_s}{k_s} \right)^2 \right)$$

The date *s* shadow price of an extra capital is the discounted sum of:

- the capital's marginal product next period •
- the capital's marginal contribution to lower adjustment costs next period ullet
- the shadow price of an extra capital next period ullet



 $+q_{s+1}$

Tobin's marginal q

$$q_{t} = \frac{1}{1+r} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1}\right] = \frac{1}{1+r} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1}\right] = \frac{1}$$

The shadow price of installed capital equals its discounted stream of future marginal products and its • marginal contributions to the reduction of adjustment costs.



The User Cost Model

First order conditions: ullet

$$q_s - 1 = \phi\left(\frac{i_s}{k_s}\right)$$

$$q_s = \frac{1}{1+r} \left(\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{i_s}{k_s} \right)^2 \right)$$

•
$$\phi = 0$$

 $q_{s} = 1$

$$\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} = r$$

 $\left(+ q_{s+1} \right)$

Empirical Performance of the user cost model

$$\frac{i_{it}}{k_{it}} = \alpha + \beta u_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

 u_{it} (user cost) can include relative price of capital, taxes, depreciation.

- β turned out to be small in various past studies (Hall and Jorgensen, 1967; Cummins, Hassett, and \bullet Hubbard, 1994; Chirinko, Fazarri, and Meyer, 1999)
- Other variables like cash flow are often correlated with $\frac{i_{it}}{k_{it}}$, with a significant and large coefficient γ .s



φ > 0

$$q_s - 1 = \phi\left(\frac{i_s}{k_s}\right)$$

$$q_{t} = \frac{1}{1+r} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \frac{q_{s+1}}{2}\right]^{s-t} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} +$$

Key implication 1:

investment is positively related to q_t : $\frac{i_t}{k_t} = \frac{1}{\phi}(q_t - 1)$.



φ > 0

$$q_s - 1 = \phi\left(\frac{i_s}{k_s}\right)$$

$$q_{t} = \frac{1}{1+r} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \frac{q_{s+1}}{2}\right]^{s-t} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} +$$

Key implication 1:

investment is positively related to q_t : $\frac{i_t}{k_t} = \frac{1}{\phi}(q_t - 1)$.



φ > 0

$$q_s - 1 = \phi\left(\frac{i_s}{k_s}\right)$$

$$q_{t} = \frac{1}{1+r} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \frac{q_{s+1}}{2}\right]^{s-t} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} +$$

Key implication 2: q_t is the marginal value of capital to the firm.

 $\frac{\phi}{2} \left(\frac{i_s}{k_s} \right)^2 \right]$

• $\phi > 0$

$$q_s - 1 = \phi\left(\frac{i_s}{k_s}\right)$$

$$q_t = \frac{1}{1+r} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} \left[\alpha k_{s+1}^{\alpha-1} + \frac{q_s}{2}\right]$$
$$= \frac{\partial V(k_{t+1})}{\partial k_{t+1}}$$

Key implication 2: q_t is the marginal value of capital to the firm.



How to measure marginal q?

<u>Value of firms in the stock market</u>

Assuming the firm's stock market valuation (V_{it}^E) equals its fundamental value (V_{it})

Marginal and Average q

Hayashi (1982) show marginal q is equal to average q, under constant returns in production and investment.

$$q_{it} = \frac{V_{it}}{k_{it}}$$



Empirical Performance of the Q-theory Model

$$\frac{i_{it}}{k_{it}} = \alpha + \beta q_{it} + \gamma X_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}$$

one can use stock market information to measure q_{it} .

- β turned out to be small in various past studies (Summers, 1981; Cummins, Hassett, and Hubbard, 1994); Erickson and Whited, 2000).
- Other variables like cash flow are often correlated with $\frac{i_{it}}{k_{it}}$, with a significant and large coefficient γ .





- 1. Investment in the UK
- 2. Basic investment model (the User Cost of Capital and Tobin's Q model)
- 3. Nonconvex adjustment costs and financial frictions
- 4. Uncertainty

Attempts to improve investment models 1

Financial frictions to acquiring investment funds

- Firms are more informed about their investment projects than financial intermediaries like banks.
- Risk associated with investment would be absorbed by intermediaries in the event of default.
- This adds costs for firms when they raise funds externally (monitoring costs, expected losses for bankrupt etc).

Financial frictions

$$\max_{\{i_t,k_{t+1}\}} \sum_{s=t}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{1+r}\right)^{s-t} [k_s^{\alpha} - i_s + r]$$
 such that

$$k_{t+1} = k_t + i_t$$
 ($\delta = 0$) and $\eta(i_s, k_s) = \begin{cases} \eta_1 \\ 0 \end{cases}$



$\eta(i_s, k_s)]$

$\int \eta_1 (k_s^{\alpha} - i_s) \text{ if } k_s^{\alpha} < i_s$ if $k_s^{\alpha} \geq i_s$

← Frictionless choice of capital

 k_t 100

Attempts to improve investment models 2

Lumpy investment

- Doms and Dunne (1993) study the investment behavior of 12,000 U.S. manufacturing plants from 1972 to 1988

a. over 50 % of the plants with capital growth close to 50 percent in a single year. b. over 25% of an average plant's investment is concentrated in a single year.



Non-convex adjustment costs





Queen Mary University of London