PEER OBSERVATION/FEEDBACK TALKING POINTS

The aim of this form is to give lecturers the opportunity to exchange teaching practices and informally support each other. It is clear that with blended learning, QMPlus and the mechanics of delivering a lecture online now contribute substantially to the overall module experience, so some suggested items to consider are included below; note that you are not required to have put all of the items listed below on QMplus (and may have excellent reasons for not doing so)!

A. QMPLUS PAGE			
Lecture Notes	□ Easy	□ Hard	□ Absent
	to find	to find	
Exercise Sheets	□ Easy	\square Hard	□ Absent
	to find	to find	
Solutions to Exercise Sheets	□ Easy	\square Hard	□ Absent
	to find	to find	
Q-Review Recordings	□ Easy	\square Hard	□ Absent
	to find	to find	
Last year's exam paper	□ Easy	\square Hard	\square Absent
	to find	to find	
Is there enrichment material on the QMPlus	□ Yes	□ No	□ Unclear
page? (e.g., interesting applications/links,			
challenge questions, etc.)			
B. LECTURING MECHANICS			
Audibility of the Lecturer	□ Good	□ Too loud	□ Too quiet
Legibility of Lecture Material	□ Clear	□ Clear, but too small/big	
Organisation of "Whiteboard" Material	□ Good	□ Adequate	□ Messy
Organisation of Mathematical Content	□ Good	□ A bit	□ Too
		Disorganised	
Pace of Lectures	□ Right	$\hfill\Box$ Too fast	□ Too slow
Audience engagement	□ High	□ Occasional	Low
(eye contact, soliciting interaction, etc.)			
Vocal Expression	□ Good	□ Adequate	□ Poor
The tutorial sessions are	□ Useful	□ Adequate	□ Useless

General comments: Two questions are included to help frame a constructive discussion.

- Is there anything in particular you would like the observer to comment on?
- Are there any particular strengths you see in the lecture style, module format, choices made by the lecturer?