



# FINAL BOS REPORT 2013-14 Year 4 Musculoskeletal Group B1

# This report is distributed to:

Students QA website QM+ Year Administrators SSLC

NAME OF MODULE	MODULE LEAD / YEAR LEAD
Musculoskeletal	Bruce Kidd
SEMESTER / DATE OF MODULE	NO. OF RESPONDENTS
SEMESTER 1 – GROUP B1	18/50
OVERALL SATISFACTION SCORE Ie, The % of students who Agree / Strongly agree with the statement "Overall I was very satisfied with the module"	RESPONSE RATE (%)
78%	36%

#### QA OFFICE SUMMARY (From free text comments)

Generally a well-received module with no problems highlighted although there were some concerns regarding treatment of students by Orthopaedic Consultants at Newham

#### **MODULE LEAD/HEAD OF YEAR COMMENTS**

# Summary:

Overall module continues to get good feedback although some areas – eg clinical skills, need further attention.

#### **Areas for improvement:**

orthopaedic teaching at Newham is a problem at present. More attention to clinical skill teaching.

#### Areas that are performing well:

lectures and general organisation

Area for Improvement	Plan of Action	Target Date for Completion
Ortho teaching at Mewham	Liaise with Associate Dean	Late 2013

### MODULE LEAD "RIGHT TO REPLY"

Please give details of any specific issue which requires an immediate response to the student body. Please give a brief written response which will be authorised by the Dean of Education (Quality) prior to being circulated to students via the QA Office.

1. Which Trust were you placed in?			
Royal London Hospital:		27.8%	5
Newham Hospital:		16.7%	3
BHR - Queen's/King George Hospital:		5.6%	1
Broomfield Hospital:		5.6%	1
Colchester Hospital:		11.1%	2
Homerton Hospital:		22.2%	4
Princess Alexandra Hospital:		11.1%	2





BLSMD Quality Office			
2. Who was the lead consultar	nt (or lead staff member) for your attachment?		
Dr Bickerstaff (X2) / Dr Dabre Loeffler (x2) / Mr Satish Kutty	ra / Dr Gorman (x 4) / Dr McCarthy / Dr Mukerjee / Mr Barry (x2) $r$ (x2) / Prof Scott	/ Dr Chicka	nza / Mr
3. Musculoskeletal Teachin	g Week		
3.a. The module is well taught	t		
Definitely Agree:		16.7%	3
Mostly Agree:		66.7%	12
Neutral:		5.6%	1
Mostly Disagree:		11.1%	2
Definitely Disagree:		0.0%	0
<b>3.b.</b> The criteria used in mark	ing the module have been made clear in advance		
Definitely Agree:		5.6%	1
Mostly Agree:		61.1%	11
Neutral:		22.2%	4
Mostly Disagree:		5.6%	1
Definitely Disagree:		5.6%	1
3.c. I have been given adequa	ate feedback during the module		
Definitely Agree:		11.1%	2
Mostly Agree:		50.0%	9
Neutral:		22.2%	4
Mostly Disagree:		11.1%	2
Definitely Disagree:		5.6%	1
3.d. I have received sufficient	advice and support with my studies on the module		
Definitely Agree:		16.7%	3
Mostly Agree:		50.0%	9
Neutral:		27.8%	5
Mostly Disagree:		5.6%	1
Definitely Disagree:		0.0%	0
3.e. The module is well organi	ised and runs smoothly		
Definitely Agree:		27.8%	5
Mostly Agree:		50.0%	9
Neutral:		11.1%	2
Mostly Disagree:		5.6%	1
Definitely Disagree:		5.6%	1





<b>3.f.</b> I had access to good lear	ning resources on the module	
Definitely Agree:	22.2%	4
Mostly Agree:	66.7%	12
Neutral:	0.0%	0
Mostly Disagree:	11.1%	2
Definitely Disagree:	0.0%	0
<b>3.g.</b> Overall I am satisfied wi	th the quality of the module	
Definitely Agree:	27.8%	5
Mostly Agree:	50.0%	9
Neutral:	11.1%	2
Mostly Disagree:	11.1%	2
Definitely Disagree:	0.0%	0
4. The musculoskeletal Placer	ment	
<b>4.a.</b> Timetabled activities too	k place as planned.	
Definitely Agree:	61.1%	11
Mostly Agree:	16.7%	3
Neutral:	11.1%	2
Mostly Disagree:	11.1%	2
Definitely Disagree:	0.0%	0
<b>4.b.</b> I felt able to ask questio	ns.	
Definitely Agree:	72.2%	13
Mostly Agree:	22.2%	4
Neutral:	5.6%	1
Mostly Disagree:	0.0%	0
Definitely Disagree:	0.0%	0
<b>4.c.</b> I felt able to report any i	ssues to the placement team.	
Definitely Agree:	50.0%	9
Mostly Agree:	27.8%	5
Neutral:	11.1%	2
Mostly Disagree:	5.6%	1
Definitely Disagree:	5.6%	1



BLSMD Quality Office			
<b>4.d.</b> I had good opportunity t	o practise clinical skills.		
Definitely Agree:		27.8%	5
Mostly Agree:		22.2%	4
Neutral:		22.2%	4
Mostly Disagree:		27.8%	5
Definitely Disagree:		0.0%	0
<b>4.e.</b> I had good opportunity t	o practise communication skills.		
Definitely Agree:		27.8%	5
Mostly Agree:		27.8%	5
Neutral:		22.2%	4
Mostly Disagree:		22.2%	4
Definitely Disagree:		0.0%	0
<b>4.f.</b> I saw a good range of pa	tients.		
Definitely Agree:		44.4%	8
Mostly Agree:		38.9%	7
Neutral:		0.0%	0
Mostly Disagree:		16.7%	3
Definitely Disagree:		0.0%	0
4.g. My clinical skills were ob	served.		
Definitely Agree:		22.2%	4
Mostly Agree:		22.2%	4
Neutral:		33.3%	6
Mostly Disagree:		22.2%	4
Definitely Disagree:		0.0%	0
<b>4.h.</b> I received timely feedba	ck on my clinical skills.		
Definitely Agree:		22.2%	4
Mostly Agree:		33.3%	6
Neutral:		22.2%	4
Mostly Disagree:		16.7%	3
Definitely Disagree:		5.6%	1
4.i. I had sufficient contact ti	me with the lead clinician.		
Definitely Agree:		61.1%	11
Mostly Agree:		27.8%	5
Neutral:		5.6%	1
Mostly Disagree:		0.0%	0
Definitely Disagree:		5.6%	1



<b>4.j.</b> I was given constructive feedback that helped me learn.			
Definitely Agree:		38.9%	7
Mostly Agree:		33.3%	6
Neutral:		22.2%	4
Mostly Disagree:		5.6%	1
Definitely Disagree:		0.0%	0
4.k. Overall, I was very satisfied with the module placement.			
Definitely Agree:		44.4%	8
Mostly Agree:		33.3%	6
Neutral:		11.1%	2
Mostly Disagree:		5.6%	1
Definitely Disagree:		5.6%	1
5 What are the best things about the module?			

#### **5.** What are the best things about the module?

Clinics interesting and very friendly doctors/surgeons

Comprehensive timetable re clinics and surgeries

**Dr Mukerjee** was very supportive and encouraging. He looked after us very well.

Good timetabled activities and teaching

Lectures were well taught by **Professor Kidd**. Jointzone was a useful website to supplement our learning. Placement was good - had organised teaching sessions to learn clinical examination skills and practise those skills with feedback given. Also had teaching for orthopedics which was helpful.

My placement at PAH Harlow was fantastic. All the consultants were very welcoming and I learned a lot. I would love to come back and wish MSK was a longer module!

Opportunity to clerk and present patients in clinic. Getting to scrub in loads with Mr Loeffler. Ms chases teaching on a Friday. Both registrars being very keen to involve us - mr cundall-curry and ms chase. Dr Davis clinics.

rheum clinics

Teaching from consultants and regs really well-placed around clinic and surgery time: effectively integrated

The rheumatology part, was well organised with good teaching and interesting clinics

The teaching that took place was very well organized. Timetables were clear and concise.

Well organised and very well taught, always introduced to the patient and felt like the consultant wanted us to be there rather than being in the way

#### **6.** In what way could the module be improved?

A bit more of a chance to clerk patients ourselves

Attendance at the 8am meeting every day should not be compulsory as sometimes only lasted ten minutes and wasn't always helpful. Perhaps attendance twice per week should be sufficient. As stated between rheumatology and orthopaedic sometimes made it difficult to attend

Go over case scenarios (from QMplus) that are online instead of just doing examination skills

Limited chance to see and clerk patients. Would have been better if we were able to clerk patients on a ward.

many of the lectures we were given were recycled from year 1/2 so would be nice to have had some new teaching to cover the parts of MSK we had not learned about before. Some formal examination teaching would be useful, especially GALS, as otherwise without formal teaching at the placements this would be something examined on but not formally taught

More options to clerk patients, ? ward time

More organised orthopedic teaching

more structured teachingsessions





We did not have enough lectures to cover the learning objectives / basis of orthopedics and the clinics weren't as helpful as I have hoped for so I had to learn most of the orthopedics through reading by myself.

#### 7. Is there anything else you would like to tell us about the module?

Both rheum and orthopaedic teams were brilliant and keen for us to do as much as possible.

**Dr Dabrera** and his team were fantastic. Very welcoming, made a lot of effort to teach and made it a pleasure to learn. A very good firm.

Newham: Getting signed off at the end of the module was a nightmare. We had to wait for over 4 hours, then to be told that the orthopaedic consultant is running late for a private clinic so he will sign us off on Monday. In the end having waited since 11.30 till 3.30 a nice registrar who took responsibility for us throughout our placement signed us off. It's great if the medical school can make sure that only consultants who care about teaching and being responsible for medical students be chosen as lead consultants. This would avoid us having to waste our valuable time waiting around for consultants, some of whom think we have nothing better to do than wait around for them Thank you!

Newham: No orthopedic consultant took responsibility for our teaching. And we didn't feel incorporated into the team, and involved We had very good teaching from one of the registrars, but where expected to wait for three hours past the arranged time to get signed off.

Really good placement: thoroughly recommend